

Full-length Report

Assessing geographical differences in illicit drug consumption – A comparison of results from epidemiological and wastewater data in Germany and Switzerland

*Frederic Been¹, Lubertus Bijlsma², Lisa Benaglia¹, Jean-Daniel Berset³, Ana M. Botero-Coy², Sara Castiglioni⁴, Ludwig Kraus^{5,6}, Frank Zobel⁷, Michael P. Schaub⁸, Alexander Bücheli⁹, Félix Hernández², Olivier Delémont¹, Pierre Esseiva¹, Christoph Ort¹⁰.

¹*Ecole des Sciences Criminelles, University of Lausanne, Avenue Forel 15, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*

²*Research Institute for Pesticides and Water, University Jaume I, Avda. Sos Baynat s/n, 12071 Castellón de la Plana, Spain*

³*Water and Soil Protection Laboratory, Schermenweg 11, 3014 Bern, Switzerland*

⁴*Department of Environmental Health Sciences, IRCCS—Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri, via la Masa 19, 20156 Milan, Italy*

⁵*IFT Institut für Therapieforschung, Parzivalstraße 25, 80804 Munich, Germany*

⁶*Centre for Social Research on Alcohol and Drugs, SoRAD, Stockholm University, Sveavägen 160, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden*

⁷*Addiction Suisse, Avenue Louis-Ruchonnet 14, 1001 Lausanne, Switzerland*

⁸*Swiss Research Institute for Public Health and Addiction ISGF, associated to the University of Zurich, Konradstrasse 32, 8031 Zurich, Switzerland*

⁹*Jugendberatung Streetwork Stadt Zürich, Wasserwerkstrasse 17, 8006 Zurich, Switzerland*

¹⁰*Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag), Ueberlandstrasse 133, 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland*

* *Corresponding author*

Frederic Been
Ecole des Sciences Criminelles, University of Lausanne

Avenue Forel 15
1015 Lausanne
Switzerland

ABSTRACT

Background: Wastewater analysis is an innovative approach that allows monitoring illicit drug use at the community level. This study focused on investigating geographical differences in drug consumption by comparing epidemiological, crime and wastewater data. **Methods:** Wastewater samples were collected in 19 cities across Germany and Switzerland during one week, covering a population of approximately 8.1 million people. Self-report data and consumption offences for the investigated areas were used for comparison and to investigate differences between the indicators. **Results:** Good agreement between data sources was observed for cannabis and amphetamine-type stimulants, whereas substantial discrepancies were observed for cocaine. In Germany, an important distinction could be made between Berlin, Dortmund and Munich, where cocaine and particularly amphetamine were more prevalent, and Dresden, where methamphetamine consumption was clearly predominant. Cocaine consumption was relatively homogenous in the larger urban areas of Switzerland, although prevalence and offences data suggested a more heterogeneous picture. Conversely, marked regional differences in amphetamine and methamphetamine consumption could be highlighted. **Conclusions:** Combining the available data allowed for a better understanding of the geographical differences regarding prevalence, typology and amounts of substances consumed. For cannabis and amphetamine-type stimulants, the complementarity of survey, police and wastewater data could be highlighted, although notable differences could be identified when considering more stigmatised drugs (*i.e.*, cocaine and heroin). Understanding illicit drug consumption at the national scale remains a difficult task, yet this research illustrates the added value of combining complementary data sources to obtain a more comprehensive and accurate picture of the situation.

KEYWORDS

Illicit drugs, epidemiology, surveys, crime statistics, wastewater

1. INTRODUCTION

The consumption of illicit drugs in a given population or community remains a partially hidden activity that cannot be directly measured nor totally unveiled. Traditionally, the nature, versatility and extent of this phenomenon are assessed through the use of indicators such as consumption surveys or descriptive statistics. The last decade has seen the emergence and refinement of wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE), which relies on quantitative measurement of specific biomarkers of illicit drug use in wastewater. Presented as a complementary approach to current surveillance methods (Amundsen and Reid, 2014; Been et al., 2015; Bramness et al., 2014; Reid et al., 2012, 2011), WBE has received much attention. Although further developments will most probably refine it in the near future, WBE already enables the gathering of unique spatio-temporal information about consumption (Ort et al., 2014). Nevertheless, information about consumers (e.g., age, sex, socio-economical status and history of drug use), crucial for policy makers, cannot be gathered by this approach, but requires the use of classical monitoring tools. Yet, these are also affected by some limitations such as the difficulty to obtain representative estimates, long study times, high costs and difficulties in reaching specific groups of regular users (Banta-Green and Field, 2011; Ort et al., 2014).

In general, estimating and monitoring drug use relies on direct and indirect methods (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2015a). The former are mainly based on general population surveys (GPS), where a representative sample of the population is questioned about illicit drug use. The latter rely on extrapolating information about drug use from other sources indirectly related to drug use such as police statistics, treatment data as well as WBE. Despite suffering from the abovementioned limitations, these methods provide a partial, yet informative perspective of the phenomenon. By bringing together different and complementary data sources, it is expected to obtain a more precise understanding of the dynamics of illicit drug use at the national level.

In this study, samples collected from different wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) in Germany and Switzerland (including Liechtenstein) were analysed. Estimates of the average daily consumption of illicit drugs were computed based on these measurements and pharmacokinetics data available in the literature. Results obtained from wastewater analysis were compared to data derived from GPS and

consumption offences registered by police forces. The aim of this study was to evaluate geographical differences and formulate hypotheses explaining divergences in the data sets. The cities investigated in the context of this study are shown in Figure 1. Focus was set on the use of cocaine, cannabis, heroin, amphetamine, methamphetamine, and 3,4-methylene-dioxymethamphetamine (MDMA or ecstasy).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Epidemiological data and police statistics

General population survey data included the reported prevalence of use during the 12-months prior to questioning with a focus on the investigated areas (see Figure 1 and Table 1) (Kraus et al., 2015, 2014, 2010a, 2010b, 2001; Social and Market Research Institute and Addiction Suisse, 2015). Reported substances were: cocaine, cannabis, amphetamine and amphetamine-type stimulants (ATS) – such as methamphetamine and MDMA (for Germany only) and heroin (for Switzerland only). For Germany, available data was representative of the Federal States (Bundesland) (Kraus et al., 2010a, 2010b, 2001), except for Berlin, where capture/recapture methods were used to derive the estimates (Kraus et al., 2015, 2014). For Switzerland, survey data included responses provided by participants living within the catchments of the considered WWTP (Social and Market Research Institute and Addiction Suisse, 2015). See Supplementary Material for more details about GPS data used.

