# DISJOINTNESS PRESERVING MAPPINGS BETWEEN BSE DITKIN ALGEBRAS \*

## JUAN J. FONT<sup>†</sup>

### Abstract

Let A and B be regular Banach function algebras. A linear map T defined from A into B is said to be *disjointness preserving or sepa*rating if  $f \cdot g \equiv 0$  implies  $T(f) \cdot T(g) \equiv 0$  for all  $f, g \in A$ . We prove that if there exists a disjointness preserving bijection between two BSE Ditkin algebras with a BAI, then they are isomorphic as algebras. As a corollary we can deduce that two of these algebras are algebraically isomorphic if there exists a surjective isometry between them for the supremum norm.

#### 1.- INTRODUCTION.

Since the 40's, when disjointness preserving mappings began to be used, many authors have studied them on several contexts. Among others, on Banach lattices (see e.g. [1], [2] or [6]), on spaces of continuous functions (see e.g. [14], [3], [7], [15] or [12]), on group algebras of locally compact Abelian groups ([8]), on Fourier algebras ([10] and [20]) and on some others (see e.g. [16], [17] or [5]).

In [9], we extended the definition of disjointness preserving mappings to the class of regular Banach function algebras. Let us recall that a linear map T defined from a regular Banach function algebra A into such an algebra B is said to be *disjointness preserving or separating* if  $f \cdot g \equiv 0$  implies  $T(f) \cdot T(g) \equiv 0$  for all  $f, g \in A$ .

In [8] we proved that the existence of a disjointness separating bijection between the group algebras of two locally compact Abelian groups implies that these algebras are algebraically isomorphic. A similar result was obtained

<sup>\*2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 43A15, 46J10, 47B48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Research partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Education (Grant number MTM2008-04599), and by Bancaixa (Projecte P1-1B2008-26).

in [10] (resp. [20]) for Fourier algebras (resp. generalized Fourier algebras) of amenable locally compact groups.

In this paper we extend the above results to a wider class of regular Banach function algebras which includes group algebras and Fourier algebras: the class of BSE Ditkin algebras with a BAI (bounded approximate identity). Let us recall that BSE algebras were introduced in [21] (see the definition in section 3) motivated by the Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein characterization of the Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of measures on a locally compact abelian group. BSE Ditkin algebras with a BAI has recently attracted the attention of some authors. For example, one of the main results in [22] consists of an abstract analog of Cohen's Idempotent Theorem for such type of Banach algebras.

We prove here that if there exists a disjointness preserving bijection between two BSE Ditkin algebras with a BAI, then they are isomorphic as algebras. As a corollary we can deduce that two BSE Ditkin algebras with a BAI are algebraically isomorphic if there exists a surjective supremum norm isometry between them.

## 2.- BACKGROUND.

Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  be a commutative Banach algebra which may or may not have an identity element. Let  $\Phi_A$  be the (locally compact) structure space of A. The Gelfand transform of  $f \in A$  is denoted by  $\hat{f}$ .  $\hat{A}$  will stand for the point-separating subalgebra of  $C_0(\Phi_A)$  consisting of all  $\hat{f}, f \in A$ .

Next we gather the main results concerning disjointness preserving maps between regular Banach function algebras, which can be found in [9]:

In the sequel, let A and B be regular semisimple commutative Banach algebras, which is to say, regular Banach function algebras. Associated with a disjointness preserving map  $T : A \longrightarrow B$ , we can define a linear mapping  $\hat{T} : \hat{A} \longrightarrow \hat{B}$  as  $\hat{T}(\hat{f}) := \widehat{T(f)}$  for every  $f \in A$ . Since A and B are semisimple, it is easy to check that T is disjointness preserving if and only if  $\hat{T}$  is disjointness preserving. In like manner, T is injective (resp. surjective) if and only if  $\hat{T}$  is injective (resp. surjective).

If  $\gamma \in \Phi_B$ , let  $\delta_{\gamma} \circ \hat{T} : \hat{A} \to \mathbf{C}$  be the functional defined as  $(\delta_{\gamma} \circ \hat{T})(\hat{f}) := \hat{T}(\hat{f})(\gamma)$  for all  $f \in A$ .

