

Ruthenium(II) pincer complexes featuring an anionic CNC bis(1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene)carbazolide ligand coordinated in a meridional fashionGeorge [Kleinhans](#)^aGregorio [Guisado-Barrios](#)^{a,*}
guisado@uji.esEduardo [Peris](#)^aDaniela I. [Bezuidenhout](#)^{a,c,*}
daniela.bezuidenhout@wits.ac.za^aChemistry Department, University of Pretoria, Private Bag X20, Hatfield 0028, Pretoria, South Africa^bInstitute of Advanced Materials (INAM), Universitat Jaume I, Avenida Vicente Sos Baynat s/n, 12071 Castellon, Spain^cMolecular Sciences Institute, School of Chemistry, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg 2050, South Africa

*Corresponding authors at: Molecular Sciences Institute, School of Chemistry, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg 2050, South Africa (D.I. Bezuidenhout).

Abstract

The preparation of a new neutral ruthenium(II) pincer complexes with formula $[\text{RuCl}(\text{CO})_2(\text{C}^{\text{TRZ}}\text{NC}^{\text{TRZ}})]$ (CNC = bis(1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene)carbazolide) is described. Access to the hydrido complex $[\text{RuH}(\text{CO})_2(\text{C}^{\text{TRZ}}\text{NC}^{\text{TRZ}})]$ was attained by reaction of the pincer ligand precursor with base and with $[\text{RuCl}(\text{H})(\text{AsPh}_3)_3(\text{CO})]$ in the presence of CO atmosphere. The meridional geometry pincer ligand in the complexes is confirmed by a single crystal X-ray diffraction study of $[\text{RuCl}(\text{CO})_2(\text{C}^{\text{TRZ}}\text{NC}^{\text{TRZ}})]$. Preliminary results of the use of $[\text{RuCl}(\text{CO})_2(\text{C}^{\text{TRZ}}\text{NC}^{\text{TRZ}})]$ as catalyst in the transfer hydrogenation reaction of ketones, are reported.

Keywords: Ruthenium(II); Mesoionic carbene (MIC); Pincer ligands; 1,2,3-Triazol-5-ylidene (TRZ); Meridional coordination

1 Introduction

The advantages of combining the steric and electronic directing effects of tridentate pincer ligands with coordination to ruthenium(II), have led to the development of a plethora of ruthenium(II) pincer complexes, with applications ranging from (mostly) catalysis, to photophysical and biological [1]. For the transfer hydrogenation (TH) reaction – a convenient alternative to direct hydrogenation reactions to access this fundamental organic transformation – catalysts have included Ru(II) pincer complexes almost from the onset [2]. With the advent of N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) [3], robust metal catalysts have been accessible by exploitation of the strong carbene carbon–metal bond. The first NHC-based pincer complexes of Ru(II) utilized in TH contained tridentate CNC-ligands with a central pyridine as N-donor group, and flanking NHCs as C-donors, see **A** [4] and **B** [5], Fig. 1.





Fig. 1 Examples of previously reported carbene-based CNC-Ru(II) complexes.

This structural motif of a neutral CNC-tridentate ligand that coordinates to the ruthenium metal central at three adjacent co-planar sites, has been exploited also recently in the design of a bis(imidazolylidene)-lutidine based hydroboration catalyst (**C**) [6] and a bis[(imidazolylidene)alkyl]amino pincer ruthenium complex as a direct hydrogenation catalyst (**D**) [7]. In contrast, the ruthenium complex **E**, (Fig. 1) featuring the rigid carbene-based CNC pincer ligand (bimca), (bimca = bis(imidazolylidene)carbazolide) reported by Kunz et al. is the only example, where the *anionic* CNC pincer ligand coordinates as a tripodal cyclopentadienyl analogue to a RuCp* precursor (Cp* = pentamethylcyclopentadienyl), and not in the usual meridional fashion [8]. The use of other Ru(II) precursors yielded octahedral complexes with coordination of two of the monoanionic pincer ligands [9]. Parallel to this, pincer ligands based on abnormal NHCs (aNHCs) or mesoionic carbenes (MICs) [10] have been much less explored. This class of carbenes include the 1,2,3-triazolylidenes (TRZs), which are stronger donors than NHCs and yield more electron-rich metal complexes upon coordination [11]. The only examples of pincer-TRZ ruthenium(II) complexes reported, feature an extension of the central pyridine (**F**) [12]/lutidine motif (**G**) [13] to include the TRZs as the co-planar wing-tip groups in neutral ligand scaffolds. We have recently reported the preparation of the monoanionic CNC-pincer ligand, [C^{TRZ}NC^{TRZ}]⁻, featuring a rigid carbazole flanked by two 1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidenes as the co-planar C-donors, which is readily accessible from its salt precursor [H₃C^{TRZ}NC^{TRZ}]⁺PF₆⁻ (Scheme 1), after deprotonation with KHMDS [14]. The strongly donating character of the central amido-group and the strong sigma-donating 1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene moieties, and the steric bulk of the ligand scaffold, allowed for the isolation of reactive transition metal complexes [14a,15] and the use of the CNC-pincer metal complexes as highly selective alkyne functionalization catalysts [14b]. It was therefore reasoned that similar steric and electronic direction could be achieved in the coordination of [C^{TRZ}NC^{TRZ}]⁻ to an appropriate ruthenium(II) precursor.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of complexes 1–3.