Police statistics consisted of the number of offences for illicit drug use registered in the investigated areas during 2013 (Switzerland) and 2014 (Germany). Data were expressed as number of offences per year per thousand inhabitants. Epidemiological data and police statistics were not available for Liechtenstein.

2.2 Wastewater data

Amphetamine, methamphetamine and MDMA were quantified in wastewater samples together with the specific urinary metabolites of cocaine (*i.e.*, benzoylecgonine), cannabis (*i.e.*, 9-carboxy-delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol, THC-COOH) and heroin (6-monoacetylmorphine, 6-MAM).

Daily 24-hour composite raw wastewater samples were collected over 7 consecutive days in March 2014, from 19 cities (in total 22 WWTPs) across Germany and Switzerland (including Liechtenstein), as shown in Figure 1 and Table 1. Wastewater samples were analysed using validated liquid-

chromatographic tandem mass spectrometric methods. Details on the analytical procedures can be found in (Bijlsma et al., 2014) (samples from Germany), (Castiglioni et al., 2006) (Lugano), (Been et al., 2015) (Chur, Lausanne, Lucerne, Neuchatel and Sion) and (Berset et al., 2010) (Basel, Bern, Geneva, St. Gallen, Zurich, Winterthur and Liechtenstein (Bendern)). All laboratories are involved in the multi-city study published by the EMCDDA (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2015b) and the analytical performance of methods used were assured by the participation in external inter-laboratory exercises.

Average daily population normalised loads (concentrations multiplied by daily wastewater flows and divided by the number of inhabitants), back-calculations (daily consumption of parent compound based on estimated loads and excretion data) and the associated errors were estimated for each city using Monte Carlo simulations, following existing procedures (Been et al., 2015; Jones et al., 2014). The parameters used are reported in Tables 2 and 3. For Berlin, the results from the four WWTPs were merged to be representative of the catchment area covering the entire city.

Nationwide estimates of illicit drug use were computed using a series of ordinary least squares and mixed effect models. The back-calculated amount of parent compound consumed in each city per day of the week was used as response variable. The number of inhabitants was used as predictor variable in ordinary regression analysis, while for mixed effect models, the day of the week was also included as (random) predictor variable. Additional information about wastewater data can be found in the Supplementary Material.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Population Surveys

Estimates of last-year prevalence of cocaine, cannabis and ATS in the investigated cities are shown in Figures 2 – 3 and Table 4.

The highest prevalence for cocaine (2.8%) was measured for Berlin, with much lower levels in the other Federal States (0.4-0.8%). In Switzerland, Lausanne was highest (2.3%), followed by Lucerne (1.2), Geneva (1.0%), Zurich (0.9%) and Winterthur (0.9%). For cannabis, the highest prevalence in Germany were reported for Berlin (11%) and Dortmund/Dülmen (7.3%), with lower figures for Dresden (4.0%)

and Munich (3.5%). In Switzerland, prevalence of cannabis use was far more homogeneous (average $7.0 \pm 2.2\%$), except for Sion and Lugano with very low levels (1.6% and 3.7%, respectively). Last-year prevalence data for amphetamine and ATS was available only for Germany, with the highest figures reported for Berlin and Dresden (see Figure S1).

3.2 Police statistics

Reported consumption offences per thousand inhabitants in German and Swiss cities are illustrated in Figures 2 – 5 and Table 4. Highest rates for cocaine in Germany were reported in Dortmund (0.42), followed by Munich (0.29) and Berlin (0.22). Particularly low offence rates were reported in Dresden and Dülmen. In Switzerland, highest rates were reported in Bern (5.9), Lausanne (3.6) and Biel (3.0). Heroin-related data was only available for Switzerland, where it depicted a situation similar to cocaine (see Figure S2). For cannabis, highest rates were reported in Munich and Dortmund (4.3 and 4.5, respectively), with the remaining cities ranging between 0.6 and 2. Slightly higher cannabis-related offences were reported in western Switzerland (between 8.4 and 13), compared to the eastern and southern parts (between 3.4 and 7.6). Data about MDMA-related offences was available only for Switzerland, where it showed a heterogeneous situation. Amphetamine offences were quite homogeneous in the northern cities of Germany (range 0.25 to 0.5), while the highest rate was reported in Munich (0.72). In Switzerland, there was a clear difference between south/west and north/east, with the former reporting lower rates (0-0.25) compared to the latter (0.2-0.65). For methamphetamine, the number of offences was generally low in the German cities (0 to 0.11), except for Dresden which reported offence rates of 0.95. In Switzerland, low rates were reported (0-0.06), except for Neuchatel, Biel and St. Gallen, where these were substantially higher (0.15-0.73).

3.3 Wastewater data

3.3.1 Drug loads

Population normalised loads of target substances measured in WWTP in Germany, Switzerland and Liechtenstein are reported in Figures 2 – 5 and Table 4. Fluctuations in drug loads observed during the week are illustrated in Figures S3 and S4. Weekend samples for the WWTP of Berlin Münchenhofe were not available, thus, the results refer only to the WWTP of Berlin Ruhleben, Schönerlinde and

Wassmannsdorf. The contribution of drug loads measured during the week in Münchenhofe was, however, limited (*i.e.*, only 3.3 % of the total), except for amphetamine which was in the same order of magnitude as the other WWTPs.

