1	Comprehensive study on the potential environmental risk of temporal
2	antibiotic usage through wastewater discharges
3	
4	Elisa Gracia-Marín ¹ , Andreu Rico ^{2,3} , David Fabregat-Safont ^{1,4} , Francisco J. López ¹ , Félix
5	Hernández ¹ , Elena Pitarch ^{1*} , Lubertus Bijlsma ^{1*}
6	
7	¹ Environmental and Public Health Analytical Chemistry, Research Institute for Pesticides
8	and Water, University Jaume I, Castelló, Spain
9	² IMDEA Water Institute, Science and Technology Campus of the University of Alcalá, Av.
10	Punto Com 2, Alcalá de Henares 28805, Madrid, Spain
11	³ Cavanilles Institute of Biodiversity and Evolutionary Biology, University of Valencia, c/
12	Catedrático José Beltrán 2, 46980, Paterna, Valencia, Spain
13	⁴ Applied Metabolomics Research Group, Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute -
14	(IMIM), Barcelona, Spain.
15	
16	*Corresponding authors:
17	Lubertus Bijlsma: bijlsma@uji.es ORCID: 0000-0001-7005-8775
18	Elena Pitarch: epitarch@uji.es ORCID 0000-0002-3343-5815
19	
20	
21	CONFLICT OF INTEREST
22	The authors declare they have nothing to disclose.
23	

24 ABSTRACT

Antibiotic residues can reach aquatic ecosystems through urban wastewater discharges, 25 posing an ecotoxicological risk for aquatic organisms and favoring the development of 26 bacterial resistance. To assess the emission rate and hazardousness of these compounds, it is 27 important to carry out periodic chemical monitoring campaigns that provide information 28 29 regarding the actual performance of wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) and the potential impact of the treated wastewater in the aquatic environment. In this study, 18 of the most 30 widely consumed antibiotics in Spain were determined by liquid chromatography-tandem 31 32 mass spectrometry in both influent (IWW) and effluent wastewater (EWW) samples collected over four seasons along 2021-2022. Eleven antibiotics were detected in EWW with 33 azithromycin, ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin showing the highest concentration levels 34 (around 2 μ g L⁻¹ of azithromycin and 0.4 μ g L⁻¹ of quinolone compounds).Data showed that 35 only 4 out of the 11 compounds were removed by more than 50 % in the WWTP, with 36 sulfamethoxazole standing out with an average removal efficiency > 80 %. The risk that 37 treated water could pose to the aquatic environment was also assessed, with 6 compounds 38 indicating a potential environmental risk by exceeding established ecotoxicological and 39 40 resistance thresholds. Based on the risk assessment, the WWTP removal efficiency required to reduce such risk for antibiotics was estimated. In addition, pooled wastewater samples 41 42 were screened by LC coupled to high resolution mass spectrometry with ion mobility 43 separation, searching for metabolites and transformation products of the antibiotics investigated to widen future research. Studies like this are crucial to map the impact of 44 antibiotic pollution and to provide the basis for designing water quality and risk prevention 45 46 monitoring programs.

47 Keywords: Antibiotics; metabolites;sewage;removal efficiency;environmental impact; risk
48 assessment

2/29

49 **1. INTRODUCTION**

Undoubtedly, the use of antibiotics has improved human life expectancy during the last 50 51 century, as well as decreased mortality from diseases caused by pathogenic bacteria (Aminov, 2010; Elder et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2019). However, their inappropriate and increasing 52 usage in human and veterinary medicine have resulted in increasing environmental emissions 53 54 and contributed to the antimicrobial resistance burden. The spread of antibiotic resistant (ABR) bacteria in the human population reduces the success to treat common infectious 55 diseases and, consequently, can increase mortality and economic costs. The World Health 56 57 Organization (WHO) has identified antibiotic resistance as one of the greatest threats to human health and highlighted the urgency to advance towards a more comprehensive and 58 accurate assessment and surveillance (WHO, 2023). It is now recognized that the 59 60 environment plays a key role in the development and spread of ABR (Elder et al., 2021), being necessary to improve our knowledge regarding the presence and behaviour of 61 antimicrobials in environmental compartments (European Commission, 2017). 62

Antibiotics enter the sewage system after consumption and excretion (including their 63 metabolites) or due to direct disposal. Subsequently, due to incomplete removal by 64 wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), antibiotic residues may enter the aquatic environment 65 through wastewater discharges. Many studies have reported the presence of antibiotics in 66 different aquatic environments such as surface water (Van Hoi et al., 2021) and reclaimed 67 water (Campos-Mañas et al., 2017; Martínez-Piernas et al., 2021). Some papers have also 68 highlighted that antibiotic removal by WWTPs can vary among different locations, even 69 when using the same treatment processes (Kovalakova et al., 2020; McCorquodale-Bauer et 70 al., 2023). Hence, advanced treatment processes are required to reduce the negative impact of 71 antibiotics in aquatic ecosystems (Lien et al., 2016a). However, novel and economic solutions 72 are currently limited available or not accessible. Therefore, performing regular monitoring 73

campaigns are pivotal to understand the current status and environmental risks posed by these compounds. In fact, the European Commission included four antibiotics (sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim, clindamycin and ofloxacin) in the last Watch List of substances in the field of water policy (European Commission, 2022), demonstrating the concern about the entry of these compounds into the environment and their potential consequences for aquatic ecosystems and human health.

Monitoring antibiotics requires the use of highly selective and sensitive analytical techniques, 80 being liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) one of the 81 82 most applied to obtain reliable quantitative data. As indicated above, antibiotics can be excreted unaltered or as metabolites. It should be noted that metabolites could be found at 83 higher concentrations than the parent antibiotic, and they may have the same or higher level 84 85 of toxicity to the environment than unaltered compound. However, only data on concentrations of parent compounds are usually reported, but the information on the presence 86 of metabolites in the aquatic media is crucial to obtain a comprehensive overview of the 87 current situation (Fabregat-Safont et al., 2023a; Ibáñez et al., 2017, 2021; Löffler et al., 2023; 88 Wielens Becker et al., 2020). Yet, reference standards of metabolites are not always 89 90 available. Under this situation, the complementary quantitative target analysis, usually 91 focused on parent antibiotics, and the wide-scope screening based on high-resolution mass 92 spectrometry (HRMS) can provide relevant information on the presence of both antibiotics 93 and their metabolites (Fabregat-Safont et al., 2023a; Fabregat-Safont et al., 2021).

94 Besides the promotion of antibiotic resistance, antibiotics may pose toxicological effects for 95 organisms, principally bacteria and primary producers, thus affecting the structure of aquatic 96 ecosystems and important ecosystem functions such as organic matter decomposition or 97 nitrification (Le Page et al., 2017; Roose-Amsaleg&Laverman, 2016). Thus, the risk 98 assessment of antibiotics should combine several protection goals. Few studies have

99 developed ecotoxicological and resistance thresholds for largely used antibiotics based on laboratory toxicity data for aquatic standard test species and minimum inhibitory 100 concentrations for pathogenic bacteria, respectively (Bengtsson-Palme & Larsson, 2016; Rico 101 102 et al., 2017; Tell et al., 2019). The comparison of ecotoxicological and resistance thresholds shows that neither is always protective of the other, so both should be preferably used 103 together to make a holistic risk assessment of antibiotic pollution in areas affected by WWTP 104 emissions (Le Page et al., 2017). Few studies have demonstrated that concentrations of some 105 antibiotics measured in aquatic ecosystems impacted by urban or industrial wastewaters can 106 107 exceed such thresholds (Fonseca et al., 2020; Hanna et al., 2023; Kelly & Brooks, 2018), however their use to set effective wastewater treatment methods and processes is to be further 108 109 developed.