Herein, we report the synthesis of three octahedral Ru(II)-pincer complexes with the complexation of one CNC-ligand, the TRZ-analogue of the bimca ligand (see [Scheme 1](#)).

2 Experimental

2.1 Solvents and reagents

All synthetic manipulations, unless otherwise stated, were performed under N₂ gas or Ar gas atmosphere using oven or flame dried glassware and standard Schlenk or vacuum line techniques. Air sensitive solids were stored and handled in a PureLab HE glove box. Preparation of NMR and crystallization samples that also require an inert atmosphere were done in the glove box. [RuCl(H)(AsPh₃)₃(CO)] was prepared as previously reported [16]. All other reagents were obtained from commercial sources and were used without any further purification.

Unless otherwise stated, only anhydrous solvents were used during experimental procedures. Solvents were dried using a solvent purification system (MBraun SPS). Anhydrous THF and Et₂O were obtained after distillation over sodium and benzophenone ketyl under a N₂ gas atmosphere. Anhydrous PhMe and hexane were obtained after distillation over sodium under a N₂ gas atmosphere. Anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ was obtained after distillation over calcium hydride under a N₂ gas atmosphere. Deuterated benzene was dried over sodium and distilled under an Ar gas atmosphere.

2.2 Characterization techniques

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were obtained using either a Bruker AVANCE-III-300 operating at 300.13 MHz for ¹H, 75.47 MHz for ¹³C, 121.49 MHz for ³¹P and 282.40 MHz for ¹⁹F; AVANCE-III-400 operating at 400.21 MHz for ¹H, 100.64 MHz for ¹³C, 162.01 MHz for ³¹P and 376.57 MHz for ¹⁹F; or on a Varian Mercury 300 MHz, Varian NMR System 500 MHz. ¹H Chemical shifts are reported as δ (ppm) values downfield from Me₄Si and chemical shifts where referenced to residual non-

deuterated solvents peaks (CD₃CN, 1.94 ppm; CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm; C₆D₆, 7.16 ppm). ¹³C chemical shifts are also reported as δ (ppm) values downfield from Me₄Si and chemical shifts were referenced to residual non-deuterated solvents peaks (CD₃CN, 1.32 ppm; CDCl₃, 77.16 ppm; C₆D₆, 128.06 ppm). Proton coupling constants (*J*) are given in Hz. The spectral coupling patterns are designated as follows: s/S – singlet; d/D – doublet; t/T – triplet; q/Q – quartet; sept-septet; m – multiplet; br – broad signal. Quaternary carbons are designated as C_q.

Chemical shift assignment in the ¹H NMR spectra is based on first-order analysis and when required were confirmed by two-dimensional (2D) (¹H–¹H) homonuclear chemical shift correlation (COSY) experiments. The ¹³C shifts were obtained from proton-decoupled ¹³C NMR spectra. Where necessary, the multiplicities of the ¹³C signals were deduced from proton-decoupled DEPT-135 spectra. The resonances of the proton-bearing carbon atoms were correlated with specific proton resonances using 2D (¹³C–¹H) heteronuclear single-quantum coherence (HSQC) and heteronuclear multiple bond correlations (HMBC) experiments. Standard Bruker pulse programs were used in the experiments.

Solution IR spectra (ν (CO), and ν (Ru-H)) were recorded on a Bruker ALPHA FT–IR or on a JASCO FT/IR-6200 spectrometer with a NaCl cell, using CH₂Cl₂ as solvent, or as a KBr pellet. The range of absorption measured was from 4000–600 cm⁻¹.

Electrospray mass spectra (ESI-MS) were recorded on a Micromass Quatro LC instrument or on a Bruker QTOF Mass spectrometer with positive electron spray as the ionization techniques; nitrogen was employed as drying and nebulizing gas at a flow of 4 L/min. The *m/z* values were measured in the range of 100–1500 with acetonitrile as solvent. Accurate mass measurements were performed by use of a Q-TOF premier mass spectrometer with electrospray source (Waters, Manchester, UK) operating at a resolution of ca. 16 000 (fwhm). Elemental analyses were carried out on a EuroEA3000 Eurovector Analyzer. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Agilent SuperNova diffractometer equipped with an Atlas CCD detector using Cu K α radiation (λ = 1.54184 Å). The single crystal was mounted on a MicroMount polymer tip (MiteGen) in a random orientation.

