

# Computational Procedure to an accurate DFT simulation to solid state systems

*Eduardo O. Gomes<sup>1,4</sup>, Guilherme S. L. Fabris<sup>2</sup>, Mateus M. Ferrer<sup>3</sup>, Fabiana V. Motta<sup>1</sup>,  
Mauricio R. D. Bomio<sup>1</sup>, Juan Andres<sup>4</sup>, Elson Longo<sup>5</sup> and Julio R. Sambrano<sup>2\*</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>LSQM – Laboratory of Chemical Synthesis of Materials, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, RN, Brazil.

<sup>2</sup>Modeling and Molecular Simulation Group - CDMF, São Paulo State University, 17033-360, Bauru, SP, Brazil.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Federal University of Pelotas, 96010-610, Pelotas, RS, Brazil.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Analytical and Physical Chemistry, University Jaume I (UJI), Castelló 12071, Spain.

<sup>5</sup>Chemistry Institute - CDMF, Federal University of São Carlos, P.O. Box 14801-907, São Carlos, SP, Brazil.

**Supplementary information**

**Table S1** - Optimized lattice parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ) and band gap energy ( $E_{gap}$ , eV) of  $BaMoO_4$ . The differences (%) from the experimental values are shown in parentheses.

Functional	$a=b$	$c$	$E_{gap}$
<b>B1WC</b>	5.53 (-0.90)	12.57 (-1.95)	5.05 (+11.23)
<b>B3PW</b>	5.59 (+0.18)	12.76 (-0.46)	5.41 (-19.16)
<b>PBE0</b>	5.58 (0.00)	12.71 (-0.85)	5.87 (+29.30)
<b>PBESOL0</b>	5.53 (-0.90)	12.54 (-2.18)	5.93 (+30.61)
<b>SOGGAXC</b>	5.51 (-1.25)	12.63 (-1.48)	3.66 (-19.38)
<b>HSE06</b>	5.58 (0.00)	12.71 (-0.85)	5.13 (+13.00)
<b>WC1LYP</b>	<b>5.56 (-0.35)</b>	<b>12.75 (-0.54)</b>	<b>4.98 (+9.70)</b>
<b>B3LYP</b>	5.62 (+0.71)	12.87 (-0.23)	5.35 (+17.84)
<b>Experimental<sup>39</sup></b>	5.58	12.82	4.54

**Table S2** – HF percentage analysis of the hybrid functionals using the optimized atomic basis set (BasisOpt/HF%). The lattice parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ), band gap energy ( $E_{gap}$ , eV) and the differences (%) from the experimental values are shown in parentheses.

HF %	WC1LYP			B3LYP		
	$a$	$c$	$E_{gap}$	$a$	$c$	$E_{gap}$
<b>3%</b>	5.63 (+0.89)	12.82 (0.00)	3.66 (-19.38)	5.68 (+1.80)	13.03 (+1.63)	3.68 (-18.94)
<b>6%</b>	5.63 (+0.89)	12.80 (-0.15)	3.92 (-13.65)	5.68 (+1.80)	13.01 (+1.48)	3.94 (-13.21)
<b>10%</b>	5.63 (+0.89)	12.78 (-0.31)	4.27 (-5.95)	5.68 (+1.80)	12.98 (+1.25)	4.29 (-5.51)
<b>12%</b>	<b>5.63 (+0.89)</b>	<b>12.77 (-0.39)</b>	<b>4.45 (-1.98)</b>	<b>5.68 (+1.80)</b>	<b>12.97 (+1.17)</b>	<b>4.48 (-1.32)</b>
<b>14%</b>	5.68 (+1.80)	12.98 (+1.24)	4.65 (+2.42)	5.68 (+1.80)	12.96 (+1.09)	4.65 (+2.42)

**Table S3** – HF exchange percentage analysis of the hybrid functionals of the original atomic basis (HF%/BasisOpt) The lattice parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ), band gap energy ( $E_{gap}$ , eV) and the differences (%) from the experimental values are shown in parentheses.

HF%	WC1LYP			B3LYP		
	$a$	$c$	$E_{gap}$	$a$	$c$	$E_{gap}$
<b>3%</b>	5.56 (-0.35)	12.79 (-0.23)	3.81 (-16.08)	5.62 (+0.71)	12.96 (+1.09)	3.8 (-16.30)
<b>6%</b>	5.56 (-0.35)	12.78 (-0.31)	4.08 (-10.13)	5.62 (+0.71)	12.95 (+1.01)	4.06 (-10.57)
<b>10%</b>	5.56 (-0.35)	12.76 (-0.46)	4.44 (-2.20)	5.62 (+0.71)	12.92 (+0.78)	4.42 (-2.64)
<b>12%</b>	<b>5.56 (-0.35)</b>	<b>12.75 (-0.54)</b>	<b>4.62 (+1.76)</b>	<b>5.62 (+0.71)</b>	<b>12.91 (+0.70)</b>	<b>4.61 (+1.54)</b>
<b>14%</b>	5.57 (-0.17)	12.74 (-0.62)	4.8 (+5.72)	5.62 (+0.71)	12.90 (+0.62)	4.79 (+5.50)

The original basis set are shown on the following pages and the position of each optimized exponents for substitution are highlighted in red.