Among German cities, highest benzoylecgonine loads were measured in Dortmund and Berlin (243.3 and 200 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹). In Switzerland, highest per capita loads were measured in Zurich, followed by Basel and Geneva (598.2, 453.4 and 447.6 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹, respectively). Lowest loads were measured in BERN (LI) and Sion.

For THC-COOH, a more homogeneous picture was obtained both in Germany and Switzerland. Nonetheless, Dortmund and Berlin still exhibited twice as high per-capita loads compared to the other German cities (74.5 and 58.9 versus approximately 30 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹). In Switzerland, loads ranged from 59 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹ in Lugano to 125 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹ in Neuchatel.

In Germany, highest MDMA loads were measured in Berlin, followed by Munich, Dortmund and Dülmen (29.5, 18.0, 10.7 and 9.5 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹ respectively). In Switzerland, loads ranged from 55.4 in Zurich to 3.7 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹ in Lugano.

Consumption of amphetamine seemed to be mainly localised in the cities of Berlin, Dortmund and Dülmen (ranging from 67.6 to 138.3 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹). In Switzerland, amphetamine was predominant in the north-eastern part of the country (8.9 to 25.6 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹), while its occurrence was less marked in western and southern parts of the country (0 to 10.5 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹). BERN (Liechtenstein) showed results similar to western Switzerland (11.4 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹). Highest methamphetamine loads were measured in Dresden (133 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹). In Switzerland, consumption seemed to be mainly localized in the cities of Neuchatel, Zurich and Biel (33.4, 21.8 and 19.1 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹, respectively).

Occurrence of 6-MAM (exclusive metabolite of heroin) was monitored only in Switzerland, as shown in Figure S4. Highest loads were measured in the cities of Zurich, Winterthur and St. Gallen (17.7, 13.5 and 12.1 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹, respectively), while other large cities (> 100'000 inhabitants) were characterized by similar loads (between 6.7 and 10.9 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹). In smaller catchments, substantially lower per capita loads were measured (between 1.9 and 2.7 mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹), except for Biel which was in the same range as larger urban areas. No 6-MAM was detected in BERN.

3.3.2 Consumption estimates

Using measured loads and excretion data reported in Table 3, the amounts of pure substance (*i.e.*, parent compound) initially consumed were back-calculated using Monte Carlo simulations. Results are reported in Table 5.

Nationwide consumption estimates are given only for Switzerland as the size of the population sampled during the campaign corresponds to approximately 27% of the total Swiss population (sampled population: 2.2 million, total population in 2013: 8.14 (Swiss Federal Statistical Office, 2014)). Furthermore, estimates were limited to cocaine and MDMA as these are the only two substances detected in all cities. Scatterplots of consumption estimates as a function of the population are illustrated in Figure 6. Prior to regression analysis, data was log-transformed to correct for heteroscedasticity (*i.e.*, unequal variances between observations).

For cocaine, a mixed effect model was used to extrapolate both, the daily average and weekly consumption estimate for municipalities not included in the wastewater sampling campaign (see Supporting Material, Figure S5 and Table S1 for further details). As reported in Table 6, the nationwide average daily consumption of cocaine was estimated to approximately 8.8 kg of pure substance. In terms of weekly consumption, 61.6 kg of pure cocaine was estimated.

For MDMA, mixed effect models did not provide satisfactory results, thus, an ordinary least squares model was used to extrapolate the nationwide daily average consumption which was estimated at 0.367 kg.day⁻¹ of pure MDMA (as reported in Table 6).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Cocaine

In Germany, the overlap observed between prevalence, offences and wastewater data suggests that cocaine consumption is predominant in the cities of Dortmund and Berlin, although lower prevalence was reported in Dortmund. This difference, however, may be due to methodological differences between survey estimates in Dortmund (and other German cities) and capture/recapture estimates as applied in Berlin. Firstly, capture/recapture methods are less likely to produce underestimates, and secondly, the survey estimates used apply to regions (Bundesland) rather than to cities. For the city of Munich, offence

data suggest a high occurrence of cocaine use, yet both prevalence and wastewater data indicate the contrary. In the case of Dresden, offence and wastewater data suggest limited cocaine consumption, whilst survey data indicate that prevalence is similar to Munich and Dortmund.

In Switzerland, conventional indicators depicted a highly heterogeneous situation, in particular between larger urban areas (*i.e.*, > 100'000 inhabitants), which showed substantial differences in prevalence and number of reported offences. Yet, these were less pronounced when observing results from wastewater analysis. Possible explanations for these discrepancies are reporting bias, concealment, stigmatization, city size, drug availability, as well as law enforcement activities, all of which strongly influence survey outcomes and offence rates. Still, an overlap between data sources was observed for urban areas with less than 100'000 inhabitants.

According to prevalence data, there is a slightly higher cocaine consumption in Swiss compared to German cities, which is confirmed by wastewater analysis. This is in contradiction with nationwide figures, which suggest that last-year prevalence is higher in Germany compared to Switzerland (*i.e.*, 0.8 and 0.5%, respectively (Gmel et al., 2014; Pabst et al., 2013)). Yet, the small number of cities analysed in Germany limits the interpretation. Results from the analysis of benzoylecgonine were consistent with findings from the multi-city study reported by the EMCDDA (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2015b; Ort et al., 2014).

4.2 Cannabis

Overall, prevalence and wastewater data showed a similar picture for cannabis consumption in Germany, while offence rates diverged slightly from the former indicators. Highest prevalence was reported for Berlin and Dortmund, with the latter having also the highest THC-COOH per capita loads. This could be due to its proximity to the Netherlands, where a more liberal drug policy on cannabis use is in force (Bijlsma et al., 2012; Thomas et al., 2012). Yet, no statistical difference in the measured loads could be found between Berlin and Dortmund (Wilcox Rank Sum p-value > $\alpha = 0.05$). Similarly to cocaine, higher offence rates were reported in Munich, while both prevalence and wastewater data suggested that these were substantially lower than in Berlin and Dortmund.