The yields of the catalytic reactions were calculated by GC using anisole as an internal standard. (Shimadzu GC-2010, NF-5225, 30 m, 0.25 mm, 0.25 μ m, He at 1.0 mL/min, constant Flow 40 °C for 2 min, ramp to 100 °C at 30 °C/min, ramp to 240 °C at 45 °C/min (hold 0.5 min).

2.3 Crystal structure determination

A single crystal of **1** was selected and mounted on a SuperNova, Dual, Cu at zero, Atlas diffractometer. The crystal was kept at 293(2) K during data collection. Using OLEX2 [17], the structure was solved with the xs [18] structure solution program using Direct Methods and refined with the SHELXL [18] refinement package using Least Squares minimization.

Crystal Data for **1**: C₆₆H₆₆Cl₃N₇O₂Ru (*M* = 1162.66 g/mol): triclinic, space group $P\bar{1}$ (No. 2), *a* = 11.68915(13) Å, *b* = 14.9965(2) Å, *c* = 19.5138(3) Å, α = 69.4553(14)°, β = 81.5229(11)°, γ = 68.4617(12)°, *V* = 2978.81(8) Å³, *Z* = 2, *T* = 293(2) K, μ (Mo K α) = 0.446 mm⁻¹, *D*_{calc} = 1.296 g/cm³, 58 667 reflections measured (5.666° ≤ 2 θ ≤ 52°), 11 710 unique (*R*_{int} = 0.0423, *R*_{sigma} = 0.0284) which were used in all calculations. The final *R*₁ was 0.0338 (*I* > 2 σ (*I*)) and *wR*₂ was 0.0939 (all data).

2.4 Synthesis of complex 1, [RuCl(CO)₂(C^{TRZ}NC^{TRZ})]

A Schlenk tube was loaded with [H₃C^{TRZ}NC^{TRZ}]PF₆Cl (1.00 g, 9.4 × 10⁻⁴ mol) and (bicyclo[2.2.1]hepta-2,5-diene)dichlororuthenium(II) polymer (352.9 mg, 1.4 × 10⁻³ mol). The tube was evacuated and purged with N₂ (g), with subsequent addition of degassed ethanol (60 mL). Degassed Et₃N (1.56 mL, 1.1 × 10⁻² mol) was added to the mixture. The reaction mixture was then heated up and refluxed at 95 °C for 5 days in the absence of light. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 30 mL), and the solvent removed *in vacuo* to obtain a dark yellow solid. The solid was first washed with hexanes (5 × 30 mL), followed by CH₃CN (10 mL) and finally dried under reduced pressure to yield 145.0 mg of **1** (1.3 × 10⁻⁴ mol, yield 14%) as a yellow solid. ¹H NMR δ _H (CD₂Cl₂, 300 MHz) 8.20 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 2H, ArH_{carb}), 7.31 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 2H, ArH_{carb}), 7.25 (s, 2H, ArH_{Mes}), 7.18 (s, 2H, ArH_{Mes}), 7.00 (s, 2H, ArH_{Mes}), 6.98 (s, 2H, ArH_{Mes}), 2.45 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 2.35 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 2.34 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 2.20 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 2.09 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 1.98 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 1.14 (s, 18H, C(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR δ _C (CD₂Cl₂, 75 MHz) 198.7 (RuCO), 196.0 (RuCO), 165.2 (C_{Carbene}), 143.4, 142.2, 142.0, 141.3, 138.9, 137.9, 137.1, 135.9, 135.6, 135.6, 135.3, 130.8, 130.5, 130.0, 129.2, 126.8, 118.2, 117.7, 111.6, 34.7 (C(CH₃)₃), 31.9 (C(CH₃)₃), 21.5 (ArCH₃), 21.4 (ArCH₃), 18.7 (ArCH₃), 18.0 (ArCH₃), 17.8 (ArCH₃), 17.6 (ArCH₃). FTIR (KBr): 2028 cm⁻¹ (ν CO), 1957 cm⁻¹ (ν CO). HRMS (ESI-TOF): Calculated for C₆₂H₆₆N₇O₂Ru⁺ [*M*]⁺: 1042.4321, found: 1042.4343. Elemental analysis calc. (%) for C₆₂H₆₆N₇O₂RuCl: C 69.1, H 6.1, N 9.1; found 68.3, H 6.0, N 8.6.

