AIDS and Behavior

VALIDATION OF THE AIDS PREVENTION QUESTIONNAIRE: A BRIEF SELF-REPORT INSTRUMENT TO ASSESS RISK OF HIV INFECTION AND GUIDE BEHAVIORAL CHANGE

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	AIBE-D-18-00110R2					
Full Title:	VALIDATION OF THE AIDS PREVENTION QUESTIONNAIRE: A BRIEF SELF- REPORT INSTRUMENT TO ASSESS RISK OF HIV INFECTION AND GUIDE BEHAVIORAL CHANGE					
Article Type:	Original Research					
Keywords:	HIV prevention; sexual risk behavior; level of risk; psychometric properties; preventive interventions					
Corresponding Author:	Rafael Ballester-Arnal, Ph.D. Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud. Universit Castellón, SPAIN	at Jaume I de Castelló				
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:						
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud. Universit	at Jaume I de Castelló				
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:						
First Author:	María Dolores Gil-Llario					
First Author Secondary Information:						
Order of Authors:	María Dolores Gil-Llario					
	Estefanía Ruiz-Palomino, Ph. D.					
	Vicente Morell-Mengual					
	Cristina Giménez-García					
	Rafael Ballester-Arnal					
Order of Authors Secondary Information:						
Funding Information:	Universidad Jaume I-Fundación Bancaixa (P1 1B2006-19)	Ph. D. Rafael Ballester-Arnal				
	Fundación para la Investigación y la Prevención del Sida en España (exp. 36639/07)	Ph. D. Rafael Ballester-Arnal				
Abstract:	This paper describes the process of building and validating the AIDS Prevention Questionnaire (CPS), a brief HIV risk assessment measure. An initial 64-items bank was filled out by 466 young people (192 men and 274 women), aged between 17 to 26 years (M= 20.62; SD = 2.15). The exploratory factor analysis revealed five components: Knowledge about HIV, Condom Attitudes, Intentions of Condom Use, Safe sexual behavior and Stigma and discrimination towards people living with HIV. This structure was confirmed by confirmatory factor analysis. The internal consistency for the different components ranged from .67 to .74. Moreover, CPS has a classification system that allows determining the level of risk. These results support the AIDS Prevention Questionnaire as a valid and reliable measure to detect earlier the risk for HIV infection and to design adjusted preventive interventions					
Response to Reviewers:	We would like to express our appreciation be We have followed all the recommendations					

Title: Validation of the AIDS Prevention Questionnaire: a brief self-report instrument to assess risk of HIV infection and guide behavioral change

Suggested running head: Validation of the Aids Prevention Questionnaire

Gil-Llario M.D.¹, Ruiz-Palomino, E.², Morell-Mengual, V.¹, Giménez-García, C.², and Ballester-Arnal, R.²

 ¹ Department of Developmental and Educational Psychology Universitat de València Av. Blasco Ibáñez, 21
 46010 Valencia (Spain)
 ² Department of Clinical and Basic Psychology and Psychobiology Universitat Jaume I of Castellón Avda. Vicent Sos Baynat s/n
 12071 Castellón de la Plana (Spain)
 E-mail: rballest@uji.es

Phone: +34 964 72 97 26

Compliance with Ethical Standards:

Funding - This work was supported by Universidad Jaume I-Fundación Bancaixa (P1 1B2006-19) and by the Spanish Foundation for AIDS Research and Prevention known as Fundación para la Investigación y la Prevención del Sida en España (exp. 36639/07). Conflict of interest - The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethical approval - All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed consent - Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

	VALIDATION OF THE AIDS PREVENTION QUESTIONNAIRE: A BRIEF
1 2	SELF-REPORT INSTRUMENT TO ASSESS RISK OF HIV INFECTION AND
3 4 5	GUIDE BEHAVIORAL CHANGE
6 7	
8 9	
10 11	
12 13	
14 15	
16 17	
18 19	
20 21	
22 23	
24 25	
26 27	
28 29	
30 31	
32 33	
34 35	
36 37	
38 39	
40 41 42	
42 43 44	
45 46	
47 48	
49 50	
51 52	
53 54	
55 56	
57 58	
59 60	
61 62	
63 64	
65	

Resumen

Este artículo describe el proceso de construcción y validación del Cuestionario para la Prevención del Sida (CPS), un instrumento breve de evaluación del riesgo de infección por VIH. Un banco inicial de 64 ítems fue cumplimentado por 466 jóvenes (192 hombres y 274 mujeres), con edades comprendidas entre 17 y 26 años (M = 20.62; DT = 2.15). El análisis factorial exploratorio reveló cinco componentes: información y conocimientos sobre VIH, autoeficacia percibida en el uso del preservativo, intención de uso del preservativo, uso autoinformado del preservativo y solidaridad y empatía hacia las personas que viven con VIH. Esta estructura fue ratificada mediante análisis factorial confirmatorio. La consistencia interna para los distintos componentes osciló entre .67 y .74. Además, el CPS presenta un sistema de clasificación que permite determinar el nivel de riesgo. Estos resultados indican que el Cuestionario para la Prevención del Sida es un instrumento válido y fiable para la detección temprana del nivel de riesgo para la infección por VIH y para el diseño de intervenciones preventivas personalizadas.

Palabras clave: prevención del VIH, conducta sexual de riesgo, nivel de riesgo, propiedades psicométricas, intervenciones preventivas

Abstract

This paper describes the process of building and validating the AIDS Prevention Questionnaire (CPS), a brief HIV risk assessment measure. An initial 64-items bank was filled out by 466 young people (192 men and 274 women), aged between 17 to 26 years (M= 20.62; SD = 2.15). The exploratory factor analysis revealed five components: Knowledge about HIV, Condom Attitudes, Intentions of Condom Use, Safe sexual behavior and Stigma and discrimination towards people living with HIV. This structure was confirmed by confirmatory factor analysis. The internal consistency for the different components ranged from .67 to .74. Moreover, CPS has a classification system that allows determining the level of risk. These results support the AIDS Prevention Questionnaire as a valid and reliable measure to detect earlier the risk for HIV infection and to design adjusted preventive interventions.

Keywords: HIV prevention, sexual risk behavior, level of risk, psychometric properties, preventive interventions

Introduction

HIV/AIDS remains one of the most serious global health problems. At this moment, global rate of new HIV diagnoses in Spain is 7.2 per 100,000 population [1]. The information system for new HIV diagnoses (SINIVIH) reported 3,353 new HIV diagnoses last year, as well as 86.663 people living with HIV in Spain. New HIV diagnoses are mainly related to sexual transmission. In particular, transmission in men who have sex with men (MSM) was the most frequent route of infection (53.1%), followed by heterosexual transmission, which represented 26.5%, and injecting drug users (IDU), who made up 3.6%. Men represented 83.9% of new HIV diagnoses in 2016 and the mean rates for men and women were 12.3 and 2.2 per 100,000 population. Young people under 30 years account for 25.8% of new infections. Furthermore, 46% showed signs of delayed diagnosis (with less than 350 CD-4 cells), even though HIV testing is available, confidential and free of charge for everybody [2].

Nowadays, surveillance on AIDS cases shows that epidemic is based primarily on risky sexual behaviors. Different behavioral change theories have developed a conceptual framework for HIV prevention. The Health Belief Model (HBM) [3], the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) [4] or Planned Behavior (TPB) [5], the Information-Motivation-Behavioral Skills Model (IMB) [6], and the Social Cognitive Theory (SCT) [7], have been the most relevant in this field of knowledge. Each of them has identified a number of constructs that would be predictors of sexual risk behavior [8].

In these decades, multiple scales and questionnaires have been published in different countries that evaluate the main components of these models (see Table 1): *beliefs and attitudes* (for example: Multidimensional Condom Attitudes Scale [9]; HIV-Antibody Testing Attitude Scale [10]; HIV/AIDS Attitudes Scale [11]; HIV/AIDS Stigma Scale [12]; Condom Use Expectancy Scale [13]; Condom Barriers and

Motivations Scale [14], HIV Attitudes Scale [15]), *knowledge and information* (for example: HIV Knowledge Questionnaire [16]; HIV and other STI Knowledge Scale [17]), *self-efficacy* (for example: Condom Use Self-Efficacy Scale [18]; Specific Condom Use Self-efficacy [19]; Modified Condom Outcome Expectancy Scale [20]; Condom Use Self-efficacy Measure [21]), *perception of risk* (for example: Fear of AIDS Instrument [22]; Risk-Taking Questionnaire [23]; Perceived Risk of HIV Scale [24]; Multicomponent AIDS Phobia Scale [25], Worry about Sexual Outcomes [26]); or *behavior and behavioral intention* (for example: The Safe Sex Behavior Questionnaire [27]; Condom Influence Strategy Questionnaire [28]; HIV Risk Behavior Questionnaire [29]; HIV-Risk Index [30]).