110 In this work the occurrence of 18 highly consumed antibiotics was investigated in wastewater samples collected between April 2021 to January 2022 from the WWTP of Castelló (Spain). 111 The objectives of this study were: 1) to evaluate seasonal variations of concentrations and 112 removal efficiencies of the antibiotics studied, after conventional wastewater treatment; 2) to 113 apply a complementary screening of relevant metabolites to better characterize environmental 114 115 exposure, using advanced analytical methodology based on HRMS with ion mobility 116 separation (IMS); 3) to assess risks regarding their potential to contribute to ecotoxicological 117 effects and antibiotic resistance in the environment, determining the required wastewater 118 treatment efficiencies that should be achieved to reduce such risks.

119 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

120 **2.1. Reagents and chemicals**

121 The selection of compounds included in this study was based on antibiotic prescription data 122 in collaboration with theHealth Department of Castelló(**Table S1**), and the annual sales data 123 provided by suppliers and the Pharmacy Services of Castelló (Spain) (**Table S2**). Such 124 information is summarized in **Figure 1**, where it is observed that β -lactams and macrolides 125 were the main families of antibiotics prescribed during 2020.

Finally, 18 antibiotics were chosen to be part of this study: amoxicillin, ampicillin, 126 azithromycin, cefditoren (purchased as cefditoren pivoxil), cefuroxime, ciprofloxacin, 127 clarithromycin, clindamycin, cloxacillin, doxycycline, erythromycin, levofloxacin, 128 129 metronidazole, moxifloxacin, norfloxacin, roxithromycin, sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim. Isotopically-labelled analogues (Table S3) were used as internal standards 130 (ILIS) for each selected antibiotic, with the exception of cefditoren. All the analytical 131 reference standards were purchased from LGC (Teddington, UK) and Merck (Darmstadt, 132 Germany). Methanol, acetonitrile and formic acid (LC-MS grade) and ammonium acetate (> 133 134 98 %) were acquired from Scharlab (Scharlab, Barcelona, Spain). LC-MS grade water was obtained using an Ultramatic Plus GR from Wasserlab (Navarra, Spain). 135

136 **2.2. Description of the WWTP**

The selected WWTP (39°59'09.2"N 0°0'21.8"W) treats urban wastewater from Castelló de la Plana and Borriol (Spain) and serves a population of 179,661 inhabitants (based on census data of 2020 (Instituto Nacional de Estadistica, 2023)). The WWTP applies a conventional treatment consisting of a basic biological process and has a treatment capacity of 45,000 m³/ day. The water line includes a pretreatment (roughing filtration, desanding and degreasing), a primary treatment (primary sedimentation), a conventional activated sludge biological treatment, followed by a tertiary treatment (operated with sand filtration and ultraviolet oxidation). Finally, the treated water is discharged into the Mediterranean Sea or used to irrigate parks and gardens after tertiary treatment with an additional chlorination step.

146 2.3. Wastewater samples

147 24-h composite samples of influent wastewater (IWW) and effluent wastewater (EWW) were 148 collected from the WWTP, from April to October 2021 and during January 2022, covering 149 the four seasons. Wastewater sampling was carried out two weeks per month (only in one 150 week in August) collecting IWW and EWW samples of two days each week. A total of 30 151 IWW and 30 EWW samples were analysed. **Table S4** shows the sampling dates, and the flow 152 rates of the WWTP on these days.

All samples were collected in high-density polyethylene bottles, stored at -20 °C, and transported to the laboratory when the last sample of the week was collected. After reception in the laboratory, samples were stored in the dark at -20 °C until analysis.

156 2.4. Instrumentation

157 *2.4.1.LC-MS/MS*

An Acquity UPLCTM H-Class liquid chromatography system (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) interfaced to a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer Xevo TQ-STM (Waters Corp., Manchester, UK) and equipped with an orthogonal Z-Spray electrospray ionization interface (ESI) (Waters Corp, Manchester, UK) was used for quantitative sample analysis. MS/MS conditions are shown in **Table S3**. Further information regarding antibiotic determination, analytical method and validation can be found in the literature (Fabregat-Safont et al., 2023b).

165

166

167 2.4.2. *LC-IMS-HRMS*

Metabolite screening was performed using an Acquity UPLCTM I-Class system (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) coupled to a Vion IMS QTOF mass spectrometer (Waters Corp., Wilmslow, Manchester, UK), using an ESI interface operating in both positive and negative ionization modes. Further information regarding instrumentation, data treatment, results evaluation and compound identification is described in the literature (Celma et al., 2020; Fabregat-Safont et al., 2021; Lopez et al., 2022).

174 **2.5.** Sample analysis

For quantification, samples were analysed by direct injection (DI)-LC-MS/MS based on a previously developed methodology (Fabregat-Safont et al., 2023b). Briefly, a volume of 2 mL of centrifuged wastewater was 5-fold (IWW) or 2-fold (EWW) diluted with ultrapure water, taking 200 μ L IWW or 500 μ L EWW, adding 40 μ L of ILIS mixture 5 μ g L⁻¹ and adjusting the volume to 1 mL with ultrapure water. Finally, 100 μ L of the diluted samples were injected into the LC-MS/MS system.

For metabolite screening analyses by LC-IMS-HRMS, two IWW and two EWW pooled 181 182 samples were prepared by mixing individual samples as follows: the first IWW pooled sample was prepared using two randomly selected samples, collected one in April and the 183 other one in May 2021; and the second IWW pooled sample using samples collected in June 184 185 and July 2021. The same strategy was used for EWW, mixing the corresponding EWW samples. Sample treatment was performed using 100 mL of sample (2-fold diluted with 186 ultrapure water to avoid clogging) and passed by gravity through an Oasis HLB 200 mg 187 188 cartridge (Waters Corp.). Cartridges were eluted with 10 mL of methanol, evaporated to

dryness at 40 °C under gentle nitrogen stream, and redissolved in 500 µL of water:methanol
(90:10, v:v). Finally, 10 µL of sample extract was injected in the LC-IMS-HRMS system.

For compound identification in the screening, an in-house database containing information about the major metabolites reported for these antibiotics was used, following the analytical strategy described in literature (Fabregat-Safont et al., 2023a; Fabregat-Safont et al., 2021; Lopez et al., 2022). More details about the confidence levels of the metabolite identification can be found in identification Celma et al. (2020) and in section 2.5 of the Supporting Information.

197 **2.6. Estimation of removal efficiencies**

The removal efficiency (RE) of the WWTP was estimated by comparing the daily mass loads of antibiotics in IWW and EWW, estimated from the daily antibiotic concentrations and the WWTP flow rates ($m^{3}/24$ h).RE was calculated using **Eq. 1**, where q_{I} is the daily mass load (g/24h) of IWW at day x and q_{E} is the mass load of EWW at day x + 1, assuming a residence time at the WWTP of approximately 24h.

203
$$RE(\%) = \frac{q_{I} - q_{F}}{q_{I}} \times 100 \text{Eq. 1}$$

A concentration equivalent to half the quantification value (or the daily load corresponding to this concentration value) was considered for the calculation of RE of an antibiotic detected i.e., above its limit of detection (LOD) but below its limit of quantification (LOQ).

207 2.7. Risk assessment

Antibiotic concentrations in WWTP effluents were compared with Predicted No Effect Concentrations for ecotoxicological effects ($PNEC_{ecotox}$) and the promotion of antibiotic resistance ($PNEC_{resistance}$). $PNEC_{ecotox}$ values were obtained from (Tell et al., 2019), which had been derived from laboratory toxicity data for cyanobacteria (NOEC; growth inhibition) divided by an assessment factor of 10 following the recommendations established by the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (2008) and the European Commission (2018). For some compounds, the PNEC_{ecotox}was not available (e.g. levofloxacin, moxifloxacin). For these, the PNEC_{ecotox}was derived from published toxicity data for *Microcystis sp.* following the same approach (**Table 1**).