2.5 Synthesis of complex 2, [RuH(AsPh)₃(CO)(C^{TRZ}NC^{TRZ})]

A Schlenk tube was charged with [H₃C^{TRZ}NC^{TRZ}]PF₆Cl (400.0 mg, 3.7 × 10⁻⁴ mol), [RuCl(H)(AsPh)₃(CO)] (487.0 mg, 4.5 × 10⁻⁴ mol) and KN[Si(CH₃)₂]₂ (373.3 mg, 1.9 × 10⁻³ mol) under a N₂ (g) atmosphere. The solids were cooled down to -78 °C, before the addition of THF (25 mL), which had also been cooled down to -78 °C. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for one hour, before it was allowed to gradually warm up to room temperature whilst stirring the solution overnight in the absence of light. The solvent was evaporated *in vacuo* and compound **2** was extracted with hexanes (4 × 30 mL). The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to yield a red residue, which was characterized by NMR spectroscopy as being complex **2** accompanied by a residual amount of AsPh₃. ¹H NMR δ _H (C₆D₆, 300 MHz) 8.28 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 2H, ArH_{carb}), 7.34 (br s, 45H, As(C₆H₅)₃), 7.05–7.03 (m, 15H, As(C₆H₅)₃), overlapping with uncoordinated AsPh₃), 6.94 (s, 2H, ArH_{Mes}), 6.90 (s, 2H, ArH_{Mes}), 6.80 (s, 2H, ArH_{Mes}), 6.57 (s, 2H, ArH_{Mes}), 2.60 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 2.28 (s, 12H, ArCH₃), 2.11 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 1.95 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 1.44 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 1.27 (s, 18H, C(CH₃)₃), -8.82 (s, 1H, RuH). ¹³C NMR δ _C (C₆D₆, 75 MHz) 205.9 (RuCO), 178.8 (C_{Carbene}), 146.0, 141.6, 140.3 (As(C₆H₅)₃), 140.2, 139.1, 138.5, 136.7, 136.1, 136.1, 135.8, 135.4, 135.3, 134.1 (As(C₆H₅)₃), 131.8, 131.6, 130.1, 129.5, 129.3, 129.2, 128.9 (As(C₆H₅)₃), 128.6 (As(C₆H₅)₃), 127.2, 117.4, 116.5, 113.7, 34.4 (C(CH₃)₃), 32.1 (C(CH₃)₃), 21.5 (ArCH₃), 21.5 (ArCH₃), 21.1 (ArCH₃), 21.0 (ArCH₃), 19.4 (ArCH₃), 18.2 (ArCH₃), 18.1 (ArCH₃), 18.0 (ArCH₃), 18.0 (ArCH₃), 17.2 (ArCH₃).

2.6 Synthesis of complex 3, [RuH(CO)₂(C^{TRZ}NC^{TRZ})]

The red residue obtained from the reaction to prepare **2**, was dissolved in diethyl ether at room temperature. In the absence of light, CO (g) was bubbled through the red solution for 10 min. The vessel was sealed, and the reaction stirred overnight, at which point a slight color change occurred from red to orange-brown. The reaction was left to settle, and the solution filtered off from the precipitate. The solvent was evaporated *in vacuo*, and the residue was washed with hexanes (4 × 20 mL). The solid was dried under reduced pressure, to yield **3** (68.0 mg, 6.5 × 10⁻⁵ mol, overall yield 17%) as a yellow brown solid. ¹H NMR δ _H (C₆D₆, 300 MHz) 8.52 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 2H, ArH_{carb}), 7.27 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 2H, ArH_{carb}), 6.84 (s, 2H, ArH_{Mes}), 6.77 (s, 2H, ArH_{Mes}), 6.73 (s, 2H, ArH_{Mes}), 6.59 (s, 2H, ArH_{Mes}), 2.49 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 2.23 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 2.22 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 2.09 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 2.06 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 1.57 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 1.24 (s, 18H, C(CH₃)₃), -3.83 (s, 1H, RuH). ¹³C NMR δ _C (C₆D₆, 75 MHz) 201.3 (RuCO), 196.4 (RuCO), 170.6 (C_{Carbene}), 146.2, 141.8, 140.6, 139.6, 137.7, 137.1, 136.3, 136.2, 135.5, 135.3, 130.1, 129.8, 129.3, 129.1, 127.5, 117.9, 117.5, 112.9, 34.5 (C(CH₃)₃), 32.0 (C(CH₃)₃), 21.3 (ArCH₃), 21.3 (ArCH₃), 21.0 (ArCH₃), 20.9 (ArCH₃), 19.0 (ArCH₃), 19.0 (ArCH₃), 17.6 (ArCH₃), 17.6 (ArCH₃), 17.5 (ArCH₃), 17.2 (ArCH₃), 17.2 (ArCH₃). FTIR (CH₂Cl₂): 2040 cm⁻¹ (ν -RuH), 2008 cm⁻¹ (ν -CO), 1944 cm⁻¹ (ν -CO). HRMS (ESI-TOF): Calculated for C₆₂H₆₆N₇O₂Ru⁺ [*M*-H]⁺: 1042.4321, found: 1042.4374, and calculated for C₆₂H₆₇N₇O₂Ru⁺ [*M*]⁺: 1043.4399, found: 1043.4391.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Synthesis and characterization of dicarbonylchloridobis(1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene)carbazolideruthenium(II) complex

Complex [RuCl(CO)₂(C^{TRZ}NC^{TRZ})], **1**, was prepared following the reaction procedure depicted in Scheme 1. The dicationic bis(triazolium)carbazole precursor, [H₃C^{TRZ}NC^{TRZ}]PF₆Cl [14] was reacted with (bicyclo[2.2.1] hepta-2,5-

diene)dichlororuthenium(II) polymer ($[\text{RuCl}_2(\text{NBD})]_n$, NBD = norbornadiene) in the absence of light (Scheme 1), yielding complex **1** in low yield (14%). The synthetic procedure is similar to previously reported routes making use of the $[\text{RuCl}_2(\text{COD})]_n$ polymer (COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) as precursor to yield *dihalo* monocarbonyl pincer complexes [5,19]. In our case the *dicarbonyl* monochloride CNC complex **1** was formed due to the anionic (and electron donating) nature of the CNC ligand.