In general, a disjointness preserving map  $T : A \longrightarrow B$  induces a continuous mapping h of  $\Phi_B$  into  $\Phi_A \cup \{\infty\}$ , which may make no sense if A and B are

not regular. We call h the support map of T. If T is continuous, then it is a weighted composition map; i.e.,  $(\delta_{\gamma} \circ \hat{T})(\hat{f}) = \hat{T}(\hat{f})(\gamma) = \kappa(\gamma)\hat{f}(h(\gamma))$  for all  $\gamma \in \Phi_B$  and all  $f \in A$ , where the weight function  $\kappa : \Phi_B \to \mathbb{C}$  is continuous, and the range of h is contained in  $\Phi_A$ . If, in addition, T is surjective, then the point-separating property of  $\hat{B}$  easily implies that  $\kappa$  is nonvanishing on  $\Phi_B$ .

The main result in [9] is the following:

**Theorem 1** Let  $T : A \longrightarrow B$  be a disjointness preserving bijection. If A satisfies Ditkin's condition (i.e., if A is a Ditkin algebra), then

- 1. T is continuous
- 2.  $T^{-1}$  is disjointness preserving.
- 3. If also B satisfies Ditkin's condition, then the support map of T, h, is a homeomorphism of  $\Phi_A$  onto  $\Phi_B$ .

As a consequence of this theorem and the above paragraphs, if there exists a disjointness preserving bijection T of A onto B, then  $\hat{T}(\hat{f})(\gamma) = \kappa(\gamma)\hat{f}(h(\gamma))$ for all  $f \in A$  and all  $\gamma \in \Phi_B$ . Since  $T^{-1}$  is also disjointness preserving and, consequently, continuous, we can write  $\hat{T}^{-1}(\hat{g})(\zeta) = \Psi(\zeta)\hat{g}(h^{-1}(\zeta))$  for all  $g \in B$ and all  $\zeta \in \Phi_A$ , where  $h^{-1}$  can be proved to be the inverse of the homeomorphism h. We will call  $\kappa \in C(\Phi_B)$  and  $\Psi \in C(\Phi_A)$  the weight functions associated to T.

### 3.- The results.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a semisimple commutative Banach algebra. A multiplier T on  $\mathcal{A}$  is a bounded linear operator on  $\mathcal{A}$  into itself which satisfies  $T(f \cdot g) = f \cdot T(g) = T(f) \cdot g$  for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $M(\mathcal{A})$  denotes the commutative Banach algebra consisting of all multipliers on  $\mathcal{A}$ . By [18, Corollary 1.2.1], we may identify  $M(\mathcal{A})$  with the normed algebra of all bounded continuous functions  $\phi$  on  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $\phi \hat{\mathcal{A}} \subset \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ . It is then apparent that multipliers are examples of disjointness preserving mappings.

**Theorem 2** Let A and B regular semisimple commutative Banach algebras. Then A and B are (algebra) isomorphic if and only if there exists a continuous disjointness preserving bijection between them whose (associated) weight functions are multipliers. *Proof.* Let us suppose that there exists a continuous disjointness preserving bijection T of A onto B. First we claim that  $(\hat{g} \circ h^{-1}) \in \hat{A}$  for all  $g \in B$ . To prove this, let  $\zeta \in \Phi_A$  and  $f \in A$  such that  $\hat{f}(\zeta) = 1$ . Hence

$$1 = \hat{f}(\zeta) = \hat{T}^{-1}(\hat{T}(\hat{f}))(\zeta)$$
  
=  $\Psi(\zeta) \cdot \hat{T}(\hat{f})(h^{-1}(\zeta))$   
=  $\Psi(\zeta) \cdot \kappa(h^{-1}(\zeta)) \cdot \hat{f}(h(h^{-1}(\zeta)))$   
=  $\Psi(\zeta) \cdot \kappa(h^{-1}(\zeta));$ 