217 PNEC_{resistance} values were obtained from Bengtsson-Palme & Larsson (2016, which were derived from Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs) obtained from the European 218 219 Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) database (EUCAST, 2022). The method applied (Bengtsson-Palme & Larsson, 2016) uses the 1% lowest observed MICs 220 rounded down to the lowest concentration in the EUCAST testing scale after application of 221 an assessment factor of 10. Besides the PNEC_{resistance} proposed by Bengtsson-Palme & 222 Larsson (2016), we also implemented the method proposed by Rico et al. (2017) to derive 223 224 PNEC_{resistance} values, which is based on the calculation of the Hazardous Concentration for the 5% (HC5) of the estimated minimum selective concentrations for bacteria. Similarly to 225 Bengtsson-Palme & Larsson (2016), the minimum selective concentrations (MSC) for each 226 227 bacterial taxon is extrapolated from the MIC data available in the EUCAST database applying an assessment factor of 10. However, a log-normal distribution is then fitted to the 228 MSCs extrapolated for the bacteria included in the EUCAST database. To implement this 229 method, the MIC data for the antibiotics found in the WWTP effluents was downloaded from 230 the EUCAST database (EUCAST, 2022; Table S5). The taxa for which there were less than 231 232 30 MIC observations for each antibiotic were removed. Then the lowest MIC for each taxonantibiotic combination was derived. The lowest MIC was defined as the lowest MIC from the 233 available MICs reported in the different studies that contained at least 10 observations. Such 234 235 approach was implemented to reduce the risk of including individual, low MIC values that may be considered outliers or flawed too much the MIC distribution. Next, the MSC was 236

derived by dividing the lowest MIC for each taxon-antibiotic combination by an extrapolation factor of 10. Finally, a log-normal distribution was fitted to the MSC data available for antibiotic to calculate the HC5 and their lower (5%) and upper (95%) confidence limits using the ETX2.3 software (Van Vlaardingen et al., 2004) and the methods described by Aldenberg & Jaworska 2000). The lower confidence limit of the calculated HC5 interval was chosen as the PNEC_{resistance}, assuming that this is the maximum concentration that prevents the development of antibiotic resistance in environmental bacteria.

The empirical cumulative distribution functions for the measured antibiotic concentrations in the WWTP effluents were compared with the ecotoxicological and resistance PNECs. We calculated the percentage of samples that exceeded both threshold concentrations. Furthermore, we estimated the antibiotic removal efficiencies that should be implemented at the studied WWTP to produce effluent concentrations below the lowest antibiotic threshold, considering both ecotoxicological and antimicrobial resistance effects.

250 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSION

251 **3.1.** Determination of antibiotics in IWW and EWW

252 Analytical quality assurance

In this work, special attention was paid to the quality of the analysis to support the reliability of the results (Hernández et al., 2023). To this aim, quality control (QC) samples were prepared from four real "blank" wastewater samples of different type (IWW and EWW), each spiked at three different concentration levels, 100, 500 and 5000 ng L⁻¹. All samples, including the "blank" samples for preparing the QCs, were analysed in 4 different sequences.

QCs recoveries in both IWW and EWW were mainlybetween 60 and 140%, which is the 258 acceptability range for individual recoveries of control samples according to the SANTE 259 guideline (SANTE, 2021)(Table S6). In some cases, the calculation of QCs recovery at the 260 low concentration (100 ng L⁻¹) was problematic due to the presence of the analyte in the 261 "blank" sample used for the QC preparation at concentration similar or higher than the spiked 262 263 level (e.g., azithromycin, clarithromycin, erythromycin, roxithromycin and cefditoren) 264 (Hernández et al., 2023). As an example, the antibiotics clarithromycin and azithromycin showed recoveries slightly below 60% (between 51 to 56%) in QCs prepared at the low and 265 medium concentrations. These two compounds were present at high concentrations in the 266 samples, except for some EWW where clarithromycin was foundat lower concentration 267 levels, butstill above 100 ng L⁻¹, and therefore no correction factor was applied for its 268 quantification. Furthermore, Cefuroxime showed anomalous QCs at 100 ng L⁻¹ in EWW QC 269 270 samples, therefore no average value has been reported. Relative standard deviations (RSDs) (see Table S6) were mostly below 20-25%, although greater variations could be observed for 271 some antibiotics, especially in IWW samples (e.g., clindamycin and metronidazole). It is 272 worth noting that data presented in Table S6 do not correspond to replicates of the same 273

sample (*i.e.*, repeatability), but to individual data from different samples analysed throughoutthis study.

276 Occurrence of antibiotics in IWW and EWW samples

277 The 18 antibiotics were analyzedin IWW and EWW samples collected in the different campaigns. From their concentrations, the daily mass loads were calculated by multiplying 278 them by the daily flow rate (m^3/day) entering the WWTP. This is typically applied to correct 279 for dilution factors related to the sewage system and weather conditions (i.e., rainwater). 280 Concentrations and mass loads of antibiotics can be found in Tables S7 to S10. As it is 281 described in section 2.5, the samples were centrifuged before analysis, so the results shown 282 correspond to the dissolved phase of wastewater samples. Although analysis of both liquid 283 and solid phases surely provides a more accurate estimation of removal efficiency, the 284 285 medium-high polarity of the antibiotics selected imply that they are more soluble in the aqueous phase, and hardly absorbed to the suspended particles. This suggests that analysis of 286 287 the particulate phase should not significantly modify the results presented in this work.

Antibiotics belonging to the β -lactam family were not detected in any of the samples analyzed, including amoxicillin, one of the most consumed antibiotics in Spain. This fact could be related to the poor stability of these compounds in aqueous samples (Fabregat-Safontet al., 2023b), which might be explained by the limited stability of the β -lactam ring, common to all antibiotics belonging to this family of antibiotics (Lien et al., 2016b; Zuccato et al., 2005). Furthermore, the tetracycline doxycycline and the macrolide roxithromycin were not found in any sample, and the quinolone moxifloxacin was only found in EWW.

In IWW samples, five antibiotics (azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, clarithromycin, levofloxacin/ofloxacin and sulfamethoxazole) were detected in all the samples analyzed and exceeded the concentration level of 1 μ g L⁻¹ in at least one sample. The compounds with 298 highest concentrations in IWW, also showed the highest levels in the corresponding EWW samples, generally below 1 μ g L⁻¹ (except for azithromycin, up to 4 μ g L⁻¹), which revealed 299 low elimination rates in the WWTP. Our results are in accordance with a study from Italy 300 301 (Zuccato et al., 2010) where clarithromycin, sulfamethoxazole, and the fluoroquinolones ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin/ofloxacin were the most abundant antibiotics in the four 302 WWTPs investigated. Similarly, in another European research (Rodriguez-Mozaz et al., 303 2020), fluoroquinolones were observed at the highest concentrations in Portugal and Cyprus, 304 305 while the macrolides azithromycin and clarithromycin were found in all the seven studied countries, Spain among them. 306

As can be observed in Tables S9 and S10, the sum of the daily mass loads (g/day) of the 307 detected antibiotics varies depending on the sampling day. In the case of the IWW samples, 308 the values ranged from 89 to 453 g/day, highlighting the samples of May (I-007, I-010 and I-309 310 011) and June (I-013 and I-014) with the highest sum of daily loads, in all cases higher than 400 g/day. As regards to the EWW samples, the values were lower, between 46 to 250 g/day, 311 312 with the highest total daily loads (≥ 200 g/day) in May (E-011 and E-012) and June (E-014 313 and E-015) as well. Figure 2 shows the annual evolution of the daily mass load (as sum of antibiotics and antibiotic families) in both IWW and EWW samples (β-lactams are not 314 included in this figure because they were not found in any of the samples). Macrolides were 315 316 the antibiotics found at the highest mass loads, followed by the fluoroquinolones. The evolution profile of both families was similar to the sum of antibiotics in both water types, 317 observing a decrease in mass loads at the end of summer (i.e., September) and reaching 318 higher levels again in January. This data is consistent with Spanish data on antibiotic 319 resistances (Plan Nacional Resistencia Antibioticos (PRAN), 2023) and described by (Solaun 320 321 et al., 2022), where a decline in antibiotic prescription is observed annually with the approach of summer and a considerable increase is observed in January. The rest of antibiotics showed 322

a more regular pattern, although the highest daily mass loads were also reached in January,especially in IWW samples.