Others questionnaires have been based on some models: HBM (AIDS Health Belief Scale) [31]), IMB (ES 5 Questionnaire) [32]) or TPB (Sexual Risk Behavior Scale [33]). The others measure a series of constructs related to different theoretical approaches [34-41]. All of them have adequate psychometric properties, are usually written in English, the number of items range from 30 to 170 and include three to seven components.

There are some unidimensional scales mentioned above in the Spanish context [15, 17, 25, 26]. The adaptation of the HIV/AIDS-164 Scale [37] by Bermúdez et al. [42], is composed of factual knowledge, misconceptions, attitudes, perceived susceptibility, and self-efficacy. The HIV-Risk Index by Ballester-Arnal et al. [30] estimates HIV risk exposure among young people through a global score based on direct and indirect indicators.

After reviewing literature (see Table 1), we have not found a brief multidimensional assessment measure in which all theoretical perspectives are integrated, broader vision of risk behavior for HIV infection is provided (knowledge, attitudes towards HIV and safe sex, self-efficacy, behavioral intention, preventive behavior and stigma towards people living with HIV), and health care professionals were supported to make a more extensive use, for example to make decisions about specifics interventions actions. The AIDS Prevention Questionnaire (CPS) has two purposes: firstly, the diagnosis of risk profile for HIV infection, and secondly, the design, planning and evaluation of the effectiveness of preventive interventions to change sexual risk behavior. CPS integrates quantitative and qualitative assessment methods. In the present study, the construction and validation of a descriptive and explanatory measure of risk behavior for HIV/AIDS addressed to adolescents and young Hispanics is presented.

INSERT TABLE 1

Method

Participants

Four hundred and sixty-six Spanish young people were assessed (58.8% were women and 41.2% were men) in different activities organized by XXX. The age ranged between 17 and 26 years ($M_{age} = 20.62$; SD = 2.15). Most of them self-identified as heterosexual (females: 93%; males: 88%) and some of them as homosexual (females: 2%; males: 9%) or bisexual (females: 5%; males: 3%). Regarding country of origin, 98% were Spanish and 2% were from other countries. Concerning sexual experience, 89.9% of participants reported mutual masturbation, followed by vaginal sex (88.8%), oral sex (87.1%) and anal sex (30.5%).

Measures

AIDS Prevention Questionnaire (Cuestionario de Prevención del Sida or CPS) is a self-administered measure that includes 44 different response format questions: 14 dichotomous items, 2 multiple choice items, 24 Likert-type items and 4 continuous 0 to

100 items. The questionnaire considers HIV/AIDS prevention as a multidimensional perspective and uses the sociocognitive models of health behavior as theoretical reference. The main components are information and knowledge about HIV (12 items), attitudes and perceived self-efficacy (14 items), behavioral intention condom use (6 items), self-reported use of condom and HIV antibody testing (7 items), and solidarity and empathy towards people living with HIV (5 items).

Description of the CPS domains:

1. Knowledge about HIV (K-HIV). A series of statements about the level of perceived information (items 1, 2 and 10), real knowledge about routes of transmission and risky practices (items 7 and 8), types of information sources (item 3), preventive measures (item 9), HIV antibody testing (items 11 and 12), and the impact of disease in people living with HIV (items 4, 5 and 6).

2. Self-efficacy and attitudes (SEA). A series of statements that describe ability and skills to use condoms such as buying, putting or refusing unsafe sexual intercourse (item 13, 18, 20 and 21), and feelings related to sexual communication (item 14, 15 and 16), influence of drugs and sexual excitation on the use of condoms (item 17 and 19). Moreover, some items ask about perceived probability and fear of disease and perceived severity (item 22, 23 and 24), subjective norm (item 26) and trust on condoms (item 25) are included.

3. Condom use intention (CUSEI). A series of statements that measure behavioral intention of condom use in different sexual practices (item 27, 28 and 29), types of partner (item 30 and 31) and risk scenarios (item 32).

4. Safe sexual behavior (SAS-B). A series of self-reported statements about frequency of condom use in different sexual practices (item 33, 34 and 35), types of partner (item

Validation of the Aids Prevention Questionnaire

36 and 37) and risk scenarios (item 38). Moreover, 1-item related to get HIV antibody testing (item 39) is included.

5. Stigma and discrimination towards people living with HIV (SD-HIV). A series of statements that measure solidary behavior towards known and unknown people living with HIV (item 42, 43 and 44). Moreover, items related to empathy and social perception of HIV-positive people (item 40 and 41) are included.

Procedure

In order to design the assessment tool, a group of experts in health psychology generated a set of statements that represented the main keys of the theoretical models of HIV prevention. Two experts extensively reviewed a bank of 64 items. The quality criteria were syntactic correction, semantic comprehension and adequacy of statements to the construct. The experts evaluated each item scoring from 0 to 5. Questions that had formulation problems were deleted, some statements were rewritten using alternative expressions, and items with similar content were grouped. The corrected version of the instrument was administered to a pilot group. The final version was composed of 44 items.

Participants were collected during the World AIDS Day. The research unit carries out informative and formative activities on the 1st December each year. They were aimed to raise awareness, disseminate knowledge and offer volunteer programs to the young people. Specifically, diptychs on participation about HIV research projects were offered to interested people during 2016. In the first phase, these young people were contacted by the mean of communication preferred to provide them information about the study and confirm their participation (two months). In the second phase, groups of 6-7 participants filled questionnaires in a paper-and-pencil format, in the laboratories of the university research unit (four months). The approximate time to complete them was ten minutes. The guidelines of the Spanish data protection law and the Declaration of Helsinki were applied.

Analysis of data

Participants were randomly divided into two sub-samples to explore and confirm the factorial structure. Sample 1 had 231 people (40.26% were male and 59.74% were female) aged 17 to 26 years (M= 20.48; SD= 2.17). They were included in the Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA). Sample 2 was consisted of 235 people (42.16% were males and 56.84% were females) aged 17 and 26 years (M = 19.82, SD = 2.13). They were included in the Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA). No statistically significant gender and aged differences were found.

Psychometric properties of the questionnaire were evaluated by the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin test (KMO) and Bartlett's test of sphericity, Unweighted least squares (ULS) method and an oblique rotation (direct Oblimin) were used for EFA, structural equation modeling were required for CFA, the Cronbach's α was used as a reliability index, and the relationship among components was calculated by the Pearson's correlation coefficient. The fit indices used were Satorra-Bentler scaled Chi-square (χ 2S-B/df), Normed Fit Index (NFI), Non-normed Fit Index (NNFI), Comparative Fit Index (CFI), and Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA). IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0 and EQS 6.1 programs were used for the statistical analysis.

Results

Structure

The questionnaire has five dimensions previously commented that assess the main components of HIV prevention. As seen in Table 2, each factor has a certain number of items and a minimum-maximum range of scores. The Cronbach's alpha internal consistency reliability was adequate (between .67 and .74).

INSERT TABLE 2

Knowledge about HIV (K-HIV)

Results of the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO = .702) measure of sampling adequacy and Bartlett's test of sphericity ($\chi^2_{66} = 577.672$, p < .001) were appropriate for factor analysis. Consequently, an exploratory factor analysis by weighted least squares and direct Oblimin rotation was conducted. Four subfactors were extracted explaining 52.91% of the total variance:

- K-HIV 1, called "Level of perceived HIV-information", was made up of 3 items (item 1, 2 and 3) that measured the belief about the own level of information. It explains 21.78% of variance.

- K-HIV 2, named "Myths about HIV/AIDS", was made up of 3 items (item 4, 5 and 6) that asked misconceptions about HIV infection and people living with HIV. It explains 10.52% of variance.

- K-HIV 3, called "Level of HIV-information", was made up of 3 items (item 7, 8 and 9) that evaluated the knowledge about routes of HIV transmission. It explains 10.87% of variance.