that is,  $\Psi(\zeta) \cdot \kappa(h^{-1}(\zeta)) = 1$  for all  $\zeta \in \Phi_A$ . On the other hand, from the fact that  $\widehat{B}$  is an ideal in M(B) (see [18]) and since, by hypothesis,  $\kappa : \Phi_B \to \mathbf{C}$ belongs to M(B), we infer that  $\kappa \cdot \kappa \cdot (\widehat{f} \circ h)$  belongs to  $\widehat{B}$  for every  $f \in A$ . Consequently,

$$\hat{T}^{-1}(\kappa \cdot \kappa \cdot (\hat{f} \circ h))(\zeta) = \Psi(\zeta) \cdot \kappa(h^{-1}(\zeta)) \cdot \kappa(h^{-1}(\zeta)) \cdot \hat{f}(h(h^{-1}(\zeta)))$$
  
=  $\kappa(h^{-1}(\zeta)) \cdot \hat{f}(\zeta)$ 

for all  $\zeta \in \Phi_A$ . This implies that the function  $(\kappa \circ h^{-1}) \cdot \hat{f}$  belongs to  $\hat{A}$  for all  $f \in A$ , which is to say that  $(\kappa \circ h^{-1})$  belongs to M(A). Hence, since  $\hat{A}$  is an ideal in M(A) and the function  $\Psi \cdot (\hat{g} \circ h^{-1})$  belongs to  $\hat{A}$ , we have that  $(\kappa \circ h^{-1}) \cdot \Psi \cdot (\hat{g} \circ h^{-1}) = (\hat{g} \circ h^{-1})$  belongs to  $\hat{A}$  for all  $g \in B$ .

In like manner, we can prove that  $\hat{f} \circ h$  belongs to  $\hat{B}$  for all  $f \in A$ . Hence, it is now clear, since  $h : \Phi_B \longrightarrow \Phi_A$  is a homeomorphism, that the mapping  $\hat{T}_h : \hat{A} \longrightarrow \hat{B}$ , defined as  $\hat{T}_h(\hat{f}) := \hat{f} \circ h$ , is a surjective algebra isomorphism, which, by semisimplicity, provides the desired algebra isomorphism of A onto B.

The converse is clear.

**Theorem 3** Let A and B be Ditkin algebras. Then A and B are (algebra) isomorphic if and only if there exists a disjointness preserving bijection between them whose weight functions are multipliers.

*Proof.* Combine Theorems 1 and 2.

Next we show that Ditkin algebras with a BAI have local units thanks to the Cohen Factorization Theorem ([13]).

**Proposition 1** Let A be a Ditkin algebra which has an approximate identity of bound b. Then for each compact  $K \subset \Phi_A$  and each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $k \in A$ such that  $\hat{k}$  has compact support,  $\hat{k} \equiv 1$  on K and  $||k|| < b + \epsilon$ . *Proof.* Since A is regular, we can find  $f \in A$  such that  $\hat{f} \equiv 1$  on K. By Cohen Factorization Theorem, given  $\delta > 0$ , we can write  $f = f_1 f_2$ , where  $f_1, f_2 \in A$ ,  $||f_1|| \leq b$  and  $||f - f_2|| < \delta$ . Hence, if we define  $g_1 := f_1 - f_1(f - f_2)$ , then  $\hat{g}_1 \equiv 1$  on K and  $||g_1|| < b(1 + \delta)$ . By [19, p. 205], we know that there exists  $g_2 \in A$  such that  $\hat{g}_2$  has compact support and  $||g_1 - g_2|| < \delta$ . Hence we can now define the following function in A:

$$k = g_2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (g_1 - g_2)^n$$

It is apparent that  $\hat{k}$  has compact support and that, if  $x \in K$ , then

$$\hat{k}(x) = \hat{g}_2(x) \frac{1}{1 - \hat{g}_1(x) + \hat{g}_2(x)} = 1.$$

Furthermore, by choosing an appropriate  $\delta$ ,

$$||k|| \le \frac{b(1+2\delta)}{1-\delta} < b+\epsilon$$

as was to be proved.