The antibiotics concentrations found in wastewater samples are in the line of other recent studies performed around the world (**Table S11**) and illustrate the anthropogenic impact of the use of pharmaceuticals on urban wastewaters. Considering this widely reported issue, only the efficient removal efficiency in the WWTPs would allow to minimize the potential negative impact on the aquatic environment.

330 Removal efficiencies

RE of the WWTP for the selected antibiotics was estimated as described in section 2.6 (see 331 Tables S9 and S10 for daily mass loads). The obtained results for the WWTP RE of the 332 333 selected antibiotics are shown in Figure 3. In order to facilitate the visualization, RE was considered equal to 0% when a compound was undetected in IWW, but it could be quantified 334 in EWW (e.g. a common situation for clindamycin, metronidazole and moxifloxacin). The 335 RE estimated in the different campaigns were rather variable, particularly for some 336 compounds (e.g trimethoprim), which could be due to some factors that affect the WWTP 337 338 removal, such as temperature and hydraulic retention time (Subedi et al., 2014; Vieno et al., 2007). Highly variable elimination was also observed in another WWTP for some 339 compounds with no clear tendency along three sampling campaigns (Bijlsma et al., 2021). 340 341 The highest variability in the RE estimated in the different monitoring campaigns occurred for trimethoprim and specially for metronidazole as shown in Figure 3. 342

The average RE was above 50% for five antibiotics (azithromycin, clarithromycin, ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, sulfamethoxazole), with sulfamethoxazole being efficiently eliminated (RE around 80%). On the contrary, erythromycin, levofloxacin/ofloxacin and trimethoprim were poorly removed with mean RE below 30%. These data and the variability

15/29

observed in RE are in line with other data reported in the literature (Behera et al., 2011;
Pereira et al., 2020; Bijlsma et al., 2021; Karthikeyan & Meyer, 2006; Seifrtová et al., 2010;
Zuccato et al., 2010;Lopez et al., 2022). In the case of moxifloxacin, its non-elimination
observed in the present study does not agree with studies performed in US and China (He et al., 2015; Yan et al., 2014), where an elimination around 50% was reported.

352 Three compounds (moxifloxacin, clindamycin, and metronidazole) showed no elimination (Figure 3). RE=0 or even negative RE may be explained by the fact that removal in a WWTP 353 is not only related to the treatment applied but also to the physic-chemical properties of the 354 compounds (such as pKa, log Kow and biodegradability) (Desbiolles et al., 2018; Rodriguez-355 Mozaz et al., 2020). It is challenging to link the antibiotics' physicochemical characteristics 356 to the RE attained in an activated sludge system since many variables are involved (Verlicchi 357 et al., 2012). Although more polar compounds (log K_{ow}< 2.5) usually have low sorption 358 potential (Rogers, 1996), fluoroquinolones (log $K_{ow} < 1$; see Table S3) could bind to the 359 sludge due to their zwiterionic character (Golet et al., 2003), causing low or even negative 360 elimination from the WWTP (Golovko et al., 2021; Sabri et al., 2020a; Zuccato et al., 2010), 361 as it has been observed for moxifloxacin in the present study. Negative efficiencies obviously 362 363 imply that no removal occurs in the WWTP. The fact that EWW present higher pharmaceutical concentrations than IWW may be due to the cleavage of phase II metabolites, 364 365 such as glucuronides and sulphates (Lacey et al., 2008; Vieno et al., 2007), during wastewater treatment, releasing thus parent compound and increasing their concentrations after treatment 366 (Yan et al., 2014). The low removal found for metronidazole may be justified by its high 367 solubility in water (log K_{ow} -0.02) and its low biodegradability. This compound is considered 368 369 a difficult pollutant to be eliminated by using only conventional treatments (Lien et al., 370 2016a).

372 **3.2.** Metabolite screening

In this work, four antibiotic metabolites were tentatively identified in the screening based on
the exact mass information provided by HRMS, interpretation of the fragmentation observed
and agreement with ion fragments reported in the literature.

376 3-desmethyl trimethoprim

Trimethoprim undergoes oxidative metabolism, with the demethylated 3'- and 4'- metabolites 377 accounting for approximately 65% and 25% of the total metabolite formation, respectively 378 (Goldman et al., 2015). After oral administration, 50% to 60% of trimethoprim is excreted in 379 urine within 24 hours, approximately 80% of which is unchanged parent drug (FDA, 2016). 380 The identification of this metabolite was based on the presence of two common fragment ions 381 shared with trimethoprim, at m/z 261 and 123, stablishing thus the position of the 382 demethylation (Table 2, Figure 4A). Nevertheless, it cannot be assured which is the 383 demethylated methoxy group, as the three moieties can be metabolized and will produce the 384 same fragmentation (compound identified at Level 3). 385

386 Clindamycin sulfoxide

Clindamycin is manly metabolized in the liver CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 (FDA, 2019), 387 producing two inactive metabolites: clindamycin sulfoxide and N-desmethyl clindamycin 388 (FDA, 2019). Approximately 10% of unchanged clindamycin is excreted in the urine, 3.6% 389 in the feces, and the remaining as inactive metabolites (FDA, 2019). The identification of this 390 391 metabolite was based on the presence of two common fragment ions shared with clindamycin at m/z 126 and 377. The diagnostic ion m/z 377 stablishes the position of the oxide group, a 392 sulfoxide in this case (Table 2, Figure 4B). Additionally, the observed isotope pattern fits 393 with the presence of Cl and S atoms in the compound structure, similarly to clindamycin 394 (compound identified at Level 2b). 395

N-acetyl ciprofloxacin and oxociprofloxacin 396

397 Ciprofloxacin is primarily metabolized by CYP1A2 (FDA, 2021), producing oxociprofloxacin and sulociprofloxacin (3-8% of the total dose each) (FDA, 2021). 398 Ciprofloxacin is also metabolized to desethylene ciprofloxacin and formylciprofloxacin (both 399 400 minor) (FDA, 2021), being together with the previously mentioned metabolites the 15% of a total oral dose (FDA, 2021). Unchanged ciprofloxacin resulted in 45% recovery in urine and 401 62% recovery in feces(LeBel, 1988). In this work, the metabolite N-acetyl ciprofloxacin was 402 403 identified based on one shared fragment ion with the parent ciprofloxacin at m/z 231, stablishing thus the position of the biotransformation (compound identified at Level 2b) 404 (Table 2, Figure S1A). For oxociprofloxacin, no common shared fragment ions were 405 observed. Nevertheless, the three observed ion fragments were justified based on its proposed 406 407 structure, although the oxo group could be located in different parts of the piperazine ring 408 (compound identified at Level 3) (Table 2, Figure S1B).