Based on prevalence and wastewater data, cannabis consumption appear quite uniform across the investigated areas, except for the city of Sion where reported prevalence was particularly low compared to offence and wastewater results. In general, offence rates are more heterogeneous compared to the other two indicators. However, it should be noted that since October 1st 2013, use of cannabis by adults has been decriminalised and can now be punished with an administrative fine, without being recorded as a criminal offence (Conseil Fédéral, 2013).

Comparisons between the two countries suggest that cannabis consumption is slightly higher in Switzerland, which is in agreement with national prevalence figures (*i.e.*, 12-months prevalence of 4.5% and 5% in Germany and Switzerland, respectively (Gmel et al., 2014; Pabst et al., 2013)).

Results from wastewater analysis obtained in this context were consistent with findings from the multi-city study reported by the EMCDDA (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2015b; Ort et al., 2014).

4.3 Amphetamine-type stimulants

The available indicators suggest that methamphetamine consumption in Germany was predominant in Dresden, while amphetamine consumption was substantially lower compared to the others cities. The occurrence of methamphetamine in Dresden is known to be related to its proximity to the Czech Republic, an important methamphetamine producer (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2015c). Interestingly, available data suggests that consumption of methamphetamine is limited elsewhere, including Berlin and Munich, which are both in the eastern part of the country and thus, potentially affected by imports of methamphetamine from the Czech Republic. However, this is in agreement with the supposedly small-scale production (*i.e.*, kitchen laboratories), whose outputs are thought to supply predominantly domestic and neighbouring markets (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2014). Despite recent signs that its consumption is spreading (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2015d), our data suggest that ATS consumption is still limited to border areas.

The proximity of Dortmund to the Netherlands, which is known for its ATS production (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2015d, 2014), does not seem to have an effect on the

availability and consumption of these substances. In fact, amphetamine loads were in the same range as those measured in Berlin and MDMA loads were below the values measured in Munich. Whilst comparisons with prevalence data should be interpreted carefully, as these do not distinguish between the type of ATS reported, our results confirm the more widespread use of amphetamine compared to methamphetamine (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2015d, 2014). Similar findings were obtained in a recent study where wastewater samples from various cities in western Germany were analysed (Meyer et al., 2015).

Catchment specific prevalence data on ATS was not available for Switzerland, yet offence rates and wastewater data for both MDMA and amphetamine showed similar patterns. The occurrence of MDMA seems to be predominant in larger urban areas, while smaller cities are generally less affected. For amphetamine, both data sources suggest higher prevalence rates in the north-eastern part of Switzerland. Offences related to methamphetamine use were highest in Neuchatel, Biel and St. Gallen. Wastewater analysis confirms these findings, except for St. Gallen where substantially lower loads were measured. Similarly to amphetamine use, methamphetamine consumption appears to be more widespread in the northern part of the country.

In both countries, highest MDMA loads were measured in the largest cities, Berlin and Zurich, with the latter having the overall highest figures. Measurements in Munich were similar to those recorded in other larger urban areas (*i.e.*, > 100'000 inhabitants) in Switzerland, while Dortmund and Dülmen showed loads similar to smaller cities in Switzerland. Amphetamine consumption was clearly more prevalent in the northern part of Germany. This is in agreement with national figures which suggest that its consumption is higher in Germany (12-months prevalence: 0.7% for ATS (Pabst et al., 2013)) compared to Switzerland (12-months prevalence: 0.4% for amphetamine and 0% for methamphetamine (Gmel et al., 2014)). In the case of methamphetamine, available data indicate that consumption is centred in some “hotspots”, while other areas included in this study are less affected.

Results from wastewater analysis obtained in this context were in line with the multi-city study reported by the EMCDDA (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2015b; Ort et al., 2014).

4.4 Heroin

The highest offence rates were reported in Lausanne, Bern and Biel, while the highest 6-MAM loads were measured in Zurich and Winterthur. Except for the latter two cities, the picture drawn from wastewater analysis suggests that heroin consumption is quite uniform throughout the country. In agreement with reported offences, Sion and Chur were the cities with the lowest per capita loads. There is evidence that the number of people being apprehended for consumption of highly stigmatized drugs is likely influenced by law enforcement strategies, in particular for heavy opiate users. Thus, classical surveillance systems provide a biased picture of the situation, rendering comparisons difficult.

4.5 Consumption estimates

Consumption estimates for each substance and city included in the sampling frame are reported in Table 5. Whilst these figures are affected by various uncertainties, and should thus be interpreted carefully, they provide a rough idea of the amounts of substances consumed in the investigated areas. As previously discussed, amphetamine seems to be the predominant stimulant drug in Germany, while cocaine seems to be more widespread in Switzerland. Data for Liechtenstein suggest that cocaine is the main stimulant, with levels of use comparable to cities of similar size in Switzerland.

Nationwide consumption estimates for cocaine and MDMA for Switzerland only derive from data collected in larger urban areas over the course of one week. These estimates may thus not correspond to consumption in smaller catchments not included in the study (*i.e.*, less than 40'000 inhabitants), nor may they be representative of consumption throughout the year. Moreover, the excretion rates used for the calculations, which derive from pharmacological studies with a limited number of participants, and the potential degradation of biomarkers in sewers, might further affect the accuracy of the estimates. Nonetheless, these rough estimates allow assessing the order of magnitude of quantities consumed on a national scale and could potentially be used to estimate the associated money turnover.