Let A be a commutative Banach algebra. A complex-valued function  $\kappa$  on  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$  is said to satisfy the BSE-condition if there exists C > 0 such that, for every finite collection  $c_1, ..., c_n$  of complex numbers and  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n$  in  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,

$$\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} \kappa(\alpha_{j})\right| \leq C \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} \alpha_{j}\right\|_{A}$$

where  $A^*$  denotes the dual space of A. This condition is motivated by the Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein theorem, which characterizes the Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of measures on a locally compact abelian group. A group algebra A is called a BSE-algebra ([21]) if the continuous functions on  $\Phi_A$  satisfying the BSE-condition are precisely the functions of the form  $\hat{w}$  where  $w \in M(A)$ .

**Lemma 1** Let A be a Ditkin algebra with BAI and B a BSE Ditkin algebra. Let  $T : A \longrightarrow B$  be a disjointness preserving bijection. Then the weight function  $\kappa$  belongs to M(B).

*Proof.* Let  $\{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n\}$  be a subset of  $\Phi_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . By Proposition 1, there exists  $f \in A$  such that  $||f|| < b + \epsilon$  and  $\hat{f}(h(\alpha_i)) = 1$  for i = 1, ..., n.

Let  $\{c_1, ..., c_n\} \subset \mathbf{C}$ . Then, since  $\hat{T}$  is continuous (Theorem 1 (1)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \cdot \kappa(\alpha_{i}) \right| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \cdot \hat{T}(\hat{f})(\alpha_{i}) \right| \\ &\leq \left\| \hat{T}(\hat{f}) \right\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \delta_{\alpha_{i}} \right\|_{A^{*}} \\ &\leq \left\| \hat{T} \right\| (b+\epsilon) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \delta_{\alpha_{i}} \right\|_{A^{*}} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\kappa$  satisfies the BSE-condition and, as B is a BSE algebra,  $\kappa \in M(B)$ .

**Theorem 4** Let A and B be BSE Ditkin algebras with BAI. Then A and B are algebra isomorphic if and only if there exists a disjointness preserving bijection between them.

*Proof.* It is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 1 and Theorem 3.  $\hfill \square$ 

**Corollary 1** Let A and B be BSE Ditkin algebras with BAI. Then A and B are algebra isomorphic if and only if  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  are  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ -isometric; i.e., there exists a linear bijection T of A onto B such that  $\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} = \|\hat{T}(\hat{f})\|_{\infty}$  for all  $f \in A$ .

*Proof.* By [4, Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 2.1] we know that

$$\partial B = \bigcup_{\zeta \in \partial A} \{ \gamma \in \Phi_B : \left| \hat{f}(\zeta) \right| = \left| \hat{T}(\hat{f})(\gamma) \right| \text{ for all } f \in A \},$$

where  $\partial A$  and  $\partial B$  stand for the Shilov boundaries of  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  respectively. But, since  $\hat{A}$  is a regular subalgebra of  $C_0(\Phi_A)$ , it is well known that the Shilov boundary of  $\hat{A}$  coincides with  $\Phi_A$ . Hence, we indeed have

$$\Phi_B = \bigcup_{\zeta \in \Phi_A} \{ \gamma \in \Phi_B : \left| \hat{f}(\zeta) \right| = \left| \hat{T}(\hat{f})(\gamma) \right| \text{ for all } f \in A \}.$$

The remainder of the proof consists of checking that T is disjointness preserving and applying Theorem 4. Assume, contrary to what we claim, that there are  $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in A$  with disjoint cozero sets such that  $\hat{T}(\hat{f}) \cdot \hat{T}(\hat{g}) \not\equiv 0$ . Let us choose  $\gamma_0 \in \Phi_B$  such that  $|\hat{T}(\hat{f})(\gamma_0)| > 0$  and  $|\hat{T}(\hat{g})(\gamma_0)| > 0$ . In virtue of the paragraph above, there exists  $\zeta_0 \in \Phi_A$  such that  $|\hat{f}(\zeta_0)| = |\hat{T}(\hat{f})(\gamma_0)|$  for all  $f \in A$ . Since the cozero sets of  $\hat{f}$  and  $\hat{g}$  are disjoint, we have that either  $\hat{f}(\zeta_0) = 0$  or  $\hat{g}(\zeta_0) = 0$ , which yields that either  $\hat{T}(\hat{f})(\gamma_0) = 0$  or  $\hat{T}(\hat{f})(\gamma_0) = 0$ . This contradiction proves that T is disjointness preserving.