- K-HIV 4, named "HIV antibody testing knowledge", was made up of 3 items (item 10, 11 and 12) that examined the information about diagnosis of HIV. It explains 9.74% of variance.

Confirmatory factor analysis was conducted. The indexes related to the model seemed to be a good fit to the data: $\chi^2_{S-B}/df = 1.213$, NNFI = .963, CFI = .973; RMSEA = .022. In this factor, the Cronbach's alpha obtained was .673.

Self-efficacy and attitudes (SEA)

Results of the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO = .679) measure of sampling adequacy and Bartlett's test of sphericity ($\chi^2_{66} = 604.364$, p < .001) were appropriate for factor analysis. Consequently, an exploratory factor analysis with weighted least squares and direct oblimin rotation was conducted. Three subfactors were extracted explaining 55.79% of the total variance:

- SEA 1, called "Comfort in condom use", was made up of 5 items (item 13, 14, 17, 18 and 19) that assessed the level of safety and feeling of comfort with the condom. It explains 28.33% of variance.

- SEA 2, named "Condom use negotiation", was made up of 2 items (item 15 and 16) that evaluated the fear of partner rejection after requesting the use of condom. It explains 11.63% of variance.

- SEA 3, called "knowledge about how to use condoms", was made up of 2 items (item 20 and 21) that examined the knowledge of how to put on a condom correctly. It explains 15.80% of the variance.

Confirmatory factor analysis was conducted. The indexes related to the model seemed to be a good fit to the data: $\chi^2_{S-B}/df = 1.532$, NNFI = .958, CFI = .972, RMSEA = .035. The Cronbach's alpha obtained in this factor was .699.

Condom Use Intentions (CUSEI)

Results of the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO = .742) measure of sampling adequacy and Bartlett's test of sphericity (χ^2_{66} = 189.997, p < .001) were appropriate for factor analysis. Consequently, an exploratory factor analysis with weighted least squares and direct oblimin rotation was conducted. Two subfactors were extracted explaining 62.93% of the total variance:

- CUSEI 1, named "Behavioral intention to condom use in different sexual practices", was made up of 4 items (item 27, 28, 29 and 30) that assessed the motivation to use a condom in romantic relationships. It explains 43.99% of variance.

- CUSEI 2, called "Behavioral intention to condom use with casual partner and drugs consumption", was made up of 2 items (item 31 and 32) that evaluated the motivation to use a condom in occasional relationships. It explains 18.94% of variance.

Confirmatory factor analysis was conducted. The indexes related to the model seemed to be a good fit to the data: $\chi^2_{S-B}/df = 1.525$, NNFI = .934, CFI = .956, RMSEA = .061. In this factor, the Cronbach's alpha obtained was .739.

Safe sexual behavior (SAS-B)

Results of the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO = .633) measure of sampling adequacy and Bartlett's test of sphericity (χ^2_{66} = 152.388, p < .001) were appropriate for factor analysis. Consequently, an exploratory factor analysis with weighted least squares and direct oblimin rotation was conducted. Three subfactors were extracted explaining 74.91% of the total variance:

- SAS-B 1, called "Vaginal intercourse", was made up of 2 items (item 33 and 36) that assessed the use of condom in vaginal sexual practices. It explains 39.13% of variance.

- SAS-B 2, named "Anal and oral intercourse", was made up of 2 items (item 34 and 35) that evaluated the use of condom in oral and anal sexual practices. It explains 18.74% of variance.

- SAS-B 3, called "Occasional intercourse", was made up of 2 items (item 37 and 38) that examined the use of condom with sporadic partners and under alcohol and drugs effects. It explains 17.03% of variance.

Confirmatory factor analysis was conducted. The indexes related to the model seemed to be a good fit to the data: $\chi^2_{S-B}/df = 1.577$, NNFI = .939, CFI = .975, RMSEA = .068. The Cronbach's alpha obtained in this factor was .674.

Stigma and discrimination towards people living with HIV (SD-HIV)

Results of the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO = .675) measure of sampling adequacy and Bartlett's test of sphericity (χ^2_{66} = 287.081, p < .001) were appropriate for factor analysis. Consequently, an exploratory factor analysis with weighted least squares and direct Oblimin rotation was conducted. Two subfactors were extracted explaining 64.28% of the total variance:

- SD-HIV 1, called "Empathy", was made up of 2 items (item 40 and 41) that assessed the capacity to understand how people live with HIV, that is, the social perception about the feeling that HIV positive people are experiencing. It explains 36.15% of variance.

- SD-HIV 2, named "Solidarity", was made up of 3 items (item 42, 43 and 44) that evaluated the predisposition to help a friend living with HIV. It explains 28.13% of variance.

Confirmatory factor analysis was conducted. The indexes related to the model seemed to be a good fit to the data: $\chi^2_{S-B}/df = 1.877$, NNFI = .952, CFI = .965, RMSEA = .076. The Cronbach's alpha obtained in this factor was .689.

Finally, it should be highlighted that the statistical analysis of items 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 39 have not allowed to include them in these previous dimensions. However, they are maintained because their content are relevant for a comprehensive assessment of the preventive aspects of HIV and evaluate main aspects of the theoretical models of HIV prevention. They will be have a qualitative analysis and interpretation.

Normative Data and Correlations

Significant statistical differences by gender are found in SD-HIV (p = .008) and CUSEI (p= .021). Females obtained higher scores than males in stigma and discrimination towards people living with HIV and condom use intentions (see Table 3).

INSERT TABLE 3

Moreover, all components were related to each other with values ranging from .122 to .499. As Table 4 shows, there are positive correlations between condom attitudes and knowledge about HIV (p=.001), safe sexual behavior (p=.002), and stigma and discrimination towards people living with HIV (p=.016). Moreover, condom use intentions and safe sexual behavior showed positive correlations (p=.001).

INSERT TABLE 4

Classification of the scores

A classification system that allows applying a descriptive label to each dimension is showed. The mean T-score range on all scales is from 41 to 59 points. Low scores are within one or two standard deviations of the mean. They indicate deficits in any component; therefore, there is a risk for sexual health. Very low scores are within two or more standard deviations of the mean. They indicate significant problems in any component, thus, an increment of the risk to HIV infection (see Table 5).

INSERT TABLE 5

Discussion

This study evaluated the psychometric properties of a brief AIDS Prevention Questionnaire in a sample of Spanish youth. AIDS is a challenge for public health, especially in groups such as MSM or heterosexuals [1, 2]. Correctly and consistent condom use in sexual relationships is the only effective procedure for HIV prevention, other sexually transmitted infections and unwanted pregnancies. The behavior changes models have explained the sexual risk behavior through psychosocial determinants [3-7]. It is necessary to design brief and easy-apply assessment measures to score broadly the risk factors for HIV infection in the clinical, educational and health settings. Most of the assessment measures reviewed are in English language. There is only one other multi-component questionnaire adapted to the Spanish context, the HIV/AIDS Scale 164 by Paniagua. But it has 164 items or 65 in the brief version, and it does not include a behavioral component [37, 42]. The other scales found measure a single component of HIV prevention, for example: HIV knowledge [16], AIDS phobia [25] or HIV risk perception [26].

Our results support a questionnaire that includes 5 factors with adequate internal consistency (between .67 and .74). The first factor is called Knowledge about HIV (K-HIV), it has 12 items referred to knowledge about the HIV transmission routes and the HIV-antibody testing, the perceived information or the misconceptions about the disease. This factor explains 51.91% of variance and has a reliability of 0.67. The second factor is named Condom Attitudes (CATT), it has 9 items related to the perceived competence, feeling of comfort and security with the condom (for example: buy it, put it on, talk about it, etc.) and fear of rejection for proposing its use to a partner. In this line, Weeks and cols. in 1995, identified the multidimensionality of selfefficacy (use and rejection) [43]. This factor explains 55.79% of variance and it has a reliability of 0.70. The third factor is called Condom Use Intention (CUSEI), it has 6 items and is the motivational component of the behavior. After statistical analysis, behavioral intention for condom use with steady partner or in casual relationships have appeared to be as two components separately, maybe because different contextual factors are influencing in each scenario. This factor explains 62.93% of variance and has a reliability of 0.74. Similarly, the fourth factor named Safe sexual behavior (SAS-B) is grouped according to the frequency of condom use. Perhaps this structure relates to the lesser or greater perceived ability to discuss condom use with a partner. It has 6 items, explains 74.91% of variance and has a reliability of 0.67. The last factor, called Stigma and discrimination towards people living with HIV (SD-HIV), has 5 items that are subdivided into the attitudinal sphere (empathy) and the behavioral sphere

(willingness to help a person with HIV). This factor explains 64.28% of variance and has a reliability of 0.69.