5. CONCLUSION

Because illicit drug consumption is a complex phenomenon, the indicators considered in this study can only provide a partial perspective of the phenomenon and all suffer from limitations and uncertainties. Survey data may be biased by differential responses due to stigmatisation and hidden behaviours, which

are particularly pronounced for heroin and cocaine, whereas cannabis and other stimulants might be less affected. Offence data are obviously biased by law enforcement activities and strategies as well as the visibility of the drug scene and thus, may not be representative of the size of the drug using population. Munich is a clear example: offence rates related to all considered substances were among the highest while prevalence and wastewater data suggest low numbers of users and quantities. Moreover, this type of data does not always allow for distinguishing between users and dealers (who might confess personal use to avoid charges for trafficking). Finally, wastewater analyses allow estimating the amount and type of products consumed by the community, yet they are not capable of estimating the number and type of consumer.

These limitations might explain the dissimilarities observed for cocaine-related data. As a result of its negative connotation and hidden consumption, it is likely more difficult to capture regular and marginalised users with current survey methods. Additionally, drug-related public nuisances influence the activities of law enforcement, making it difficult to draw conclusions from the number of reported offences. On the contrary, an interesting overlap could be highlighted for data related to cannabis, suggesting relatively homogeneous consumption across Germany and Switzerland. The findings support the hypothesis that cannabis consumption is less stigmatised and more widespread, and that current methods and wastewater analysis provide a realistic perspective of the situation. Similarly, a good overlap was observed for ATS-related data. A more widespread recreational use and thus, a limited number of regular/heavy users (difficult to measure) might explain the good agreement between the considered indicators. For Germany, prevalence data was not substance-specific (*i.e.*, not possible to distinguish between amphetamine, methamphetamine and MDMA) and wastewater analysis provided a valuable tool to highlight geographical differences. Similarly, in Switzerland, where no recent survey was available, wastewater analysis allowed to identify geographical differences in amphetamine and methamphetamine use. Amphetamine was found to be more predominant in Germany and cocaine in Switzerland, confirming the north-south gradient of stimulants use in Europe (*i.e.*, amphetamine being the main stimulant in northern countries, while cocaine is more widespread in the south of Europe (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2015d, 2014)). Nonetheless, it would be

necessary to include additional areas, in particular for Germany, in order to obtain a more precise image of the situation at the national level.

The findings support some of the existing hypotheses about regional features, but also provide additional evidence about geographical particularities. Understanding illicit drug consumption on a national scale remains a difficult task, however, the findings of this research illustrate how the combination of different and complementary data sources allows for obtaining a more accurate picture of the situation. The retrieved information can be used to monitor changes in drug use, both at the national and international scale, identify potential dangers, promote the setup of specific interventions (e.g., targeted surveys, prevention campaigns and/or police actions), understand the structure of drug markets and guide future drug policies. Although wastewater analysis does not provide direct information about users, its ability to provide close to real-time data and its potential integration in existing monitoring programs make it a valuable tool to help understanding illicit drug consumption.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the personnel of the wastewater treatment plants for their collaboration in providing the samples. The authors would like to thank Philippe Hayot, from the Swiss Federal Statistical Office, and Gerhard Gmel and Luca Notari, from Addiction Suisse, for providing drug-related statistics. The University Jaume I acknowledges the financial support from Generalitat Valenciana (Group of Excellence Prometeo II 2014/023); ISIC 2012/16 and from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (Project Ref CTQ2012-36189).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict declared

REFERENCES

- Amundsen, E.J., Reid, M.J., 2014. Self-reports of consumption of amphetamines, cocaine and heroin in a survey among marginalized drug users. *Sci. Total Environ.* 487, 740–745.
- Banta-Green, C., Field, J., 2011. City-wide drug testing using municipal wastewater: A new tool for drug epidemiology. *Significance* 8, 70–74.
- Been, F., Benaglia, L., Lucia, S., Gervasoni, J.-P., Esseiva, P., Delémont, O., 2015. Data triangulation in the context of opioids monitoring via wastewater analyses. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 151, 203–210.
- Berset, J.D., Brenneisen, R., Mathieu, C., 2010. Analysis of illicit and illicit drugs in waste, surface and lake water samples using large volume direct injection high performance liquid chromatography - Electrospray tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS). *Chemosphere* 81, 859–866.
- Bijlsma, L., Beltrán, E., Boix, C., Sancho, J.V., Hernández, F., 2014. Improvements in analytical methodology for the determination of frequently consumed illicit drugs in urban wastewater. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 406, 4261–4272.
- Bijlsma, L., Emke, E., Hernández, F., de Voogt, P., 2012. Investigation of drugs of abuse and relevant metabolites in Dutch sewage water by liquid chromatography coupled to high resolution mass spectrometry. *Chemosphere* 89, 1399–1406.
- Bramness, J.G., Reid, M.J., Solvik, K.F., Vindenes, V., 2014. Recent trends in the availability and use of amphetamine and methamphetamine in Norway. *Forensic Sci. Int.* 246, 92–97.
- Castiglioni, S., Zuccato, E., Crisci, E., Chiabrando, C., Fanelli, R., Bagnati, R., 2006. Identification and measurement of illicit drugs and their metabolites in urban wastewater by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 78, 8421–8429.
- Conseil Fédéral, 2013. Amende d'ordre pour la consommation de cannabis [Administrative fine for the consumption of cannabis] [WWW Document]. URL <https://www.news.admin.ch/message/index.html?lang=fr&msg-id=49053> (accessed 1.8.16).
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2015a. Key Indicators [WWW Document]. URL <http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/themes/key-indicators>
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2015b. Perspectives on drugs: wastewater analysis and drugs — results from a European multi-city study [WWW Document]. URL <http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/topics/pods/waste-water-analysis> (accessed 1.8.16).
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2015c. European Drug Report: Trends and Developments.
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2015d. European Drug Report 2015.

- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2014. European Drug Report 2014. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, Lisbon.
- Gmel, G., Kuendig, H., Notari, L., Gmel, C., 2014. Monitorage suisse des addictions - Consommation d'alcool, tabac et drogues illégales en Suisse en 2013 [Swiss monitoring of addictions - Alcohol, tobacco and illegal drugs consumption in Switzerland in 2013]. *Addiction Suisse*.
- Jones, H.E., Hickman, M., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Welton, N.J., Baker, D.R., Ades, A.E., 2014. Illicit and pharmaceutical drug consumption estimated via wastewater analysis. Part B: Placing back-calculations in a formal statistical framework. *Sci. Total Environ.* 487, 642–650.
- Kraus, L., Augustin, R., Tschernich, S., 2001. Repräsentativerhebung zum Gebrauch psychoaktiver Substanzen in Nordrhein-Westfalen 2000 [Population Survey on the Consumption of Psychoactive Substances in North Rhine-Westphalia 2000] (120).
- Kraus, L., Pabst, A., Piontek, D., 2010a. Epidemiologischer Suchtsurvey 2009: Repräsentativerhebung zum Gebrauch und Missbrauch psychoaktiver Substanzen bei Erwachsenen in Bayern [2009 Epidemiological Survey on Substance Abuse in the Adult Population of Bavaria] (No. 176).
- Kraus, L., Pabst, A., Piontek, D., 2010b. Epidemiologischer Suchtsurvey 2009: Repräsentativerhebung zum Gebrauch und Missbrauch psychoaktiver Substanzen bei Erwachsenen in Sachsen [2009 Epidemiological Survey on Substance Abuse in the Adult Population of Saxony].
- Kraus, L., Rösner, S., Baumeister, S.E., others, 2014. Epidemiologischer Suchtsurvey 2012: Repräsentativerhebung zum Gebrauch und Missbrauch psychoaktiver Substanzen bei Jugendlichen und Erwachsenen in Berlin [2012 Epidemiological Survey of Substance Abuse in the Adolescent and Adult Population of Berlin] (No. 185).
- Kraus, L., Steppan, M., Piontek, D., 2015. Schätzung der Prävalenz substanzbezogener Störungen in Berlin: Opioide, Kokain und Stimulanzien [Prevalence estimates of substance induced disturbs in Berlin: opioids, cocaine and stimulants].
- Meyer, M.R., Vollerthun, T., Hasselbach, R., 2015. Prevalence and Distribution Patterns of Amphetamine and Methamphetamine Consumption in a Federal State in Southwestern Germany using Wastewater analysis. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 156, 311–314. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.09.006
- Ort, C., van Nuijs, A.L.N., Berset, J.-D., Bijlsma, L., Castiglioni, S., Covaci, A., de Voogt, P., Emke, E., Fatta-Kassinos, D., Griffiths, P., Hernández, F., González-Mariño, I., Grabic, R., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Mastroianni, N., Meierjohann, A., Nefau, T., Östman, M., Pico, Y., Racamonde, I., Reid, M., Slobodnik, J., Terzic, S., Thomaidis, N., Thomas, K.V., 2014. Spatial differences and temporal changes in illicit drug use in Europe quantified by wastewater analysis: Wastewater data covering 24.74 million people. *Addiction* 109, 1338–1352.
- Pabst, A., Kraus, L., Matos, E.G. de, Piontek, D., 2013. Substanzkonsum und substanzbezogene Störungen in Deutschland im Jahr 2012 [Substance use and substance-related disorders in Germany in 2012]. *SUCHT* 59, 321–331.
- Reid, M.J., Langford, K.H., Grung, M., Gjerde, H., Amundsen, E.J., Morland, J., Thomas, K.V., 2012. Estimation of cocaine consumption in the community: A critical comparison of the results from three complimentary techniques. *BMJ Open* 2, e001637.
- Reid, M.J., Langford, K.H., Mørland, J., Thomas, K.V., 2011. Analysis and interpretation of specific ethanol metabolites, ethyl sulfate, and ethyl glucuronide in sewage effluent for the quantitative measurement of regional alcohol consumption. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 35, 1593–1599.
- Social and Market Research Institute, *Addiction Suisse*, 2015. Continuous Rolling Survey of Addictive Behaviours and Related Risks.
- Swiss Federal Statistical Office, 2014. Population size and population composition [WWW Document]. URL <http://www.bfs.admin.ch/bfs/portal/en/index/themen/01/02/blank/key/bevoelkerungsstand/01.html> (accessed 1.8.16).
- Thomas, K.V., Bijlsma, L., Castiglioni, S., Covaci, A., Emke, E., Grabic, R., Hernández, F., Karolak, S., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Lindberg, R.H., Lopez de Alda, M., Meierjohann, A., Ort, C., Pico, Y., Quintana, J.B., Reid, M., Rieckermann, J., Terzic, S., van Nuijs, A.L.N., de Voogt, P., 2012. Comparing illicit drug use in 19 European cities through sewage analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.* 432, 432–439.

TABLES

Table 1: Summary of major characteristics of the sampled WWTP and period when the considered surveys were carried out. a) Reported population corresponds to figures provided by the WWTP personnel. b) No samples collected on weekend. TP: Time-proportional, VP: Volume-proportional (*2h time-prop. 24h volume-prop.), FP: Flow-proportional, NA: Not available. Grey shading: no previous WBE data (first WBE data in this study)