**Remark 1** The above corollary is not true for general Banach function algebras. Indeed,  $H^{\infty}$ , the Banach algebra of bounded analytic functions on the open unit disk, and  $H_0^{\infty}$ , the subalgebra of all elements in  $H^{\infty}$  which vanish at the origin, are isometric but are not algebraically isomorphic.

A similar situation can be found in [11], where the authors provide two isometric semisimple commutative Banach algebras which are not isomorphic as Banach algebras.

# References

- Y. Abramovich, Multiplicative representation of disjointness preserving operators. Indag. Math. 45 (1983), 265-279.
- [2] Y. Abramovich and A.K. Kitover, *Inverses of disjointness preserving operators*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. n. 679 (2000).
- [3] J. Araujo, E. Beckenstein and L. Narici, Biseparating maps and homeomorphic realcompactifications. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 192 (1995), 258-265.
- [4] J. Araujo and J.J. Font, Linear isometries between subspaces of continuous functions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), 413-428.
- [5] J. Araujo and L. Dubarbie, *Biseparating maps between Lipschitz function spaces*. Preprint.
- [6] W. Arendt and D.R. Hart, The spectrum of quasi-invertible disjointness preserving operators. J. Funct. Anal. 68 (1986), 149-167.
- [7] J.J. Font and S. Hernández, Separating maps between locally compact spaces. Arch. Math. (Basel), 63 (1994), 158-165.
- [8] J.J. Font and S. Hernández, Automatic continuity and representation of certain linear isomorphisms between group algebras. Indag. Math., 6 (4) (1995), 397-409.

- J.J. Font, Automatic continuity of certain linear isomorphisms between regular Banach function algebras. Glasgow Math., 77 (1998), 333-343.
- [10] J.J. Font, Disjointness preserving mappings between Fourier algebras. Colloq. Math., 39 (1997), 179-187.
- [11] O. Hatori, T. Miura and H. Oka, An example of multiplicatively spectrum-preserving maps between non-isomorphic semi-simple commutative Banach algebras. Nihonkai Math. J., 18 (2007), 11-15.
- [12] S. Hernández, E. Beckenstein and L. Narici, Banach-Stone theorems and separating maps. Manuscripta Math., 86 (1995), 409-416.
- [13] C. Herz, Harmonic analysis for subgroups. Ann. Inst. Fourier, 23 (1973), 91-123.
- [14] K. Jarosz, Automatic continuity of separating linear isomorphisms. Canad. Math. Bull., 33 (2) (1990), 139-144.
- [15] J.S. Jeang and N. C. Wong, Weighted composition operators of  $C_0(X)$ 's. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **201** (3) (1996), 981-993.
- [16] A. Jiménez-Vargas, Disjointness preserving operators between little Lipschitz algebras.
  J. Math. Anal. Appl., 337 (2) (2008), 984-993.
- [17] A. Jiménez-Vargas and M. Villegas-Vallecillos, Lipschitz algebras and peripherallymultiplicative maps. Acta Math. Sinica (Eng. Ser.), 24 (8) (2008), 1233–1242.
- [18] R. Larsen, An introduction to the theory of multipliers. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1971).
- [19] R. Larsen, Banach algebras: An introduction. Marcel Dekker (1973).
- [20] M.S. Monfared, Extensions and isomorphisms for the generalized Fourier algebras of a locally compact group. J. Funct. Anal., 198 (2003), 413444.
- [21] S.E. Takahasi and O. Hatori, Commutative Banach algebras which satisfy a Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein type theorem. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 110 (1990), 149-158.
- [22] A. Ulger, Multipliers with closed range on commutative semisimple Banach algebras. Studia Math., 153 (2002), 59-80.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Jaume I, Campus Riu Sec, Castellón, Spain. *E-mail address*: font@mat.uji.es