These factors explain a high percentage of total variance and they are conceptually related to each other. Behavioral intention predicts behavior according to the Theory of Planned Behavior, it is associated to condom use in studies such as those of Jemmott et al. [33] or Asare [44]. Appropriate knowledge about HIV prevention is the main variable to analyze the risk and feel competent to use the condom in sexual interactions [45]. Moreover, feeling competent to use condoms also facilitates its use directly [46, 47].

These findings have limitations that must be addressed in the future studies. First, the questionnaire does not include a factor with the assessment of an attitudinal component. Therefore, it is recommended to use the qualitative part of the questionnaire that asked about perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, perceived fear, or subjective norm, by which professionals may assess the cognitive-affective characteristics of the sexual risk behavior. Secondly, it would be necessary to analyze the test-retest reliability and discriminant validity.

However, this research offers a brief and valid evaluation measure that can be adapted to the needs of health professionals: to identify groups at risk for HIV infection, to design prevention programs or psychological intervention aimed at deficient areas, and to evaluate the effectiveness of treatments applied, identifying what components have changed and what ones have been resistant to change.

References

(1) European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, WHO Regional Office for Europe. HIV/AIDS surveillance in Europe 2014. Stockholm: ECDC; 2015. http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/Publications/hiv-aids-surveillance-in-Europe-2014.pdf.

(2) Área de Vigilancia de VIH y Comportamientos de Riesgo. Vigilancia
Epidemiológica del VIH y sida en España: Sistema de Información sobre Nuevos
Diagnósticos de VIH y Registro Nacional de Casos de Sida. Plan Nacional sobre el Sida
- S.G. de Promoción de la Salud y Epidemiología / Centro Nacional de Epidemiología ISCIII. Madrid; Nov 2017.
https://www.msssi.gob.es/ciudadanos/enfLesiones/enfTransmisibles/sida/vigilancia/Info
rmeVIH_SIDA_2017_NOV2017.pdf.

(3) Rosenstock IM. The health belief model and preventive health behavior. Health Educ Monogr. 1974; 2: 354–86.

(4) Fishbein M, Ajzen I. Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An introduction to theory and research. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley; 1975.

(5) Ajzen, I. The theory of planned behavior. Organ Behav Hum Decis Process. 1991;50: 179–211.

(6) Fisher JD, Fisher WA. Changing AIDS-risk behavior. Psychol Bull. 1992; 111: 455–74.

(7) Bandura A. Health promotion from the perspective of social cognitive theory.Psychol Health. 1998; 13: 623–49.

(8) Reid AE, Aiken LS. (2011) Integration of five health behaviour models: Common strengths and unique contributions to understanding condom use. Psychol Health. 2011; 26(11): 1499-520.

(9) Helweg-Larsen M, Collins BE. (1994). The UCLA Multidimensional Condom Attitudes Scale: Documenting the complex determinants of condom use in college students. Health Psychol. 1994; 13(3): 224-37.

(10) Boshamer CB, Bruce KE. A scale to measure attitudes about HIV-antibody testing: development and psychometric validation. AIDS Educ Prev. 1999; 11(5): 400-13.

(11) Silva N, Henrique P, Henrique dos Reis C, Silva NM. HIV/AIDS Attitudes Scale:Factorial analysis. J Bras Psiquiatr. 2007; 56(3): 194-200.

(12) Smith EA, Miller JA, Newsome V, Sofolahan YA, Airhihenbuwa CO. Measuring HIV/AIDS-Related Stigma Across South Africa: A Versatile and Multidimensional Scale. Health Educ Behav, 2014; 41(4): 387-91.

(13) Nydegger LA, Ames SL, Stacy AW. The development of a new condom use expectancy scale for at-risk adults. Soc Sci Med. 2015; 143: 179-84.

(14) Golub SA, Garamel KE. Psychometric evaluation of the Condom Barriers and Motivations Scale (CBMS). J Behav Med. 2017; 40(3): 494-505.

(15) Espada JP, Ballester R, Huedo-Medina TB, Secades-Villa R, Orgilés M, Martínez-Lorca M. Development of a new instrument to assess AIDS-related attitudes among Spanish Youngsters. Anales de Psicología. 2013; 29(1): 83-9.

(16) Carey MP, Schroder KEE. Development and psychometric evaluation of the brief HIV knowledge questionnaire (HIV-KQ-18). AIDS Educ Prev. 2002; 14: 174-84.

(17) Espada JP, Guillén-Riquelme A, Morales A, Orgilés M, Sierra JC. Validación de una escala de conocimiento sobre el VIH y otras infecciones de transmisión sexual en población adolescente. Aten Primaria. 2014; 46(10): 558-64.

(18) Brafford LJ, Beck KH. Development and validation of a condom self-efficacy scale for college students. J Am Coll Health. 1991; 39(5): 219-25.

(19) Baele J, Dusseldorp E, Maes S. (2001). Condom use self-efficacy: effect on intended and actual condom use in adolescents. J Adolesc Health. 2001; 28(5): 421-31.

(20) Sherman SG, et al. The reliability and validity of the Modified Condom Outcome Expectancy Scale (MCOES) among an international sample of HIV-negative partners of people living with HIV/AIDS. AIDS Care. 2003; 15(3): 359-66.

(21) McCabe BE, Schaefer N, Gattamorta K, et al. Development and Psychometric Evaluation of a Condom Use Self-Efficacy Measure in Spanish and English. HIV Clin Trials. 2016; 17(5): 212-17.

(22) Bell RA, Molitor F, Flynn NM. (1999). Fear of AIDS: Assessment and Implications for Promoting Safer Sex. AIDS Behav. 1999; 3(2): 135-47.

(23) Gullone E, Moore S, Moss S, Boyd C. The Adolescent Risk-taking Questionnaire: development and psychometric evaluation. J Adolesc Res. 2000; 15(2): 231-50.

(24) Napper LE, Fisher DG, Reynolds GL. Development of the Perceived Risk of HIV Scale. AIDS Behav. 2012; 16(4): 1075-83.

(25) Espada JP, Gonzálvez MT, Orgilés M, Morales A. (2013). Multicomponent AIDS
Phobia Scale validation with Spanish adolescents. Psicol Conductual. 2013; 21(3): 449-60.

(26) Sales JM, Spitalnick J, Milhausen RR, et al. (2009). Validation of the worry about sexual outcomes scale for use in STI/HIV prevention interventions for adolescent females. Health Educ Behav. 2009; 24(1): 140-52.

(27) Dilorio C, Parsons M, Lehr S, Adame D, Carlone J. Measurement of safe sex behavior in adolescents and young adults. Nurs Res. 1992; 41(4): 203-8.

(28) Noar SM, Morokoff PJ, Harlow LL. Condom negotiation in heterosexually active men and women: development and validation of a condom influence strategy questionnaire. Psychol Health. 2002; 17(6): 711-35.

(29) Whyte J. The Measurement of HIV Risk Level in African American Women WhoDwell in the Southeastern United States. J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care. 2005; 16(6): 48-55.

(30) Ballester-Arnal R, Gil-Llario MD, Castro-Calvo J, Giménez-García C. HIV-Risk Index: Development and Validation of a Brief Risk Index for Hispanic Young People. AIDS Behav. 2016; 20: 1796-807.

(31) Scandell DJ, Wlazelek B. A validation study of the AIDS Health Belief Scale. Can J Hum Sex. 2002; 11(1): 41-9.

(32) Nöstlinger Ch, Nideröst S, Platteau T, et al. Sexual Protection Behavior in HIV-Positive Gay Men: Testing a Modified Information-Motivation-Behavioral Skills Model. Arch Sex Behav. 2011; 40: 817-27.

(33) Jemmott JB III, Jemmott LS, Fong GT, McCaffree K. (1999). Reducing HIV riskassociated sexual behavior among African American adolescents: testing the generality of intervention effects. Am J Community Psychol. 1999; 27(2): 161-87.