**Barium Basis set**

56 10	0 3 6 10.0 1.0
0 0 9 2.0 1.0	436.904 0.0151
5268534.5 0.0000487	130.498 0.1041
770380.75 0.000399	49.0975 0.3255
165754.0 0.00231	20.5988 0.4708
43022.7 0.0111	9.4682 0.2707
12502.388 0.046	4.5895 0.0473
3992.6684 0.1534	0 1 3 8.0 1.0
1421.8082 0.3477	9.9542 0.5334 -0.1412
567.1226 0.433	6.4094 0.1985 0.2844
238.96431 0.2053	3.2089 0.0769 0.2868
0 1 7 8.0 1.0	0 3 3 10.0 1.0
15454.316 -0.000378 0.0011	8.4525 0.2177
3602.2466 -0.00636 0.00992	3.4646 0.5783
1118.05623 -0.0517 0.0574	1.4564 0.4139
403.42504 -0.1463 0.2166	0 3 1 0.0 1.0
165.63812 0.0839 0.4578	0.4539 ( $^1\alpha_{sp}$ ) 1.0
77.56938 0.6077 0.4717	0 1 3 8.0 1.0
38.15578 0.5106 0.2341	5.1897 0.1986 0.2803
0 1 6 8.0 1.0	2.4353 0.959 1.3841
387.66574 0.00625 -0.0124	1.173 0.4417 0.6019
146.12605 -0.0215 -0.0742	0 1 1 2.0 1.0
59.60664 -0.3106 -0.00329	0.572 ( $\alpha_d$ ) 1.0 1.0
27.24022 -0.0856 0.8572	0 1 1 0.0 1.
13.08315 0.9117 1.3915	0.5286 ( $^2\alpha_{sp}$ ) 1.0 1.0
6.49829 0.554 0.5311	

## Molibdenum Basis set

42 9	5.152159 0.9806 1.2527
0 0 9 2.0 1.0	2.264732 0.2897 0.3209
2803592.825747 0.00005	0 3 6 10.0 1.0
444817.867538 0.000355	293.95 0.00793
97623.996506 0.00215	87.2824 0.0589
24043.681147 0.0112	32.1457 0.218
6747.518431 0.0473	12.9741 0.4242
2131.383958 0.1567	5.3868 0.4127
752.716925 0.3532	2.211 0.1305
293.984852 0.4244	0 1 3 8.0 1.0
120.908020 0.1667	4.4173 -2.8714 -0.0766
0 1 7 8.0 1.0	1.9173 0.2991 0.52
8300.696289 -0.000336 0.001	0.8516 7.9229 1.0991
1904.293335 -0.00655 0.00936	0 1 1 0.0 1.0
572.519470 -0.0576 0.0567	0.3534 ( $^1\alpha_{sp}$ ) 1.0 1.0
198.596466 -0.1475 0.2205	0 3 3 4.0 1.0
77.368034 0.2011 0.4589	3.0946 0.1237
33.814167 0.6759 0.4095	1.1746 0.4234
15.491380 0.3038 0.1253	0.4578 0.5112
0 1 6 8.0 1.0	0 3 1 0.0 1.0
180.935425 0.00529 -0.0139	0.1781 ( $\alpha_d$ ) 1.0
66.122765 -0.0394 -0.0723	0 1 1 2.0 1.0
27.487516 -0.3338 0.0737	0.1424 ( $^2\alpha_{sp}$ ) 1.0 1.0
11.638353 0.1347 0.9517	

## Oxygen Basis set

8 3

0 0 6 2.0 1.0

5484.671660 0.001831074430

825.2349460 0.01395017220

188.0469580 0.06844507810

52.96450000 0.2327143360

16.89757040 0.4701928980

5.799635340 0.3585208530

0 1 3 6.0 1.0

15.53961625 -0.1107775490 0.07087426820

3.599933586 -0.1480262620 0.3397528390

1.013761750 1.130767010 0.7271585770

0 1 1 0.0 1.0

0.2742 ( $\alpha_{sp}$ ) 1.0 1.0