Unlike the other two examples of Ru-bisTRZ-pyridine/lutidine pincer complexes reported previously, transmetalation from a Ag-precursor was not required for successful complexation [10,11]. The disappearance of the acidic triazolium CH and carbazole NH proton resonances in the ^1H NMR spectrum of **1** confirmed coordination of the ligand to Ru(II), as well as the carbene carbon resonance at 165.2 ppm in the ^{13}C NMR spectrum. This value corresponds to the TRZ- $\text{C}_{\text{carbene}}$ resonances for other reported Ru-TRZ complexes, ranging from 161 to 185 ppm, although occurring on the high field end of the range due to the overall neutral charge of the complex compared to mono- and dicationic Ru-TRZ complexes previously reported [10,11,20]. Similarly, the signals due to the carbonyl carbons also display relatively upfield chemical shifts, at 198.7 ppm and 196.0 ppm, compared to, for example, cationic complex **F** (Fig. 1) where the carbonyl carbon atom resonates at 208.5 ppm [11], and the carbonyl stretching frequencies are observed at 2028 cm^{-1} and 1957 cm^{-1} .

The molecular structure of **1** (Fig. 2), displays a slightly distorted octahedral geometry around the ruthenium(II) metal centre, with meridional geometry of the $\text{C}^{\text{TRZ}}\text{NC}^{\text{TRZ}}$ ligand, similar to the *mer*-geometry enforced by the coordination of two different tridentate ligands of **F** [12]. However, other Ru-pincer carbene complexes (**E** [8], **G** [13]) displayed deviation from this mode of coordination if not supported by secondary chelating/pincing ligand scaffolds. The Ru- $\text{C}_{\text{carbene}}$ bond lengths (Ru(1)-C(1) = 2.132 (2) Å; Ru(1)-C(3) = 2.119 (2) Å), and the Ru- N_{amido} bond distance (Ru(1)-N(1) = 2.1308 (17)), are longer than reported for other Ru-NHC/TRZ pincer complexes (Ru- $\text{C}_{\text{carbene}}$ = 1.97–2.09 Å; Ru-N = 2.06–2.20 Å) [6,7,10,12]. This observation is in all probability a result of the steric crowding around the central Ru-atom, with the bite angle of the CNC-pincer ligand (C(1)-Ru(1)-C(3)) being $169.4(8)^\circ$. As expected, the two π -acceptor carbonyl ligands are coordinated *cis* to each other, and *trans* to the carbazolidine amido and chlorido ligands, respectively.




Fig. 2 Molecular structure of complex **1** with 50% probability ellipsoids. Hydrogens and one solvent molecule of CH_2Cl_2 have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and bond angles ($^\circ$): Ru(1)-C(1) = 2.132 (2); Ru(1)-C(3) = 2.119 (2); Ru(1)-N(1) = 2.1308 (17); Ru(1)-C(61) = 1.888(2); Ru(1)-C(62) = 1.853(2); Ru(1)-Cl(1) = 2.460(5); C(1)-Ru(1)-C(3) = $169.4(8)$; N(1)-Ru(1)-C(61) = $176.7(8)$; N(1)-Ru(1)-Cl(1) = $88.1(5)$

3.2 Synthesis and characterization of dicarbonylhydridoridebis(1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene)carbazolidine ruthenium(II) complex

The bis(triazolium) precursor $[\text{H}_3\text{C}^{\text{TRZ}}\text{NC}^{\text{TRZ}}]\text{PF}_6\text{-Cl}$ was triply deprotonated by adding an excess of potassium hexamethyldisilazide (KHMDs). The *in situ* generated free bis(triazolylidene) reacted with the $[\text{RuCl}(\text{H})(\text{AsPh}_3)_3(\text{CO})]$ to yield complex **2**, with a triphenyl arsine co-ligand (see Scheme 1). Purification of this complex proved non-trivial, and all our attempts to isolate complex **2** in the pure form were unsuccessful – the complex was always accompanied by a residual amount of AsPh_3 . Nevertheless, we were able to characterize the complex by NMR techniques (see Figs. S3–S4, Supplementary data). Complex $[\text{RuH}(\text{CO})_2(\text{CNC})]$, **3**, was generated by bubbling carbon monoxide through an ethereal solution of **2**. After workup, **3** was obtained in low yield (overall yield from precursor triazolium salt 17%, Scheme 1).