(34) Dancy BL. The development of an ethnically sensitive and gender specific AIDS questionnaire for African American women. *Health Values: The Journal of Health Behavior, Education & Promotion. 1992; 15*(6): 49-54.

(35) Perkel AK. Development and testing of the AIDS Psychosocial Scale. Psychol Rep. 1992; 71(3): 767-78.

(36) Trezza GR. HIV knowledge and stigmatization of persons with AIDS: implications for the development of HIV education for young adults. Prof Psychol Res. 1994; 25(2): 141-8.

(37) Paniagua FA, O'Boyle M, Wagner KD, et al. AIDS related items for developing an AIDS questionnaire for children and adolescents. J Adolesc Res. 1994; 9(3): 311-39.

(38) Hagan TM. The development of the AIDS Risk Questionnaire. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering. 1998; 58(10B): 5693.
(39) Davis C, Tang C, Chan F, Noel B. The development and validation of the. International Aids Questionnaire-Chinese version (IAQ-C). Educ Psychol Meas. 1999; 59(3): 481-91.

(40) Xinying S, Wenbin G, Chun Ch. Reliability and Validity of a Questionnaire on Knowledge, Beliefs and Intentions related to AIDS/Safe Sex for University Students.CMHJ. 2004; 18(6): 408-10.

(41) Pratte K, Whitesell N, McFarlane M, Bull S. Factor analyses of condom attitudes, norms, and self-efficacy measures in diverse samples. J Nurs Meas. 2010; 18(3): 153-75.

(42) Bermúdez MP, Sánchez AI, Buela-Casal G. Spanish adaptation of the HIV/AIDS-164 Scale. Rev Mex Psicol. 2003; 20(1): 95-111.

(43) Weeks K, Levy SR, Zhu Ch, Perhats C, Handler A, Flay BR. Impact of a socialbased AIDS prevention program on young adolescents' self-efficacy skills. Health Educ Res. 1995; 10(3): 329-44.

(44) Asare M. Using the Theory of Planned Behavior to determine the condom use behavior among college students. Am J Health Stud. 2015; 30(1): 43-50.

(45) Todd S. HIV in young adults: an exploration of knowledge and risk. Coll Stud J.2015; 49(3): 427-37.

(46) Giménez-García C, Ballester-Arnal R, Gil-Llario MD, Cárdenas-López G, Duran-Baca X. Culture as an Influence on the Perceived Risk of HIV Infection: A Differential Analysis Comparing Young People from Mexico and Spain. J Community Health. 2013; 38(3): 434–42. Validation of the Aids Prevention Questionnaire

(47) Nesoff ED, Dunkle K, Lang D. The impact of condom use negotiation self-efficacy and partnership patterns on consistent condom use among college-educated women. Health Educ Behav. 2016; 43(1): 61-7.

Component	Item	Minimum and maximum values	α
K-HIV	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12	0-24	.67
SEA	13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21	9 - 54	.70
CUSEI	27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32	0-18	.74
SAS-B	33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38	0-18	.67
SD-HIV	40, 41, 42, 43, 44	0 – 206	.69

 Table 2. Questionnaire components, number of statements, score range and Cronbach's

 alpha

		M (SD)		
Component	Total sample	Male	Female	t
	(n = 466)	(n = 192)	(n = 274)	
K-HIV	17.85 (3.12)	18.09 (2.95)	17.67 (3.24)	1.352
SEA	45.01 (5.71)	44.71 (5.73)	45.23 (5.69)	935
CUSEI	12.81 (3.71)	12.25 (3.43)	13.74 (3.99)	-2.342*
SAS-B	9.44 (3.40)	9.16 (3.53)	9.78 (3.24)	-1.010
SD-HIV	176.76 (27.45)	172.50 (30.34)	179.64 (24.96)	-2.647**

Table 3. Descriptive statistics and gender differences (t-test)

*p < .05; **p < .01

K-HIV	SEA	CUSEI	SAS-B	SD-HIV
	.220***	023	.119	005
		.034	.282**	.122*
			.499***	.011
				017
		220***	220***023	220***023 .119 034 .282**

Table 4. Pearson's correlation coefficient between questionnaire components

p < .05; **p < .01; ***p < .001

K-HIV	SEA	CUSEI	SAS-B	SD-HIV	Т	-scores
>23	>52	. 17	>17	>205	<70	Very high
20-22	51	>17	13-16	-	60-69	High
14-19	40-50	10-16	7-12	150-204	41-59	Average range
11-13	34-39	7-9	3-6	122-149	31-40	Low
<10	<33	<5	<2	<121	<30	Very low

Table 5. Profiling scores

Name	Year	Authors	Location	Population	No. Of items	Subscales	Alpha de Cronbach
Condom Use Self- Efficacy Scale [18]	1991	L.J. Brafford and K.H. Beck	USA	803 college students	28-items	1: personal experience with condoms, 2: embarrassment at purchase, 3: alcohol use, 4: not wanting to offend with the implication of uncleanliness, 5: reduction in excitement, 6: loss of spontaneity, 7: breaking the mood, 8: not being prepared, 9: unsure of partner's feeling about condoms, 10: embarrassment, 11: communication with partner, 12: embarrassment about talking about condoms, 13: afraid of partner's refusal of condom use, 14: ability to maintain an erection, and 15: fear of reputation	.91
The Safe Sex Behavior Questionnaire [27]	1992	C. DiIorio, M. Parsons, S. Lehr, D Adame and J. Carlone	USA	531 college freshmen	27-items	1: sexual behaviors, 2: condom usage, 3: high risk sexual behaviors, 4: sexual communication and negotiation	.52 to .85 (individual subscales)
Multidimensional Condom Attitudes Scale [9]	1994	M. Helweg-Larsen and B.E. Collins	USA	239 undergraduate students Age ranged from 15 to 35 years	25-items	1: Reliability and Effectiveness, 2: Pleasure, 3: Identity Stigma, 4: Embarrassment About Negotiation and Use, 5: Embarrassment About	.62 to .94 (individual subscales)

Table 1. Summary of tools for assessing main components of HIV prevention

	-				-	Purchase,	
HIV-Antibody Testing Attitude Scale [10]	1999	C.B. Boshamer and K.E. Bruce	USA	156 heterosexual students Age ranged from 17 to 37 years	32-item	1: Friends concerns about HIV antibody testing, 2: Family concerns about HIV antibody testing, 3: Concern about public opinion of HIV antibody testing, 4: Concerns about confidentiality of HIV antibody testing	.88
Fear-of-AIDS Instrument [22]	1999	R.A. Bell, F. Molitor and N.M. Flynn	USA	608 men and women at the AIDS Foundation's anonymous HIV test site	40-items	1: Infection Fear, 2: Partner Betrayal, 3: Economic Consequences, 4: Society's Response, 5: Testing Concerns, 6: Casual Contact, 7: Medical Procedures, 8: Safe Sex Communication Apprehension	.87 to .97 (individual subscales)
Risk-Taking Questionnaire [23]	2000	E. Gullone, S. Moore, S. Moss and C. Boyd	Australia	570 and 925 adolescents Age ranged from 11 to 18 years	22-items	1: thrill-seeking behaviors, 2: rebellious behaviors, 3: reckless behaviors (having unprotected sex included), 4: antisocial behaviors	.66 to .80 (individual subscales)
Specific Condom Use Self-efficacy Scales [19]	2001	J. Baele, E. Dusseldorp and S. Maes	Belgium	424 male and female sexually experienced and inexperienced adolescents Average age of 17 years	37-items	1: technical skills, 2: image confidence, 3: emotion control, 4: purchase, 5: assertiveness, 6: sexual control	.65 to .84 (individual subscales)
Brief HIV Knowledge Questionnaire [16]	2002	M.P. Carey and K.E.E. Schroder	USA	1019 low-income men and women Average age of 33.99 years	18-items	Unidimensional	0.75 to 0.89 (across samples)
Condom Influence Strategy Questionnaire [28]	2002	S.M. Noar, P.J. Morokoff and L.L. Harlow	USA	625 college students Age ranged from 18 to 22 years	36-items	1: Withholding sex, 2: Direct request, 3: Seduction, 4: Relationship conceptualizing, 5: Risk	.83 to .93 (individual subscales)