409

3.3. Antibiotic risk assessment

410 The comparison of measured antibiotic concentrations with environmental thresholds shows that 6 out of the 11 antibiotics detected in the WWTP effluents exceeded either the 411 412 ecotoxicological or the resistance thresholds. The antibiotics with the highest percentage of exceedances were azithromycin and clarithromycin (exceedance in 100% of samples), 413 followed by ciprofloxacin (97%), norfloxacin (77%), metronidazole (70%) and 414 levofloxacin/ofloxacin (63%; Table 1). Azithromycin and clarithromycin exceeded both the 415 PNEC_{ecotox} and the PNEC_{resistance} in all cases, while ciprofloxacin exceeded the PNEC_{resistance} 416 417 in 97% of cases and the PNEC_{ecotox} in 7% of them (Figure 5). The calculated risks for the rest of compounds were driven by the exceedance of the resistance thresholds. The magnitude of 418 exceedances ranged from about 3 for metronidazole and levofloxacin, to 209 for 419 420 azithromycin.

18/29

421 Except for metronidazole, which is often used to treat bacterial vaginosis, the compounds showing the highest potential risk belong to the macrolide and quinolone groups, which are 422 classified as antibiotics of critical importance for human health (WHO, 2019). Other studies 423 424 have also pointed to these compounds as major contributors to resistance development in 425 aquatic ecosystems. For example, Fonseca et al. (2020) identified azithromycin, ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin as the most hazardous compounds in surface waters of the Mijares River 426 427 (Spain) based on a similar approach. Other studies assessing the environmental risks of ciprofloxacin at a global scale based on a literature review showed that 58% of municipal 428 429 effluents exceeded the established resistance threshold, while 16% the ecotoxicity one (Kelly and Brooks 2018). A more recent study on the environmental occurrence of antibiotics and 430 other pharmaceuticals in surface waters of 104 countries showed that 70% of the monitored 431 432 antibiotics exceeded resistance thresholds in at least one location, and pointed at ciprofloxacin, clarithromycin, enrofloxacin (a quinolone mostly used in veterinary medicine) 433 and metronidazole as the compounds showing the largest potential contribution to antibiotic 434 resistance in European surface waters (Wilkinson et al., 2022). 435

436 Differences between the resistance thresholds calculated by Bengtsson-Palme & Larsson (2016) and those derived based on the HC5 of the MSC distribution according to Rico et al. 437 (2017) varied for the different compounds and were, in most cases, within a factor of 2, 438 suggesting that both approaches yield similar results and that none of the two is consistently 439 lower or higher than the other. To date, the number of experimentally-derived MSCs that can 440 441 be used to validate the theoretical approaches used by these two methods to establish environmental thresholds is very limited (but see (Gullberg et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011)). 442 Therefore, further experimental approaches are needed to generate MSC and to calculate 443 444 MIC-MSC extrapolation ratios to refine risk calculations for the antibiotics that show a 445 higher contribution to the environmental resistance burden, such as ciprofloxacin,446 azithromycin, or clarithromycin.

To protect environmental and public health it is important to assess the degree of selection 447 pressure by antibiotic pollution in different scenarios (Pruden et al., 2013). The outcomes of 448 449 this study suggest that the emission point of these WWTP effluents constitute a marine hotspot for ecotoxicological impacts and antibiotic resistance development, where cumulative 450 impacts may be expected due to co-exposures and the continuous nature of the WWTP 451 452 effluent emission. The extension and magnitude of such impact at the discharge point will also depend on factors such as water depth, currents, or sediment characteristics. By applying 453 a precautionary approach that considers minimal dilution in the aquatic environment, our 454 study shows that efforts are needed to eliminate antibiotic residues during the wastewater 455 456 treatment process. Based on the lowest PNEC as benchmark, we estimated target REs of 457 approximately 60% for levofloxacin/ofloxacin and metronidazole, 80% for clarithromycin, and above 90% for azithromycin and ciprofloxacin. These elimination targets should be 458 added to the elimination percentages already achieved by the conventional wastewater 459 460 treatment methods implemented at the WWTP. In this work, most antibiotics were partially removed in the WWTP, therefore additional treatments would be required to reach the targets 461 RE estimated in this study. Thus, conventional treatments may need optimization or advanced 462 treatments should be implemented to improve RE (Sabri et al., 2020b), such as microfiltration 463 and reverse osmosis (Golovko et al., 2021; Watkinson et al., 2007), phytoremediation 464 465 (McCorquodale-Bauer et al., 2023) or advanced oxidation processes, ultraviolet radiation, or ozonation (Gao et al., 2012; Luo et al., 2014). 466

- 467
- 468
- 469
- 470

471 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

A comprehensive monitoring study has been carried to assess the input and environmental 472 antibiotics in a conventional WWTP. Azithromycin, 473 emission of ciprofloxacin, 474 clarithromycin, levofloxacin/ofloxacin and sulfamethoxazole were the antibiotics found at the highest concentrations. The measured antibiotic concentrations were relatively constant 475 476 throughout the year, with a decline at the end of the summer season, which highlights the potential of these kind of analyses to reflect antibiotic consumption patterns. The estimation 477 of the WWTP removal efficiency revealed that only 5 antibiotics (sulfamethoxazole, 478 479 norfloxacin, clarithromycin, ciprofloxacin and azithromycin) were eliminated above 50%, being sulfamethoxazole the only compound that could be considered completely eliminated 480 (RE approximately 80%). About half of the detected compounds exceeded ecotoxicological 481 482 and/or resistance thresholds, being azithromycin, clarithromycin, and ciprofloxacin the compounds that showed the largest number of exceedances. In total, 18 compounds were 483 monitored, 11 detected and 6 exceeded PNEC. Despite the RE for these compounds was 484 notable (> 40%), this study recommends the application of advanced treatment technologies 485 to meet the proposed ecotoxicological and resistance standards. An additional screening of 486 487 metabolites reported in the literature allowed the identification of four compounds derived 488 from the antibiotics trimethoprim, clindamycin, and ciprofloxacin, illustrating the interest of 489 including metabolites and transformation products as well in monitoring studies and to derive 490 ecotoxicological and resistance thresholds for these compounds.

491 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors from UJI acknowledge financial support from Project PID2021-127451OB-I00 492 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by ERDF A way of making Europe, the 493 General Directorate of Water (Conselleria de Agricultura, Desarrollo Rural. 494 EmergenciaClimática y TransiciónEcológica), ,Generalitat Valenciana (research group of 495 excellence PROMETEO 2019/040) and University Jaume I (project UJI-B2022-16). L.B. and 496 497 E.G.M. acknowledge "la Caixa" Foundation (LCF/BQ/PR21/11840012, project ID 100010434).L.B. also acknowledges grant RYC2020-028936-I funded by MCIN/AEI/ 498 10.13039/501100011033 and by "ESF Investing in your future". A.R. thanks the Talented 499 Researcher Support Programme - PlanGenT (CIDEGENT/2020/043) of the Generalitat 500 Valenciana. D.F.S was supported by the Margarita Salas postdoctoral contract 501 502 MGS/2021/15(UP2021-021) financed by the European Union-NextGenerationEU. Finally, all authors acknowledge Sociedad de FomentoAgrícolaCastellonense (FACSA) (Castelló, 503 Spain) for the wastewater sample collection and the Ayuntamiento of Castelló for the 504 505 authorization to collect wastewater samples from the WWTP.