The ^1H NMR spectrum of **3** displays the signal due to the hydrido ligand at -3.83 ppm (see Fig. 3). This value is downfield shifted compared to the hydrido resonances reported for **D** and **G**, ranging from -7.15 to -5.10 ppm [6,11], and also compared to the hydrido chemical shift of **2** (-8.82 ppm). Correspondingly, the ^{13}C NMR spectrum of **3** shows that both the carbonyl and carbene carbon resonances are observed at 201.3, 196.4 and 170.6 ppm, respectively, therefore downfield shifted compared to the same signals in **1**. In addition, the strongly σ -donating hydrido ligand induces lower carbonyl stretching frequencies observed for **3** ($\nu_{\text{CO}} = 2008, 1944\text{ cm}^{-1}$) in the FT-IR spectrum, than for **1**. The Ru-H band observed in the IR spectrum vibrates at a high energy of 2040 cm^{-1} , although such a high wavenumber $\nu_{\text{Ru-H}}$ is not unprecedented in the literature [21]. In the case of complex **2**, carbene and carbonyl carbon resonances were observed at 205.9 and 178.8 ppm, respectively.




Fig. 3 ^1H and ^{13}C (inset) NMR spectrum of **3**.

3.3 Transfer hydrogenation of ketones

The structural similarity of **1** to the transfer hydrogenation catalysts **A** and **B** (Fig. 1), prompted us to test the catalytic activity of **1** in the transfer hydrogenation of acetophenone to 1-phenylethanol, using isopropanol as sacrificial H-donor. The reaction conditions were optimized using different bases (potassium *tert*-butoxide or cesium carbonate), different substrate:base:catalyst ratios and by varying the temperature and time of the reaction (See Table S1, SI). The optimized conditions of 1 mol% catalyst loading, 10 mol% Cs_2CO_3 as base at 95 °C for 14 h, yielded quantitative reduction of acetophenone (entry 6, Table S1). In the case of the reaction with 4-bromoacetophenone, the substrate was reduced to its related alcohol in 20% yield under the same reaction conditions (entry 8, Table S1). The possibility of the low activity of **1** as catalytic precursor due to steric factors is discarded [14b], and is rather ascribed to the presence of two carbonyls and a chloride ligand to complete the octahedral coordination sphere of the catalyst precursor as well as the absence of ligand cooperativity, unlike the transfer hydrogenation catalyst **G** described by van der Vlugt, Elsevier et al. [13], where the presence of more labile phosphine and reactive hydrido ligands bonded to the Ru(CNC)-moiety combined with the pincer ligand cooperativity yield a more active catalyst.

4 Conclusions

We synthesized and characterized a new Ru(II) CNC-pincer complex, with a bis(1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene)carbazolide ligand with two carbonyls and a chloride as ancillary ligands. The synthetic procedure to this complex circumvents the use of a silver complex intermediate as transmetalation agent. The rigidity of the central carbazole moiety, together with the presence of bulky mesityl substituents at the triazolylidene rings, enforce a *mer*-geometry of the CNC pincer ligand. This finding contrasts with the recent example reported by Kunz and co-workers, in which a related CNC di-NHC ligand with a carbazole linker displays a CNC-*fac* conformation [8]. In our case, the steric bulk produced by the mesityl fragments may disfavor the coordinating in the tripodal (*fac*) form. Our complex constitutes the first example of a CNC-pincer complex of ruthenium where the central N-donor coordinates as a monoanionic X-type ligand flanked by 1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene moieties. Access to the hydrido-analogue of the complex was attained by the *in situ* reaction of the ligand precursor with KHMDS to yield the triply deprotonated ligand, which could then be reacted with an appropriate ruthenium(II) carbonyl hydrido precursor and then with carbon monoxide to afford $[\text{RuH}(\text{CO})_2(\text{CNC})]$. Preliminary studies of the dicarbonyl chloride Ru(II) pincer complex **1** as a catalyst for the transfer hydrogenation of ketones did not yield results as satisfactory as expected. We are currently exploring the use of these complexes as catalysts in other model organic transformations, including amination reactions.

Acknowledgements

GGB thanks the MINECO for a postdoctoral grant (IJCI-2015-23407) for financial support. EP gratefully acknowledges financial support from MINECO of Spain (CTQ2014-51999-P) and the Universitat Jaume I (P11B2014-02). DIB and GK gratefully acknowledge the National Research Foundation, South Africa (NRF 10552, 105740 and 92521), and Sasol Technology R&D Pty. Ltd., South Africa for financial support.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

CCDC 1547961 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for complexes **1**, **2**, and **3**. These data can be obtained free of charge via <http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html>, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data

References

[1]