	-		-			(STD) information, 6: Deception	
Modified Condom Outcome Expectancy Scale [20]	2003	S.G. Sherman, D.A. Celentano, J.W. McGrath, S.E. Chard, R.R. Gangakhedkar, N. Joglekar, R. Malhotra-Kohli, M. Kamya and A. Fullen	USA	100 HIV-negative sexual partners of HIV- infected individuals	9-items	Two-factors analogous to two of the five factors in the original COES (partner reaction and positive self- evaluation)	.80
HIV Risk Behavior Questionnaire [29]	2005	J. Whyte	USA	304 African American women Average age of 23.8 years	25-items	 barrier/fluid avoidance modalities, 2: survival sex, sexual communications, factors that increase sexual risk 	.82
HIV/AIDS Attitudes [11]	2007	N. Silva, P. Henrique, C. Henrique and N.M. Silva	Brazil	549 high and elementary school level students Age ranged from 13 to 51 years	47-item	1: Technical / Scientific Information Perception General Factor, 2: Technical / Scientific Information Perception Factor versus Sexuality and Prejudice, 3: Technical / Scientific Information Perception Factor in Drug Abuse	.859
Worry about sexual outcomes [26]	2009	J.M. Sales, J. Spitalnick, R.R. Milhausen, G.M. Wingood, R.J. DiClemente, L.F. Salazar and R.A. Crosby	USA	522 African-American female adolescents Age ranged from 14 to 18 years	10-items	1: STI/HIV worry, 2: pregnancy worry	.87
Perceived Risk of HIV Scale [24]	2012	L.E. Napper, D.G. Fisher and G.L. Reynolds	USA	785 clients of HIV testing and prevention services Age ranged from 18 to 79 years	8-items	Unidimensional	.88
Multicomponent AIDS Phobia Scale [25]	2013	J.P. Espada, M.T. Gonzálvez, M. Orgilés and A. Morales	Spain	832 secondary students Age ranged from 14 to 18 years	20-items	Two-factor	.77
HIV Attitudes Scale [15]	2013	J.P. Espada, R. Ballester, T.B. Huedo- Medina, R. Secades-Vill, M. Orgilés	Spain	1216 high school students	12-items	1: Attitudes toward safe sex when there are obstacles; 2:	.77

		and M. Martínez-Lorca		Age ranged from 15 to 17 years		Attitudes toward HIV testing; 3: Attitudes toward condom use; 4: Attitudes toward people living with HIV/AIDS	
HIV/AIDS Stigma Scale [12]	2014	E.A. Smith, J.A. Miller, V. Newsome, Y.A. Sofolahan and C.O. Airhihenbuwa	USA	1195 South Africans Average age of 35.8 years	12-items	1: Government Support, 2: Shame and Rejection, 3: Individual Support	.67 to .80 (individual subscales)
HIV and other STI Knowledge Scale [17]	2014	J.P. Espada, A. Guillén-Riquelme, A. Morales, M. Orgilés and J.C. Sierra	Spain	1570 adolescents Age ranged from 13 to 17 years	24-items	1: General knowledge about HIV, 2: Condom as a protective method, 3: Routes of HIV transmission, 4: prevention of HIV, and 5: other sexually transmitted infections	0.65 to 0.85 (individual subscales)
Condom Use Expectancy Scale [13]	2015	L.A. Nydegger, S.L. Ames and A.W. Stacy	USA	440 people in drug programs	18-items	1: Positive outcomes, 2: Negative Outcomes, 3: Safe sex outcomes	.73 to .93 (individual subscales)
Condom Use Self- efficacy Measure [21]	2016	B.E. McCabe, N. Schaefer, K. Gattamorta, N. Villegas, R. Cianelli, V.B. Mitrani and N. Peragallo	USA	320 Hispanic women	15-items	Unidimensional	.92
HIV-Risk Index [30]	2016	R. Ballester-Arnal, M.D. Gil-Llario, J. Castro-Calvo and C. Giménez- García	Spain	9861 young people Age ranged from 18 to 30 years	9-items	1: direct sexual risk indicators, 2:indirect sexual risk indicators	.79
Condom Barriers and Motivations Scale	2017	S.A. Golub and K.E. Gamarel	USA	473 men who reported not taking PrEP and 301 men elected to begin PrEP Average age of 32.99 and 33.91 years	16-items	1: Pleasure reduction barriers, 2: Perceived partner pressure barriers, 3: Risk reduction motivations, 4: Intimacy interference barriers	0.74 to 0.83 (individual subscales)

Appendix 1. Answer sheet and correction criteria of the AIDS Prevention Questionnaire in Spanish language.

	Sí	No
1.1. Sexualidad	1	0
1.2. Métodos anticonceptivos	1	0
1.3. Infecciones de trasmisión sexual	1	0
1.4. VIH/SIDA	1	0

2. ¿Cómo consideras tu nivel de información y conocimiento sobre la prevención del VIH/Sida?

Malo	Regular	Bueno	Muy bueno
0	1	2	3

3. ¿De quién o cómo has obtenido información acerca del VIH/Sida?

	Si	No
3.1. Padre o madre	1	0
3.2. Amigos	1	0
3.3. Profesores	1	0
3.4. Charlas o talleres formativos	1	0
3.5. Campañas informativas	1	0

4. ¿A través de cuáles de las siguientes prácticas sexuales se puede transmitir el VIH si no se utiliza el preservativo?

	Si	No
4.1. Masturbaciones mutuas	0	1
4.2. Sexo oral	1	0
4.3. Coito vaginal	1	0
4.4. Coito anal	1	0

	Si	No
5. ¿Me pueden transmitir el VIH si practico únicamente el sexo oral?	1	0
6. ¿Es la marcha atrás, es decir, retirar el pene antes de la eyaculación, un método	0	1
eficaz para prevenir la transmisión del VIH?		

Validation of the Aids Prevention Questionnaire

7. ¿A simple vista se puede saber si una persona es portadora del VIH?	0	1
8. ¿Una persona afectada por el VIH puede transmitir la infección aunque no	1	0
presente síntomas?		
9. ¿La mayoría de las personas que conviven con el VIH muestran síntomas de	0	1
estar enfermos enseguida?		
10. ¿Sabes dónde puedes realizarte las pruebas diagnósticas del VIH?	1	0
11. ¿Dar positivo en estas pruebas implica desarrollar el SIDA con posterioridad?	0	1
12. ¿Conoces la existencia de un periodo ventana para la realización de las pruebas	1	0
diagnósticas del VIH?		

	С	А	N	Α	C
	0	1	i	1	0
	m		d		m
		g	e e	g	
	p 1a	0		0	p la
	le	e	а	d	le
	ta	n	с	e	ta
	m	d	u	а	m
	e	e	e	с	e
	n	S	r	u	n
	te	а	d	e	te
	e	с	0	r	d
	n	u	n	d	e
	d	e	i	0	а
	e	r	e		с
	s	d	n		u
	а	0	d		e
	с		e		r
	u		s		d
	e		а		0
	r		с		
	d		u		
	0		e		
	0		r		
			d		
			0		
13. Me siento/sentiría cómodo/a o seguro/a a la hora de	1	2	3	4	5

Validation of the Aids Prevention Questionnaire

comprar preservativos					
14. Me resultaría cómodo hablar acerca de la utilización del	1	2	3	4	5
preservativo con una pareja antes de comenzar la relación					
sexual					
15 Si tango que sugarir e una paroia que usamos el	5	4	3	2	1
15. Si tengo que sugerir a una pareja que usemos el	5	4	5	2	1
preservativo, tengo/tendría miedo de que él/ella me rechace					
16. No me siento/sentiría seguro/a a la hora de sugerir el	5	4	3	2	1
uso del preservativo a una nueva pareja ya que él /ella					
podría pensar que lo hago porque creo que tiene una ITS					
17. Estoy seguro/a de que recordaría utilizar el preservativo	1	2	3	4	5
aunque haya consumido alcohol u otras drogas					
18. Me siento/sentiría incómodo/a a la hora de ponerme el	5	4	3	2	1
condón o ponérselo a mi pareja					
19. Estoy seguro de que podría parar aún en el momento de	1	2	3	4	5
mayor excitación para ponerme el condón o ponérselo a mi					
pareja					
20. Sé cómo utilizar el preservativo en mis relaciones	1	2	3	4	5
sexuales					
21. Sé en qué aspectos tengo que fijarme para el uso del	1	2	3	4	5
preservativo.					
	1	2	3	4	5

22. Señala de 0 a 100 la probabilidad o el riego que percibes de infectarte con el VIH: _____

23. Señala de 0 a 100 el temor que te produce la posibilidad de infectarte con el VIH: _____

24. Dirías que el VIH/Sida es una enfermedad:

Leve	Moderada	Grave	Fatal
0	1	2	3

25. ¿Hasta qué punto consideras el preservativo como un método fiable de prevención sexual del VIH?