506

507 CRediT authorship contribution statement

508 Elisa Gracia-Marín: Writing – original draft, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Visualization; Andreu Rico: Writing – original draft; Investigation, Formal analysis, Data 509 510 Curation; David Fabregat-Safont: Writing – original draft, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data Curation; Francisco J. López: Writing - original draft, Investigation, Formal analysis, 511 512 Data Curation; Félix Hernández: Resources, Funding acquisition, Writing - review & editing; Elena Pitarch: Project administration, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization, 513 514 Supervision, Writing – review & editing; Lubertus Bijlsma: Funding acquisition, Conceptualization, Supervision, Data curation, Writing – review & editing. 515

516

517 REFERENCES

- 518 Aldenberg, T., & Jaworska, J. S. (2000). Uncertainty of the hazardous concentration and fraction 519 affected for normal species sensitivity distributions. In Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety
- 520 (Vol. 46, Issue 1, pp. 1–18). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1006/eesa.1999.1869
- 521 Aminov, R. I. (2010). A brief history of the antibiotic era: Lessons learned and challenges for the 522 future. Frontiers in Microbiology, 1(DEC). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2010.00134
- 523 Behera, S. K., Kim, H. W., Oh, J. E., & Park, H. S. (2011). Occurrence and removal of antibiotics, 524 hormones and several other pharmaceuticals in wastewater treatment plants of the largest 525 industrial city of Korea. Science of the Total Environment, 409(20), 4351-4360. 526 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.07.015
- 527 Bengtsson-Palme, J., & Larsson, D. G. J. (2016). Concentrations of antibiotics predicted to select for resistant bacteria: Proposed limits for environmental regulation. Environment International, 86, 528 529 140-149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.10.015
- 530 Bijlsma, L., Pitarch, E., Fonseca, E., Ibáñez, M., Botero, A. M., Claros, J., Pastor, L., & Hernández, F.
- 531 (2021). Investigation of pharmaceuticals in a conventional wastewater treatment plant:
- 532 Removal efficiency, seasonal variation and impact of a nearby hospital. Journal of
- 533 Environmental Chemical Engineering, 9(4). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.105548
- 534 Campos-Mañas, M. C., Plaza-Bolaños, P., Sánchez-Pérez, J. A., Malato, S., & Agüera, A. (2017). Fast 535 determination of pesticides and other contaminants of emerging concern in treated wastewater using direct injection coupled to highly sensitive ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-536 537 tandem mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography A, 1507, 84–94.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2017.05.053 538
- 539 Celma, A., Sancho, J. V., Schymanski, E. L., Fabregat-Safont, D., Ibáñez, M., Goshawk, J., Barknowitz, 540 G., Hernández, F., & Bijlsma, L. (2020). Improving Target and Suspect Screening High-Resolution
- 541 Mass Spectrometry Workflows in Environmental Analysis by Ion Mobility Separation.
- 542 Environmental Science and Technology, 54(23), 15120–15131.
- 543 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c05713
- 544 Desbiolles, F., Malleret, L., Tiliacos, C., Wong-Wah-Chung, P., & Laffont-Schwob, I. (2018). Occurrence 545 and ecotoxicological assessment of pharmaceuticals: Is there a risk for the Mediterranean 546 aquatic environment? In Science of the Total Environment (Vol. 639, pp. 1334–1348). Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.351
- 547
- 548 Elder, F. C. T., Feil, E. J., Pascoe, B., Sheppard, S. K., Snape, J., Gaze, W. H., & Kasprzyk-Hordern, B. 549 (2021). Stereoselective Bacterial Metabolism of Antibiotics in Environmental Bacteria – A Novel 550 Biochemical Workflow. Frontiers in Microbiology, 12.
- https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.562157 551
- 552 EUCAST (2022). MIC and Zone Diameter Distributions of Wild Type Microorganisms.
- 553 https://www.eucast.org/mic_and_zone_distributions_and_ecoffs
- 554 European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (2008). Guidance on information requirements and chemical
- 555 safety assessment Chapter R.10: Characterisation of dose [concentration]-response for
- 556 environment Guidance for the implementation of REACH. Helsinki (FI).
- 557 http://echa.europa.eu/reach_en.asp

- European Commission (2022). Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2022/1307 of 22 July 2022
 establishing a watch list of substances for Union-wide monitoring in the field of water policy
 pursuant to Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament of the Council. Official Journal of
 the European Union, L 197, 117–121. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-
- 562 content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32022D1307&qid=1679479199865
- European Commission (2017). Comunication from the Commission to the Council and the European
 Parliament. A European One Health Action Plan Against Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR).
 https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/ES/TXT/?uri=celex%3A52017DC0339
- European Commision (2018). Technical Guidance for Deriving Environmental Quality Standards.
 Directorate-General for Health and Food Safety. *European Commission Publication Office*.
 https://doi.org/10.2875/018826
- Fabregat-Safont, D., Botero-Coy, A. M., Nieto-Juárez, J. I., Torres-Palma, R. A., & Hernández, F.
 (2023a). Searching for pharmaceutically active products and metabolites in environmental
 waters of Peru by HRMS-based screening: Proposal for future monitoring and environmental
- 572 risk assessment. *Chemosphere*, *337*, 139375.
- 573 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.139375
- Fabregat-Safont, D., Gracia-Marín, E., Ibáñez, M., Pitarch, E., & Hernández, F. (2023b). Analytical key
 issues and challenges in the LC-MS/MS determination of antibiotics in wastewater. *AnalyticaChimica Acta*, *1239*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2022.340739
- Fabregat-Safont, D., Ibáñez, M., Bijlsma, L., Hernández, F., Waichman, A. V., de Oliveira, R., & Rico, A.
 (2021). Wide-scope screening of pharmaceuticals, illicit drugs and their metabolites in the
 Amazon River. *Water Research, 200*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117251
- FDA, F. and D. A. (2016, September 28). FDA, FDA Approved Drug Products: Trimethoprim oral
 tablets. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2016/018679s044lbl.pdf
- FDA, F. and D. A. (2019, September 28). FDA, FDA Approved Drug Products: Cleocin (clindamycin
 hydrochloride) oral capsules.
- 584 https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/050162s101lbl.pdf
- FDA, F. and D. A. (2021). FDA, FDA Approved Drug Products: CIPRO (ciprofloxacin) oral tablets and
 suspension.
- 587 https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/019537s093,020780s049lbl.pdf
- Fonseca, E., Hernández, F., Ibáñez, M., Rico, A., Pitarch, E., & Bijlsma, L. (2020). Occurrence and
 ecological risks of pharmaceuticals in a Mediterranean river in Eastern Spain. *Environment International*, 144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106004
- Gao, P., Ding, Y., Li, H., &Xagoraraki, I. (2012). Occurrence of pharmaceuticals in a municipal
 wastewater treatment plant: Mass balance and removal processes. *Chemosphere*, *88*(1), 17–24.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.02.017
- Goldman, J. L., Leeder, J. S., Van Haandel, L., & Pearce, R. E. (2015). In vitro hepatic oxidative
 biotransformation of trimethoprim. *Drug Metabolism and Disposition*, 43(9), 1372–1380.
 https://doi.org/10.1124/dmd.115.065193