Country	City/WWTP	^a Population	Typical daily flow [m ³ .day ⁻¹]	Sampling Approach	Period	Survey
Germany	Dortmund	371'788	90'000	NA	12.03-18.03.14	2000
	Dülmen	34'495	7'500	TP	12.03-18.03.14	2000
	Dresden	593'050	110'000	VP	11.03-17.03.14	2009
	Munich	1'000'000	330'000	TP	12.03-18.03.14	2009
	^b Berlin Münchehofe	290'000	42'000	VP*	11.03-14.03.14, 17.03.14	
	Berlin Ruhleben	1'300'000	210'000	VP*	10.03-16.03.14	2010-2012
	Berlin Schönerlinde	750'000	85'000	VP*	11.03-17.03.14	
	Berlin Wassmannsdorf	1'500'000	180'000	VP*	11.03-17.03.14	
Switzerland	Basel	260'000	77'000	VP	18.03-24-03.14	2013-2014
	Bern	206'655	65'000	VP	18.03-24-03.14	2013-2014
	Biel	82'285	37'065.6	VP	18.03-24-03.14	2013-2014
	Chur	52'800	12'000	FP	18.03-24-03.14	2013-2014
	Geneva	417'200	140'000	VP	18.03-24-03.14	2013-2014
	Lausanne	220'000	108'000	FP	18.03-24-03.14	2013-2014
	Lugano	103'000	50'000	TP	18.03-24-03.14	2013-2014
	Lucerne	174'800	75'000	VP	18.03-24-03.14	2013-2014
	Neuchatel	50'000	17'000	VP	18.03-24-03.14	2013-2014
	Sion	45'000	20'380	TP	18.03-24-03.14	2013-2014
	St.Gallen	52'000	17'800	VP	18.03-24-03.14	2013-2014
	Winterthur	125'000	40'000	VP	18.03-24-03.14	2013-2014
Zurich	410'000	170'000	VP	18.03-24-03.14	2013-2014	
Liechtenstein	Bendern	37'000	25'000	TP	18.03-24-03.14	NA

Table 2: General parameters used in Monte Carlo simulations to estimate daily mass loads [g.day⁻¹] of illicit drugs and their metabolites. μ = mean, SE = standard error.

	μ	SE	Distribution	Note
Flow	Daily flow [L.day ⁻¹]	20% of daily flow	Normal (μ , SE ²)	Based on findings by Ort et al. (Ort et al., 2010a, 2010b), the error associated to daily flow measurements (S.E.) was estimated as 20% of the total daily flow. From a preliminary evaluation of an inter-laboratory test, an average deviation of 25% from the expected values was reported by the participating laboratories.
Substance concentration	Measured concentration [ng.L ⁻¹]	25% of measured concentration	Normal (μ , SE ²)	

Table 3: Compound specific parameters used in Monte Carlo simulations to back-calculate the amounts of parent compound initially consumed. μ = mean, SE = standard error.

	Substance	μ	SE	Distribution	Note
Excretion rates	Benzoylcegonine	30.58%	3.35%	Beta (a,b)	Inverse-variance weighted average. Data derived from summary in (Khan and Nicell, 2012, 2011)
	MDMA	15.78%	1.83%		
	Amphetamine	29.12%	0.93%		
	Methamphetamine	28.56%	2.59%		

Table 4: Summary of prevalence, offences (per thousand inhabitants) and wastewater (in [mg.day⁻¹.1000 inhabitants⁻¹]) data for all cities investigated in the context of this study. a) Population normalised loads of benzoylecgonine, the major metabolite of cocaine; b) Population normalised loads of 6-monoacetylmorphine, the exclusive metabolite of heroin.

City	Cocaine				Cannabis			MDMA	
	Prevalence	Offences	^a Wastewater	Survey	Offences	Wastewater	Survey	Offences	Wastewater
Basel	0.04%	1.13	453.5	7.6%	4.52	-	-	0.1	23.4
Berne	0.2%	5.93	365.1	8.1%	12.68	-	-	0.2	18.8
Biel	0.6%	3.01	239.6	8.1%	10.53	-	-	0.2	9.7
Chur	0%	1.91	130.6	8.5%	5.46	78.4	-	0.1	8.6
Geneva	1.0%	1.42	447.6	7.8%	8.77	-	-	0	21.3
Lausanne	2.3%	3.58	311.9	10.0%	12.95	116.8	-	0.2	20.4
Lugano	0.1%	1.81	242.0	3.7%	3.54	59.1	-	0.1	3.7
Lucerne	1.2%	1.46	337.4	7.2%	3.37	93.4	-	0.1	13.0
Neuchatel	0.3%	2.06	105.8	9.0%	4.86	125.4	-	0.3	5.0
Sion	0.1%	1.87	71.1	1.6%	8.44	86.4	-	0.2	8.3
St. Gallen	0.1%	1.64	351.1	6.1%	4.64	-	-	0.2	29.9
Winterthur	0.9%	0.85	329.8	6.1%	6.51	-	-	0.1	14.9
Zurich	0.9%	1.79	598.3	8.1%	7.60	-	-	0.3	55.4
Bendern	-	-	140.0	-	-	-	-	-	< LOQ
Dortmund	0.8%	0.42	243.3	7.3%	4.53	74.5	-	-	11.3
Dülmen	-	0.03	31.8	-	0.64	34.5	-	-	9.5
Dresden	0.5%	0.02	8.4	4.0%	1.36	31.3	-	-	4.8
Munich	0.4%	0.29	79.5	3.5%	4.28	34.4	-	-	18.1
Berlin	2.8%	0.22	200.0	11.3%	2.00	58.9	-	-	29.5

Table 4 (continued)