Nada	Algo	Bastante	Mucho
0	1	2	3

		No	
26. ¿Entre la mayoría de la gente importante para ti está bien visto el un	so del 1	0	

preservativo?				
	Ν	Α	В	S
	u	1	а	ie
	n	g	st	m
	с	u	а	р
	а	n	n	r
		а	te	e
		S	S	
		v	v	
		e	e	
		с	с	
		e	e	
		S	s	
27. ¿Con qué frecuencia tienes la intención de utilizar el	0	1	2	3
preservativo en el coito vaginal?				
28. ¿Con qué frecuencia tienes la intención de utilizar el	0	1	2	3
preservativo en el sexo oral?				
29. ¿Con qué frecuencia tienes la intención de utilizar el	0	1	2	3
preservativo en el coito anal?				
30. ¿Con qué frecuencia tienes la intención de utilizar el	0	1	2	3
preservativo en relaciones con pareja estable?				
31. ¿Con qué frecuencia tienes la intención de utilizar el	0	1	2	3
preservativo en relaciones con pareja esporádica?				
32. ¿Con qué frecuencia tienes la intención de utilizar el	0	1	2	3
preservativo cuando has consumido alcohol o drogas?				
33. ¿Con qué frecuencia utilizas el preservativo en el coito	0	1	2	3
vaginal?				
34. ¿Con qué frecuencia utilizas el preservativo en el sexo oral?	0	1	2	3
35. ¿Con qué frecuencia utilizas el preservativo en el coito anal?	0	1	2	3
36. ¿Con qué frecuencia utilizas el preservativo en relaciones con	0	1	2	3
pareja estable?				
37. ¿Con qué frecuencia utilizas el preservativo en relaciones con	0	1	2	3
pareja esporádica?				
38. ¿Con qué frecuencia utilizas el preservativo cuando has	0	1	2	3
consumido alcohol o drogas?				

	Sí	No
39. ¿Te has realizado la prueba diagnóstica del VIH alguna vez?	1	0

40. En una escala de 0 a 100 ¿cuánto dirías que sufre un enfermo con VIH desde el punto de vista físico y social?: _____

41. En una escala de 0 a 100, ¿hasta qué punto piensas que la sociedad deberías ser más comprensiva y solidaria con los enfermos de VIH?: _____

	Sí	No
42. ¿Dejarías de ver por completo a un amigo si te enteraras que tiene VIH/Sida?	0	1
43. ¿Cuidarías de un amigo que tuviera VIH/Sida?	1	0
44. ¿Evitarías conocer a una persona que sabes que tiene VIH/Sida?	0	1

Sistema de corrección del cuestionario.

Se pueden calcular cinco subescalas a partir del siguiente sistema:

Información y conocimientos sobre	Sumar ítems 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
VIH	Las puntuaciones deben oscilar entre 0 y 24
Autoeficacia y actitudes hacia el uso	Sumar ítems 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21
del preservativo	Las puntuaciones deben oscilar entre 9 y 54
Intención de uso del preservativo	Sumar ítems 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32
	Las puntuaciones deben oscilar entre 0 y 18
Conducta sexual segura	Sumar ítems 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38
	Las puntuaciones deben oscilar entre 0 y 18
Solidaridad y empatía hacia las	Sumar ítems 40, 41, 42, 43, 44
personas afectadas por VIH	Las puntuaciones deben oscilar entre 0 y 206

Otra información

El cuestionario ofrece ítems complementarios que evalúan otros factores relevantes en la prevención del VIH:

- Ítem 22 y 23: vulnerabilidad percibida y temor percibido a la infección por VIH.
- Ítem 24: gravedad percibida a la infección por VIH.

Validation of the Aids Prevention Questionnaire

- Ítem 25: confianza en el uso del preservativo.
- Ítem 26: norma percibida.
- Ítem 39: realización de las pruebas de detección de VIH.

Appendix 2. Answer sheet and correction criteria of the AIDS Prevention Questionnaire in English language.

1. Have you ever received information about any of the following topics?

	Yes	No
1.1. Sexuality	1	0
1.2. Methods of contraception	1	0
1.3. Sexually transmitted infections	1	0
1.4. HIV-AIDS	1	0

2. How would you rate your level of knowledge about HIV-AIDS prevention?

Bad	Regular	Good	Very good
0	1	2	3

3. How did you get information about HIV-AIDS?

	Yes	No
3.1. From parents	1	0
3.2. From friends	1	0
3.3. From teachers	1	0
3.4. From talks and workshops on prevention	1	0
3.5. From information campaigns	1	0

4. If the condom is not used, by which of the following sexual practices can HIV be transmitted?

	Yes	No
4.1. Mutual masturbation	0	1
4.2. Oral sex	1	0
4.3. Vaginal sex	1	0
4.4. Anal sex	1	0

	Yes	No
5. Can HIV be transmitted if only oral sex is practiced?	1	0
6. Is the pulling out method, that is, when the penis is removed before ejaculation,	0	1
an effective method to prevent the transmission of HIV?		
7. At first sight, Can I identify if somebody is HIV-positive?	0	1

8. Can a HIV-positive person transmit the virus if he/she does not show	1	0
symptoms?		
9. Do most people living with HIV show symptoms of being sick right away?	0	1
10. Do you know where you can be tested for HIV?	1	0
11. HIV-positive result implies to develop AIDS later?	0	1
12. Do you know the existence of a window period for checking the HIV	1	0
antibody test?		