- Golet, E. M., Xifra, I., Siegrist, H., Alder, A. C., & Giger, W. (2003). Environmental exposure assessment
 of fluoroquinolone antibacterial agents from sewage to soil. *Environmental Science and Technology*, *37*(15), 3243–3249. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0264448
- Golovko, O., Örn, S., Sörengård, M., Frieberg, K., Nassazzi, W., Lai, F. Y., & Ahrens, L. (2021).
 Occurrence and removal of chemicals of emerging concern in wastewater treatment plants and
 their impact on receiving water systems. *Science of the Total Environment*, *754*.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccitotopy.2020.142122
- 603 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142122
- 604 Gullberg, E., Cao, S., Berg, O. G., Ilbäck, C., Sandegren, L., Hughes, D., & Andersson, D. I. (2011).
 605 Selection of resistant bacteria at very low antibiotic concentrations. *PLoS Pathogens*, *7*(7).
 606 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002158
- Hanna, N., Tamhankar, A. J., & Lundborg, C. S. (2023). The development of an integrated
 environment–human risk approach for the prioritisation of antibiotics for policy decisions. *Science of the Total Environment*, *880*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.163301
- He, K., Soares, A. D., Adejumo, H., McDiarmid, M., Squibb, K., & Blaney, L. (2015). Detection of a wide
 variety of human and veterinary fluoroquinolone antibiotics in municipal wastewater and
- 612 wastewater-impacted surface water. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, 106,
- 613 136–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2014.11.020
- Hernández, F., Fabregat-Safont, D., Campos-Mañas, M., & Quintana, J. B. (2023). Efficient Validation
 Strategies in Environmental Analytical Chemistry: A Focus on Organic Micropollutants in Water
 Samples. Annual Review of Analytical Chemistry, 16.
- 617 Ibáñez, M., Bijlsma, L., Pitarch, E., López, F. J., & Hernández, F. (2021). Occurrence of pharmaceutical
 618 metabolites and transformation products in the aquatic environment of the Mediterranean
 619 area. In *Trends in Environmental Analytical Chemistry* (Vol. 29). Elsevier B.V.
 620 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teas.2021.00110
- 620 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teac.2021.e00118
- 621 Ibáñez, M., Borova, V., Boix, C., Aalizadeh, R., Bade, R., Thomaidis, N. S., & Hernández, F. (2017).
- 622 UHPLC-QTOF MS screening of pharmaceuticals and their metabolites in treated wastewater
 623 samples from Athens. *JournalofHazardousMaterials*, *323*, 26–35.
- 624 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.03.078
- Instituto Nacional de Estadistica. (2023, April 22). *Castellón/Castelló: Población por municipios y sexo*.
 https://www.ine.es/jaxiT3/Tabla.htm?t=2865&L=0
- Karthikeyan, K. G., & Meyer, M. T. (2006). Occurrence of antibiotics in wastewater treatment facilities
 in Wisconsin, USA. *Science of the Total Environment*, *361*(1–3), 196–207.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitoteny.2005.06.030
- 629 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.06.030
- Kelly, K. R., & Brooks, B. W. (2018). Global Aquatic Hazard Assessment of Ciprofloxacin: Exceedances
 of Antibiotic Resistance Development and Ecotoxicological Thresholds. In *Progress in Molecular*
- 632 *Biology and Translational Science* (Vol. 159, pp. 59–77). Elsevier B.V.
- 633 https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pmbts.2018.07.004
- Kovalakova, P., Cizmas, L., McDonald, T. J., Marsalek, B., Feng, M., & Sharma, V. K. (2020). Occurrence
 and toxicity of antibiotics in the aquatic environment: A review. In *Chemosphere* (Vol. 251).
- 636 Elsevier Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126351

- Kumar, M., Jaiswal, S., Sodhi, K. K., Shree, P., Singh, D. K., Agrawal, P. K., & Shukla, P. (2019).
 Antibiotics bioremediation: Perspectives on its ecotoxicity and resistance. In *Environment International* (Vol. 124, pp. 448–461). Elsevier Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.12.065
- Lacey, C., McMahon, G., Bones, J., Barron, L., Morrissey, A., & Tobin, J. M. (2008). An LC-MS method
 for the determination of pharmaceutical compounds in wastewater treatment plant influent
 and effluent samples. *Talanta*, *75*(4), 1089–1097. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2008.01.011
- Le Page, G., Gunnarsson, L., Snape, J., & Tyler, C. R. (2017). Integrating human and environmental
 health in antibiotic risk assessment: A critical analysis of protection goals, species sensitivity and
 antimicrobial resistance. In *Environment International* (Vol. 109, pp. 155–169). Elsevier Ltd.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.09.013
- LeBel, M. (1988). Ciprofloxacin: Chemistry, Mechanism of Action, Resistance, Antimicrobial Spectrum,
 Pharmacokinetics, Clinical Trials, and Adverse Reactions. *Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy*, 8(1), 3–30. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.18759114.1988.tb04058.x
- Lien, L. T. Q., Hoa, N. Q., Chuc, N. T. K., Thoa, N. T. M., Phuc, H. D., Diwan, V., Dat, N. T., Tamhankar,
 A. J., & Lundborg, C. S. (2016a). Antibiotics in wastewater of a rural and an urban hospital
 before and after wastewater treatment, and the relationship with antibiotic use-a one year
 study from Vietnam. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *13*(6).
 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13060588
- Lien, L. T. Q., Hoa, N. Q., Chuc, N. T. K., Thoa, N. T. M., Phuc, H. D., Diwan, V., Dat, N. T., Tamhankar,
 A. J., & Lundborg, C. S. (2016b). Antibiotics in wastewater of a rural and an urban hospital
 before and after wastewater treatment, and the relationship with antibiotic use-a one year
 study from Vietnam. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *13*(6).
 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13060588
- Liu, A., Fong, A., Becket, E., Yuan, J., Tamae, C., Medrano, L., Maiz, M., Wahba, C., Lee, C., Lee, K.,
 Tran, K. P., Yang, H., Hoffman, R. M., Salih, A., & Miller, J. H. (2011). Selective advantage of
 resistant strains at trace levels of antibiotics: A simple and ultrasensitive color test for detection
 of antibiotics and genotoxic agents. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 55(3), 1204–1210.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01182-10
- Löffler, P., Escher, B. I., Baduel, C., Virta, M. P., & Lai, F. Y. (2023). Antimicrobial Transformation
 Products in the Aquatic Environment: Global Occurrence, Ecotoxicological Risks, and Potential
 of Antibiotic Resistance. *EnvironmentalScience&Technology*.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c09854
- Lopez, F. J., Pitarch, E., Botero-Coy, A. M., Fabregat-Safont, D., Ibáñez, M., Marin, J. M., Peruga, A.,
 Ontañón, N., Martínez-Morcillo, S., Olalla, A., Valcárcel, Y., Varó, I., & Hernández, F. (2022).
 Removal efficiency for emerging contaminants in a WWTP from Madrid (Spain) after secondary
 and tertiary treatment and environmental impact on the Manzanares River. *Science of the Total Environment, 812.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152567
- Luo, Y., Guo, W., Ngo, H. H., Nghiem, L. D., Hai, F. I., Zhang, J., Liang, S., & Wang, X. C. (2014). A
 review on the occurrence of micropollutants in the aquatic environment and their fate and
 removal during wastewater treatment. In *Science of the Total Environment* (Vols. 473–474, pp.
 619–641). Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.12.065