City	Amphetamine		Methamphetamine		Heroin	
	Offences	Wastewater	Offences	Wastewater	Offences	^b Wastewater
Basel	0.21	16.6	0.02	14.1	0.63	7.4
Berne	0.55	18.1	0.06	10.2	5.65	7.9
Biel	0.37	19.3	0.22	19.1	3.57	8.8
Chur	0.24	8.9	0	2.1	1.14	< LOQ
Geneva	0.03	< LOQ	0.01	< LOQ	1.73	9.6
Lausanne	0.14	7.3	0.02	3.8	3.57	7.2
Lugano	0.02	< LOQ	0	< LOQ	0.41	6.7
Lucerne	0.30	25.2	0.01	10.2	0.82	7.1
Neuchatel	0.16	10.5	0.73	33.4	0.67	< LOQ
Sion	0.25	< LOQ	0.04	0.5	0.62	1.9
St. Gallen	0.64	23.5	0.15	5.1	3.03	8.8
Winterthur	0.25	19.8	0.03	7.9	0.86	13.4
Zurich	0.41	25.7	0.07	21.8	0.64	17.7
Bendern	-	11.4	-	< LOQ	-	< LOQ
Dortmund	0.50	138.3	0.02	10.2	-	-
Dülmen	0.26	67.6	0.00	8.9	-	-
Dresden	0.28	22.0	0.95	133.0	-	-
Munich	0.72	22.2	0.11	11.0	-	-
Berlin	0.25	115.8	0.04	8.1	-	-

Table 5: 7-day back-calculated average illicit drug consumption [grams.day⁻¹] (Standard Error). a) 7-day average calculated from three out four WWTP in Berlin (weekend included). b) 5-day average calculated from the four WWTP in Berlin (weekend excluded).

	Population	Cocaine (Benzoylcegonine)	MDMA	Amphetamine	Methamphetamine
Dortmund	371'788	313.2 (120.5)	26.8 (11.2)	176.9 (65.6)	13.4 (5)
Dülmen	34'495	3.8 (1.6)	2.1 (0.9)	8 (3)	1.1 (0.4)
Dresden	593'050	17.2 (6.6)	18.1 (7.9)	44.9 (17.1)	278.2 (104.1)
Munich	1'000'000	274.9 (115.4)	115.8 (51.3)	76.4 (30.3)	38.8 (14.4)
^aBerlin	3'550'000	2456.9 (597.1)	672.4 (172.2)	1413.8 (305.7)	102.1 (102.1)
^bBerlin	3'840'000	2212.1 (572.6)	690.1 (690.1)	1374.6 (310.7)	100.7 (26.4)
Basel	260'000	408.1 (155.8)	38.9 (17.3)	14.8 (5.8)	13 (4.8)
Bern	206'655	261.2 (98.7)	25 (12.1)	12.9 (4.7)	7.5 (2.9)
Biel	82'285	68.3 (26.2)	5.1 (2.3)	5.5 (2)	5.5 (2.3)
Chur	52'800	23.9 (9.3)	2.9 (1.3)	1.6 (0.6)	0.4 (0.2)
Geneva	417'200	646.4 (247.9)	56.9 (26.3)	6.8 (2.6)	4.5 (1.8)
Lausanne	220'000	237.5 (91.9)	28.8 (13.7)	5.5 (2.1)	3 (1.2)
Lugano	103'000	86.2 (32.8)	2.4 (1)	1.4 (0.5)	0.2 (0.1)
Lucerne	174'800	204.1 (81.5)	14.6 (6.9)	15.1 (5.7)	6.3 (2.4)
Neuchatel	50'000	18.3 (7.1)	1.6 (0.8)	1.8 (0.7)	5.9 (2.3)
Sion	45'000	11.1 (4.2)	2.4 (1.2)	0 (0)	0.1 (0.1)
St. Gallen	52'000	63.2 (24.4)	10 (4.4)	4.2 (1.8)	0.9 (0.4)
Winterthur	125'000	142.7 (55.6)	11.9 (5.2)	8.5 (3.1)	3.5 (1.4)
Zurich	410'000	849 (330.6)	145.7 (77.2)	36.2 (14.6)	31.5 (11.8)
Bendern (Li)	74'000	17.9 (6.9)	1.7 (0.7)	1.5 (0.6)	0.6 (0.2)

Table 6: Nationwide estimates for cocaine and MDMA [kg.day⁻¹]. Total weekly figures were calculated as the sum of the values measured and extrapolated for each day of the week. For MDMA this was not possible and an ordinary least squares regression was used, which does not allow extrapolating day-by-day consumption.

		Cocaine (BE) [kg.day ⁻¹]	MDMA [kg.day ⁻¹]	Considered Population
Measured (Wastewater)	7-day average	3.0	0.346	2'198'740
	Weekly	21.2	2.4	
Extrapolated	7-day average	5.8	0.023	5'793'612
	Weekly	40.4	-	
Total	7-day average	8.8	0.367	7'992'352
	Weekly	61.6	-	

FIGURES



Figure 1: Cities investigated in the context of the study.




Figure 2: 12-months prevalence for cocaine and cannabis in Berlin and the federal states of Northrhine-Westfalia (Dortmund and Dülmen), Saxony (Dresden) and Bavaria (Munich). Reported consumption offences per year per thousand inhabitants. Average population normalised loads [mg.day⁻¹.1000inhab⁻¹]. Only data from three of the four WWTP sampled in Berlin are reported (weekend data were missing for Berlin-Münchehofe).




Figure 3: 12-months prevalence, reported consumption offences per year per thousand inhabitants and average population normalized loads [mg.day⁻¹.1000 inhabitants⁻¹], for cocaine and cannabis in Switzerland and Lichtenstein (wastewater data only). Wastewater samples from Geneva, Bern, Biel, Basel, Winterthur, Zurich and Bendern, were not analysed for THC-COOH.




Figure 4: Average population normalised loads [$\text{mg}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}\cdot 1000 \text{ inhabitants}^{-1}$] for MDMA, amphetamine and methamphetamine measured in Germany. Reported offences per year per thousand inhabitants in Germany. Offences data for MDMA was not available.




Figure 5: Reported consumption offences (per year per thousand inhabitants) and average population normalised loads [mg.day⁻¹.1000 inhabitants⁻¹] for MDMA, amphetamine and methamphetamine measured in Switzerland and Liechtenstein.




Figure 6: Scatter plot of estimated cocaine (based on benzoylecgonine loads) and MDMA consumption as a function of the size of the population. Line: ordi