- (a) For recent reviews, see: M. Asay and D. Morales-Morales, . *Top. Organomet. Chem.* **54**, 2016, 239;
- (b) H.A. Younus, W. Su, N. Ahmad, S. Chen and F. Verpoort, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **357**, 2015, 283;
- (c) G. van Koten and R.A. Gossage, *The Privileged Pincer-Metal Platform: Coordination Chemistry & Applications*, 2016, Springer International Publishing, Switzerland;
- (d) M. Asay and D. Morales-Morales, *Dalton Trans* **44**, 2015, 17432;
- (e) C. Gunanathan and D. Milstein, *Chem. Rev.* **114**, 2015, 12024;
- (f) S. Werkmeister, K. Junge and M. Beller, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **18**, 2014, 289;
- (g) K.J. Szabó and O.F. Wendt, *Pincer and Pincer-Type Complexes: Applications in Organic Synthesis and Catalysis*, 2014, Wiley Blackwell, Germany;
- (h) H.A. Younus, N. Ahmad, W. Su and F. Verpoort, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **276**, 2014, 112;
- (i) N. Cutillas, G.S. Yellol, C. de Haro, C. Vicente, V. Rodriguez and J. Ruiz, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **257**, 2013, 2784;
- (j) G. van Koten and D. Milstein, *Organometallic Pincer Chemistry*, 2013, Springer International Publishing, Switzerland;
- (k) S. Budagumpi, R.A. Hague and A.W. Salman, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **256**, 2012, 1787;
- (l) M. Albrecht and M.M. Lindner, *Dalton Trans.* **40**, 2011, 8733;
- (m) D. Milstein, *Top. Catal.* **53**, 2010, 915;
- (n) M. Poyatos, J.A. Mata and E. Peris, *Chem. Rev.* **109**, 2009, 3677;
- (o) D. Pugh and A.A. Danopoulos, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **251**, 2007, 610;
- (p) J.A. Mata, M. Poyatos and E. Peris, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **251**, 2007, 841;
- (q) D. Morales-Morales and C.M. Jensen, *The Chemistry of Pincer Compounds*, 2007, Elsevier, Amsterdam;
- (r) J.M. Serrano-Becerra and D. Morales-Morales, *Curr. Org. Synth.* **6** (6), 2009, 169;
- (s) X. Hu and K. Meyer, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **690**, 2005, 5474;
- (t) E. Peris and R.H. Crabtree, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **248**, 2004, 2239;
- (u) D. Morales-Morales, *Rev. Soc. Quim. Mex.* **48** (48), 2004, 338;
- (v) M.E. van der Boom and D. Milstein, *Chem. Rev.* **103**, 2003, 1759;
- (w) M. Albrecht and G. van Koten, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **40**, 2001, 3750.

[2]

D. Wang and D. Astruc, *Chem. Rev.* **115**, 2015, 6621.

[3]

A.J. Arduengo, III, R.L. Harlow and M. Kline, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **113**, 1991, 361.

[4]

A.A. Danopoulos, S. Winston and W.B. Motherwell, *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 1376.

[5]

M. Poyatos, J.A. Mata, E. Falomir, R.H. Crabtree and E. Peris, *Organometallics* **22**, 2003, 1110.

[6]

C.K. Ng, J. Wu, T.S.A. Hor and H.-K. Luo, *Chem. Commun.* **52** (1845), 2016, 11842.

[7]

G.A. Filonenko, M.J.B. Aguilá, E.N. Schulpen, R. van Putten, J. Wiecko, C. Müller, L. Lefort, E.J.M. Hensen and E.A. Pidko, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 2015, 7620.

[8]

E. Jürgens and D. Kunz, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2017, 233.

[9]

M. Moser, Dissertation, Heidelberg, 2007.

[10]

- (a) For reviews on mesoionic carbenes, see: K.F. Donnelly, A. Petronilho and M. Albrecht, . *Chem. Commun.* **47**, 2013, 1145;
- (b) R.H. Crabtree, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **257**, 2013, 755;
- (c) M. Melaimi, M. Soleilhavoup and G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **49**, 2010, 8810;

- (d) D. Martin, M. Melaimi, M. Soleilhavou and G. Bertrand, *Organometallics* **30**, 2011, 5304;
- (e) A. Poulain, M. Iglesias and M. Albrecht, *Curr. Org. Chem.* **15**, 2011, 3325;
- (f) A. Kruger and M. Albrecht, *Australian J. Chem.* **64**, 2011, 1113;
- (g) M. Albrecht, *Chimia* **63**, 2009, 105;
- (h) O. Schuster, L. Yang, H.G. Raubenheimer and M. Albrecht, *Chem. Rev.* **109**, 2009, 3445;
- (i) M. Albrecht and K.J. Cavell, *Organomet. Chem.* **35**, 2009, 47;
- (j) M. Albrecht, *Science* **326**, 2009, 532;
- (k) P.L. Arnold and S. Pearson, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **251**, 2007, 596.