etelyhtlyerliodisagreagreegmegrenorhpedisagrtlleedisagrtlleedisagrtlleedisagrtlleedisagrtlleedisagrtlleedisagrtlleegyrallegfaleggyrafalegggyrfalegg </th <th></th> <th>Compl</th> <th>Slig</th> <th>Neith</th> <th>S</th> <th>С</th>		Compl	Slig	Neith	S	С
egrenorhpegrenorhpedisagrtlleegytea1gyralgyraegegyraegyraeggyraeggyraeggyraeggyragyragyragggyragg<		etely	htly	er	li	0
edisagrtlleedisagrtlleedisagrtlleegytealgyralgyraeggraegeregeregeregeregeregeregerreerreerreerrefel or I would feel comfortable to talk1234about the use of condoms with a partnerrrrbefore beginning sexual intercourse.5432115. If I have to suggest to a partner condom54321use, I feel or I would feel afraid of him/herrrrrrejecting me.rrrrrr16. I do not feel or I would not feel safe when54321suggesting the use of condoms to a newrrrrrpartner, because he/she might think that I haverrrrr17. I am sure that I would remember to use the12345		disagre	disa	agree	g	m
eeytea1gyragyraaegyraeggeraegeerabuying condoms.114. I feel or I would feel comfortable to talk114. I feel or I would feel comfortable to talk1about the use of condoms with a partner2before beginning sexual intercourse.515. If I have to suggest to a partner condom516. I do not feel or I would feel afraid of him/her5rejecting me.116. I do not feel or I would not feel safe when5an STI.117. I am sure that I would remember to use the112345		e	gre	nor	h	р
13. I feel or I would feel comfortable when buying condoms.1234514. I feel or I would feel comfortable to talk about the use of condoms with a partner before beginning sexual intercourse.1234515. If I have to suggest to a partner condom use, I feel or I would feel afraid of him/her rejecting me.5432116. I do not feel or I would not feel safe when suggesting the use of condoms to a new partner, because he/she might think that I have an STI.5432117. I am sure that I would remember to use the12345			e	disagr	tl	le
Image: space of the system o				ee	У	te
Image: 13. I feel or I would feel comfortable when buying condoms.1234514. I feel or I would feel comfortable to talk about the use of condoms with a partner before beginning sexual intercourse.1234515. If I have to suggest to a partner condom use, I feel or I would feel afraid of him/her rejecting me.5432116. I do not feel or I would not feel safe when an STI.5432117. I am sure that I would remember to use the12345					а	1
13. I feel or I would feel comfortable when buying condoms.1234514. I feel or I would feel comfortable to talk about the use of condoms with a partner before beginning sexual intercourse.1234515. If I have to suggest to a partner condom use, I feel or I would feel afraid of him/her rejecting me.5432116. I do not feel or I would not feel safe when suggesting the use of condoms to a new partner, because he/she might think that I have an STI.5432117. I am sure that I would remember to use the12345					g	у
er13. I feel or I would feel comfortable when buying condoms.1234514. I feel or I would feel comfortable to talk about the use of condoms with a partner before beginning sexual intercourse.1234515. If I have to suggest to a partner condom use, I feel or I would feel afraid of him/her rejecting me.5432116. I do not feel or I would not feel safe when suggesting the use of condoms to a new partner, because he/she might think that I have an STI.5432117. I am sure that I would remember to use the12345					r	а
Image: 13. I feel or I would feel comfortable when buying condoms.Image: 1234514. I feel or I would feel comfortable to talk about the use of condoms with a partner before beginning sexual intercourse.1234515. If I have to suggest to a partner condom use, I feel or I would feel afraid of him/her rejecting me.5432116. I do not feel or I would not feel safe when suggesting the use of condoms to a new partner, because he/she might think that I have an STI.5432117. I am sure that I would remember to use the12345					e	g
Image: 13. I feel or I would feel comfortable when buying condoms.1234514. I feel or I would feel comfortable to talk about the use of condoms with a partner before beginning sexual intercourse.1234515. If I have to suggest to a partner condom use, I feel or I would feel afraid of him/her rejecting me.5432116. I do not feel or I would not feel safe when suggesting the use of condoms to a new partner, because he/she might think that I have an STI.5432117. I am sure that I would remember to use the12345					e	r
13. I feel or I would feel comfortable when buying condoms.1234514. I feel or I would feel comfortable to talk about the use of condoms with a partner before beginning sexual intercourse.1234515. If I have to suggest to a partner condom use, I feel or I would feel afraid of him/her rejecting me.5432116. I do not feel or I would not feel safe when partner, because he/she might think that I have an STI.5432117. I am sure that I would remember to use the12345						e
buying condoms.Image: Condoms with a partner before beginning sexual intercourse.Image: Condom sexual intercourse. </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>e</td>						e
14. I feel or I would feel comfortable to talk about the use of condoms with a partner before beginning sexual intercourse.1234515. If I have to suggest to a partner condom use, I feel or I would feel afraid of him/her rejecting me.5432116. I do not feel or I would not feel safe when partner, because he/she might think that I have an STI.5432117. I am sure that I would remember to use the12345	13. I feel or I would feel comfortable when	1	2	3	4	5
about the use of condoms with a partner before beginning sexual intercourse.115. If I have to suggest to a partner condom use, I feel or I would feel afraid of him/her rejecting me.5432116. I do not feel or I would not feel safe when suggesting the use of condoms to a new partner, because he/she might think that I have an STI.5432117. I am sure that I would remember to use the12345	buying condoms.					
before beginning sexual intercourse.Image: Construction of the suggest to a partner condomImage: Construction of the suggest to a partner condom store and a new partner, because he/she might think that I have an STI.Image: Construction of the suggest to a partner condom store and a suggest to a sugges	14. I feel or I would feel comfortable to talk	1	2	3	4	5
15. If I have to suggest to a partner condom use, I feel or I would feel afraid of him/her rejecting me.5432116. I do not feel or I would not feel safe when suggesting the use of condoms to a new partner, because he/she might think that I have an STI.5432117. I am sure that I would remember to use the12345	about the use of condoms with a partner					
use, I feel or I would feel afraid of him/her rejecting me.Image: Control of the left of	before beginning sexual intercourse.					
rejecting me.Image: Constraint of the second se	15. If I have to suggest to a partner condom	5	4	3	2	1
16. I do not feel or I would not feel safe when suggesting the use of condoms to a new partner, because he/she might think that I have an STI.432117. I am sure that I would remember to use the12345	use, I feel or I would feel afraid of him/her					
suggesting the use of condoms to a new partner, because he/she might think that I have an STI.1234517. I am sure that I would remember to use the I mathematical labeled and the sum of	rejecting me.					
partner, because he/she might think that I have an STI.Image: Constraint of the second secon	16. I do not feel or I would not feel safe when	5	4	3	2	1
an STI.17. I am sure that I would remember to use the12345	suggesting the use of condoms to a new					
17. I am sure that I would remember to use the12345	partner, because he/she might think that I have					
	an STI.					
condom although I have consumed alcohol or	17. I am sure that I would remember to use the	1	2	3	4	5
	condom although I have consumed alcohol or					
other drugs.	other drugs.					

Validation of the Aids Prevention Questionnaire

18. I feel or I would feel uncomfortable whenI put the condom on or put it on my partner.	5	4	3	2	1
19. I am sure that I could stop to put on the condom or put it on my partner regardless of the moment of greater excitement.	1	2	3	4	5
20. I know how to use condoms in my sexual relationships.	1	2	3	4	5
21. I know in what aspects I have to pay attention when I use condoms.	1	2	3	4	5

22. Indicate from 0 to 100 the probability or risk you perceive of being able to contract HIV:

23. Indicate from 0 to 100 the fear that you feel towards the possibility of contract HIV: _____

24. The HIV-AIDS is a disease:

Mild	Moderate	Serious	Fatal
0	1	2	3

25. Do you consider condoms as a reliable method for HIV sexual prevention?

None	Somewhat	Quite	Much
0	1	2	3

	Yes	No
26. Is condom use viewed favorably by most of the important people to you?	1	0

Ν	0	F	А
e	с	r	1
v	с	e	W
e	а	q	а
r	si	u	У
	0	e	S
	n	n	
	al	tl	
	1	У	
	У		

Validation of the Aids Prevention Questionnaire

27. How often do you intend to use condoms in the coming	0	1	2	3
vaginal intercourse?				
28. How often do you intend to use condoms in the coming oral	0	1	2	3
sex practice?				
29. How often do you intend to use condoms in the coming anal		1	2	3
intercourse?				
30. How often do you intend to use condoms in the coming		1	2	3
relationships with a steady partner?				
31. How often do you intend to use condoms in the coming	0	1	2	3
relationships with a sporadic partner?				
32. How often do you intend to use condoms in the coming	0	1	2	3
relationships under the effects of alcohol or drugs consumption?				
33. How often do you use condoms in vaginal intercourse?		1	2	3
34. How often do you use condoms in oral sex?	0	1	2	3
35. How often do you use condoms in anal intercourse?	0	1	2	3
36. How often do you use condoms in relationships with a steady		1	2	3
partner?				
37. How often do you use condoms in relationships with sporadic	0	1	2	3
partners?				
38. How often do you use a condom under the effects of alcohol	0	1	2	3
or drugs consumption?				

	Yes	No
39. Have you ever got an HIV antibody test?	1	0

40. On a scale from 0 to 100, how much do you think an HIV-positive person suffers socially and physically? _____

41. On a scale from 0 to 100, how much do you think society should be more understanding and supportive of HIV-positive people?

	Yes	No
42. Would you stop meeting with a friend if you found out that he/she is HIV-	0	1
positive?		
43. Would you take care for a HIV-positive friend?	1	0
44. Would you avoid meeting HIV-positive someone?	0	1

Scoring

Five subscales scores can be calculated from following system:

Knowledge about HIV (K-HIV)	Add items 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
	Scores should range between 0 and 24
Self-efficacy and attitudes (SEA)	Add items 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21
	Scores should range between 9 and 54
Condom Use Intentions (CUSEI)	Add items 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32
	Scores should range between 0 and 18
Safe sexual behavior (SAS-B)	Add items 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38
	Scores should range between 0 and 18
Stigma and discrimination towards	Add items 40, 41, 42, 43, 44
people living with HIV (SD-HIV)	Scores should range between 0 and 206

Other information

The questionnaire offers complementary items that measure other factors relevant to HIV prevention:

- Item 22 and 23: perceived susceptibility and perceived threat of HIV
- Item 24: perceived seriousness of HIV.
- Item 25: trust on condom use.
- Item 26: perceived norm.
- Item 39: HIV testing behavior.