- Martínez-Piernas, A. B., Plaza-Bolaños, P., & Agüera, A. (2021). Assessment of the presence of
 transformation products of pharmaceuticals in agricultural environments irrigated with
 reclaimed water by wide-scope LC-QTOF-MS suspect screening. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*,
 412. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125080
- McCorquodale-Bauer, K., Grosshans, R., Zvomuya, F., & Cicek, N. (2023). Critical review of
 phytoremediation for the removal of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in wastewater.
 Science of The Total Environment, 161876. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.161876
- Pereira, A., Silva, L., Laranjeiro, C., Lino, C., & Pena, A. (2020). Selected pharmaceuticals in different
 aquatic compartments: Part I—Source, fate and occurrence. In *Molecules* (Vol. 25, Issue 5).
 MDPI AG. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25051026
- Plan Nacional Resistencia Antibioticos (PRAN). (2023). *Mapas de consumo de antibioticos*.
 https://resistenciaantibioticos.es/es/lineas-de-accion/vigilancia/mapas-de-consumo/consumo antibioticos-humana
- Pruden, A., Joakim Larsson, D. G., Amézquita, A., Collignon, P., Brandt, K. K., Graham, D. W.,
 Lazorchak, J. M., Suzuki, S., Silley, P., Snape, J. R., Topp, E., Zhang, T., & Zhu, Y. G. (2013).
 Management options for reducing the release of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes to
 the environment. In *Environmental Health Perspectives* (Vol. 121, Issue 8, pp. 878–885).
 https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1206446
- Rico, A., Jacobs, R., Van den Brink, P. J., & Tello, A. (2017). A probabilistic approach to assess
 antibiotic resistance development risks in environmental compartments and its application to
 an intensive aquaculture production scenario. *Environmental Pollution, 231*, 918–928.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.08.079
- Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., Vaz-Moreira, I., Varela Della Giustina, S., Llorca, M., Barceló, D., Schubert, S.,
 Berendonk, T. U., Michael-Kordatou, I., Fatta-Kassinos, D., Martinez, J. L., Elpers, C., Henriques,
 I., Jaeger, T., Schwartz, T., Paulshus, E., O'Sullivan, K., Pärnänen, K. M. M., Virta, M., Do, T. T., ...
 Manaia, C. M. (2020). Antibiotic residues in final effluents of European wastewater treatment
 plants and their impact on the aquatic environment. *Environment International*, *140*.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105733
- Rogers, H. R. (1996). Sources, behaviour and fate of organic contaminants during sewage treatment
 and in sewage sludges. *The Science of the Total Environment*, *185*, 3–26.
- Roose-Amsaleg, C., &Laverman, A. M. (2016). Do antibiotics have environmental side-effects? Impact
 of synthetic antibiotics on biogeochemical processes. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 23*(5), 4000–4012. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4943-3
- Sabri, N. A., van Holst, S., Schmitt, H., van der Zaan, B. M., Gerritsen, H. W., Rijnaarts, H. H. M.,
 & Langenhoff, A. A. M. (2020a). Fate of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes during
 conventional and additional treatment technologies in wastewater treatment plants. *Science of the Total Environment*, 741. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140199
- Sabri, N. A., van Holst, S., Schmitt, H., van der Zaan, B. M., Gerritsen, H. W., Rijnaarts, H. H. M.,
 & Langenhoff, A. A. M. (2020b). Fate of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes during
 conventional and additional treatment technologies in wastewater treatment plants. *Science of the Total Environment*, 741. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140199

- SANTE (2021). Analytical quality control and method validation procedures for pesticide residues
 analysis in food and feedSANTE 11312/2021.
- Seifrtová, M., Aufartová, J., Vytlačilová, J., Pena, A., Solich, P., & Nováková, L. (2010). Determination
 of fluoroguinolone antibiotics in wastewater using ultra high-performance liquid
- 724 chromatography with mass spectrometry and fluorescence detection.
- 725 *JournalofSeparationScience*, *33*(14), 2094–2108. https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201000215
- Solaun, O., Rodríguez, J. G., Borja, Á., López-García, E., Zonja, B., Postigo, C., Barceló, D., de Alda, M.
 L., & Larreta, J. (2022). Antibiotics in the Basque coast (N Spain): Occurrence in waste and
 receiving waters, and risk assessment (2017–2020). *Science of the Total Environment*, 847.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157563
- Subedi, B., Lee, S., Moon, H. B., & Kannan, K. (2014). Emission of artificial sweeteners, select
 pharmaceuticals, and personal care products through sewage sludge from wastewater
 treatment plants in Korea. *Environment International*, 68, 33–40.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.org/10.1016/j.
- 733 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.03.006
- 734 Tell, J., Caldwell, D. J., Häner, A., Hellstern, J., Hoeger, B., Journel, R., Mastrocco, F., Ryan, J. J., Snape,
- J., Straub, J. O., & Vestel, J. (2019). Science-based Targets for Antibiotics in Receiving Waters
 from Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Operations. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*, *15*(3), 312–319. https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4141
- Van Hoi, B., Vu, C. T., Phung-Thi, L. A., Thi Nguyen, T., Nguyen, P. T., Mai, H., Le, P. T., Nguyen, T. H.,
 Thanh Duong, D., Nguyen Thi, H., Le-Van, D., & Chu, D. B. (2021). Determination of
 Pharmaceutical Residues by UPLC-MS/MS Method: Validation and Application on Surface Water
 and Hospital Wastewater. *Journal of Analytical Methods in Chemistry*, 2021.
 https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6628285
- Van Vlaardingen, P. L. A., Traas, T. P., Wintersen, A. M., & Aldenberg, T. (2004). *E T X 2.0 A Program to Calculate Hazardous Concentra-tions and Fraction Affected, Based on Normally Distributed Toxicity Data. Report no. 601501028/2004.*
- Verlicchi, P., Al Aukidy, M., &Zambello, E. (2012). Occurrence of pharmaceutical compounds in urban
 wastewater: Removal, mass load and environmental risk after a secondary treatment-A review.
 In Science of the Total Environment (Vol. 429, pp. 123–155).
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.04.028
- Vieno, N., Tuhkanen, T., &Kronberg, L. (2007). Elimination of pharmaceuticals in sewage treatment
 plants in Finland. *Water Research*, *41*(5), 1001–1012.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.12.017
- Watkinson, A. J., Murby, E. J., & Costanzo, S. D. (2007). Removal of antibiotics in conventional and
 advanced wastewater treatment: Implications for environmental discharge and wastewater
 recycling. *Water Research*, *41*(18), 4164–4176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.04.005
- WHO, W. H. O. (2019). Critically Important Antimicrobials for Human Medicine 6th Revision 2018
 Ranking of medically important antimicrobials for risk management of antimicrobial resistance
 due to non-human use. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/
- 759 10665/312266/9789241515528-eng.pdf
- WHO, W. H. O. (2023, December 10). *Global Antimicrobial Resistance and Use Surveillance System* (*GLASS*). https://www.who.int/initiatives/glass

- Wielens Becker, R., Ibáñez, M., Cuervo Lumbaque, E., Wilde, M. L., Flores da Rosa, T., Hernández, F.,
 & Sirtori, C. (2020). Investigation of pharmaceuticals and their metabolites in Brazilian hospital
 wastewater by LC-QTOF MS screening combined with a preliminary exposure and in silico risk
 assessment. *Science of the Total Environment, 699*.
- 766 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134218
- Wilkinson, J. L., Boxall, A. B. A., Kolpin, D. W., & Kenneth, M. Y. L. (2022). *Pharmaceutical pollution of the world's rivers*. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2113947119/-/DCSupplemental
- 769 Yan, Q., Gao, X., Chen, Y. P., Peng, X. Y., Zhang, Y. X., Gan, X. M., Zi, C. F., & Guo, J. S. (2014).
- 770 Occurrence, fate and ecotoxicological assessment of pharmaceutically active compounds in
- wastewater and sludge from wastewater treatment plants in Chongqing, the Three Gorges
 Reservoir Area. *Science of the Total Environment*, 470–471, 618–630.
- 773 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.09.032
- Zuccato, E., Castiglioni, S., Bagnati, R., Melis, M., & Fanelli, R. (2010). Source, occurrence and fate of
 antibiotics in the Italian aquatic environment. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, *179*(1–3), 1042–
- 776 1048. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.03.110
- Zuccato, E., Castiglioni, S., & Fanelli, R. (2005). Identification of the pharmaceuticals for human use
 contaminating the Italian aquatic environment. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 122(3), 205–
- 779 209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.03.001

780