[11]

- (a) P. Mathew, A. Neels and M. Albrecht, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 2008, 13534;
- (b) G. Guisado-Barrios, J. Bouffard, B. Donnadieu and G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **49**, 2010, 4759;
- (c) J.D. Crowley, A.-L. Lee and K.J. Kilpin, *Aust. J. Chem.* **64**, 2011, 1118;
- (d) B. Schulze and U.S. Schubert, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43**, 2014, 2522.

[12]

- (a) B. Schulze, D. Escudero, C. Friebe, R. Siebert, H. Görls, U. Köhn, E. Altuntas, A. Baumgartel, M.D. Hager, A. Winter, B. Dietzek, J. Popp, L. González and U.S. Schubert, *Chem. Eur. J.* **17**, 2011, 5494;
- (b) S. Sinn, B. Schulze, C. Friebe, D.G. Brown, M. Jäger, E. Altuntas, J. Kübel, O. Guntner, C.P. Berlinguette, B. Dietzek and U.S. Schubert, *Inorg. Chem.* **53**, 2014, 2083.

[13]

S.N. Sluijter, T.J. Korstanje, J.I. van der Vlugt and C.J. Elsevier, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2017, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jorganchem.2017.01.003>.

[14]

- (a) D.I. Bezuidenhout, G. Kleinhans, G. Guisado-Barrios, D.C. Liles, G. Ung and G. Bertrand, *Chem. Commun.* **50**, 2014, 2431;
- (b) G. Kleinhans, G. Guisado-Barrios, D.C. Liles, G. Bertrand and D.I. Bezuidenhout, *Chem. Commun.* **52**, 2016, 3504.

[15]

G. Kleinhans, M.M. Hansmann, G. Guisado-Barrios, D.C. Liles, G. Bertrand and D.I. Bezuidenhout, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 2016, 15873.

[16]

D. Spasyuk, S. Smith and D.G. Gusev, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **51**, 2012, 2772.

[17]

O.V. Dolomanov, L.J. Bourhis, R.J. Gildea, J.A.K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Cryst.* **42**, 2009, 339.

[18]

G.M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 2008, 112.

[19]

D.G. Gusev, M. Madott, F.M. Dolgushin, K.A. Lyssenko and M.Y. Antipin, *Organometallics* **19**, 2000, 1734.

[20]

- (a) S. Sabater, H. Müller-Bunz and M. Albrecht, *Organometallics* **35**, 2016, 2256;
- (b) J. Cai, X. Yang, K. Arumugam, C.W. Bielawski and J.L. Sessler, *Organometallics* **30**, 2011, 5033.

[21]

- (a) J.G. Malecki and A. Maron, *Trans. Met. Chem.* **37**, 2012, 727;
- (b) J. Zhang, G. Leitus, Y. Ben-David and D. Milstein, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **45**, 2006, 1113.


Appendix A. Supplementary data

[Multimedia Component 1](#)

Supplementary data

Graphical abstract

Synthesis and structure of CNC-pincer complexes of Ru(II) based on a bis(triazolylidene)carbazolide scaffold to enforce meridional geometry.



Queries and Answers

Query: Each author is invited to submit cover artwork for the volume of the journal in which his/her paper appears. There is no charge for this. One image from those submitted will be chosen for a particular volume; priority will be given to a review article if one appears in the volume. The graphic must be high resolution (.jpg or .tif) and should provide an eye-catching colour image (minimum 300 dpi, size 13.5 cm vertical x 16.5 cm wide). A brief description of the image (about 30 words; the text of the Graphical Abstract may be used if appropriate) needs to be send together with the cover artwork file to Elsevier when proof corrections are provided. By providing the image, the author agrees that Elsevier can use it for future promotional materials, in case it is selected. Unlabeled structural representations may be suitable, but data plots and spectra taken directly from the paper should NOT be used. White backgrounds should be avoided. We suggest you examine past covers at <http://www.journals.elsevier.com/polyhedron/cover-gallery/>.

Answer: Correct

Query: Your article is registered as belonging to the Special Issue/Collection entitled "Pincer Ligands". If this is NOT correct and your article is a regular item or belongs to a different Special Issue please contact s.sravanthi@elsevier.com immediately prior to returning your corrections.

Answer: correct

Query: The author names have been tagged as given names and surnames (surnames are highlighted in teal color). Please confirm if they have been identified correctly.

Answer: correct

Query: Please check the address for the corresponding author "D.I. Bezuidenhout" that has been added here, and correct if necessary.

Answer: correct

Query: The country names of the Grant Sponsors are provided below. Please check and correct if necessary. 'MINECO' - 'Spain', 'Universitat Jaume I' - 'Spain', 'DIB' - 'Colombia', 'National Research Foundation' - 'United Arab Emirates', 'Sasol' - 'South Africa'.

Answer: For sponsor NRF, the country association for 'National Research Foundation' should be South Africa, NOT Colombia, United Arab Emirates

Query: One or more sponsor names may have been edited to a standard format that enables better searching and identification of your article. Please check and correct if necessary.

Answer: correct