

1 Pérez-Sobrino, P., Littlemore, J., & Ford. S. (2021). *Unpacking*  
2  
3  
4 *Creativity: The Power of Figurative Communication in*  
5  
6  
7 *Advertising*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 301pp.  
8  
9  
10 ISBN: 9781108473538 (HB); 9781108562409 (EB)  
11  
12  
13

14  
15 **Reviewed by Montserrat Esbrí Blasco (Universitat Jaume I, Spain)**  
16  
17

### 18 19 **1. Introduction** 20 21

22 Just as spices can take an ordinary dish to a whole new extraordinary level by adding  
23  
24 color, flavor and aroma to our food, figurative operations are the precious ingredient  
25  
26 that can make communication more persuasive, emotionally engaging, and effective.  
27  
28 The book “Unpacking Creativity: The Power of Figurative Communication in  
29  
30 Advertising” is a laudable contribution to metaphor studies, multimodal  
31  
32 communication, advertising and marketing. This monograph focuses on the creative use  
33  
34 of metaphor and other figurative mechanisms and explores some of their applications in  
35  
36 advertising.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 Whilst there has been a significant body of research into the key role metaphor plays  
43  
44 in advertising and the reasons for its success, there is relatively little work on how it can  
45  
46 be utilized creatively, combining with other figurative operations, in distinct modes of  
47  
48 expression and across cultures. Therefore, this monograph successfully endeavors to fill  
49  
50 this crucial research lacunae. In what follows, I will focus on the main ideas presented  
51  
52 throughout the book.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## 2. Overview

The book is neatly divided into two parts. Part I presents a theoretical overview of how metaphor, metonymy and other tropes can combine and be used creatively in advertisements and how they are generally perceived by viewers. Part II reports a number of interdisciplinary case studies conducted by the authors that examine the effectiveness and impact of the creative use of figurative operations on the consumers.

In chapter 1 the authors describe metaphor, metonymy, hyperbole and understatement thoroughly and provide illustrative examples of each of them being used creatively, alone or in combination, in advertising. In doing so, they eloquently explain the reasons and mechanisms underlying the creative use of such language, and report the advantages of combining tropes that examine the connection between two concepts (e.g., correlational metaphor, resemblance metaphor and metonymy) and other tropes that emphasize the contrast between two ideas (e.g., verbal irony, dramatic irony and script-subverting humor). The surprising success of metaphor in advertising mainly lies in the satisfaction derived from deciphering the meaning of a metaphor, the stimulation of the consumer's imagination and the positive inferences about the product drawn by the viewer (Dehay & Landwehr, 2019).

As for the creative use of metaphor, the authors do distinguish between creative novel metaphor and the creative use of conventional metaphors, but in my view, it could have been fruitful to address different types of metaphor creativity. For instance, how “source-induced” (both source-internal and source-external), “target-induced” and “context-induced” creativity operate to produce novel metaphors (for detailed descriptions see Kövecses 2010, 2015, 2020).

1           The same figurative operation can be visually depicted in multiple forms, each  
2  
3 of them leading to differing processing by part of the viewer. In this regard, Chapter 2  
4  
5 addresses the creative use of visual design and layout when portraying metaphor and  
6  
7 metonymy. As the authors posit, “even highly conventional metaphors and metonyms  
8  
9 can be presented in highly creative ways through the novel use of visuals” (p.36). The  
10  
11 authors distinguish figurative complexity (related to the meaning of the message) and  
12  
13 visual complexity (related to the format) as equally crucial dimensions through which  
14  
15 we can play creatively with figurative operations. In that respect, no previous studies  
16  
17 had been conducted to comprehend the ways in which visual complexity interplays with  
18  
19 figurative complexity.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26           Moreover, the authors comprehensively review the different types of visual  
27  
28 representation of metaphor, depending on the explicit rendering of the source and/or the  
29  
30 target domain and on the visual relationship between source and target domain  
31  
32 (portrayed side by side, partially depicted, or even merged into a single entity), which  
33  
34 directly affects the cognitive effort required by the viewer to interpret the message. In  
35  
36 terms of complexity, some key studies focusing on visual representations of metaphor in  
37  
38 advertising reveal that viewers prefer hybrid metaphors to similes and contextual  
39  
40 metaphors (Gziouzepas & Hogg, 2011; Van Mulken et al., 2010).  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46           Another major source of creativity tackled in this book is the figurative use of  
47  
48 color. As the authors suggest, “certain colours carry particular meanings, largely,  
49  
50 though not exclusively, as a result of metaphorical and metonymic associations with the  
51  
52 physical and the social world” (p.53). For instance, green is oftentimes related to nature,  
53  
54 due to the metonymic link to the color of plants and trees. Therefore, the meaning of  
55  
56 color can be utilized to evoke the personality of a particular brand and convey its core  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 values. Nonetheless, the meaning associated with colors can vary cross-culturally, as the  
2  
3 authors clearly remark and demonstrate in Chapter 7.  
4  
5

6 Chapter 3 elucidates the ways in which advertisers can make the viewers  
7  
8 experience and interact with metaphors and metonymies in advertisements. As the  
9  
10 authors claim, “stimuli are rarely simply seen or heard and they are very often  
11  
12 ‘experienced’ in the body; they activate parts of the brain that are involved in  
13  
14 movement, processing of sensory stimuli, and emotion” (p.59). Littlemore (2019)  
15  
16 compiles a number of factors influencing the extent to which people’s felt experience of  
17  
18 metaphor ranges from the superficial, linguistic to the embodied sensorimotoric end of  
19  
20 the continuum. Metaphors are more likely to activate a sensorimotor or emotional  
21  
22 response if they are novel for the viewer (Cacciari et al., 2011); Cardillo et al., 2012;  
23  
24 Desai et al., 2011), presented from the reader’s perspective (Blomberg & Zlatev, 2015),  
25  
26 are used in emotionally charged contexts (Samur et al., 2015) and if they involve  
27  
28 movement (Huette et al., 2014). Hence, the more embodied response an advertisement  
29  
30 provokes, the more likely it is that the metaphor used is seen as engaging to the viewers  
31  
32 and thereby effective.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 In the case of marketing videos, narratives allow the brand to tell a story.  
42  
43 Sometimes, as the four campaigns analyzed in this chapter illustrate, the viewers are  
44  
45 called or encouraged to engage in the narrative and enact the metaphors (in their minds  
46  
47 or in a physical way). By doing so, the consumer is actively involved in the  
48  
49 metaphorical narrative.  
50  
51  
52  
53

54 Chapter 4 examines a number of potential sources of variation in audience responses  
55  
56 to figurative messaging in advertisements. The way metaphors and metonymies are  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 understood is shaped by the context and the characteristics of those encountering and  
2 interpreting them (Deignan et al., 2013; Littlemore, 2019). Those individual differences  
3 can affect, for instance, the meaning perceived, the necessary time for figuring out the  
4 message and the level of appreciation of the advertisements. Heretofore the individual  
5 differences most commonly investigated are gender and cultural/linguistic background.  
6 As discussed in this chapter, the consumer's response may vary, among other aspects,  
7 depending on the level of background knowledge, age, gender, need for cognition,  
8 cross-cultural variation and linguistic background. All those individual variables do not  
9 operate apart, as they are inextricably interwoven.

10 Although I fully agree with the authors that the cultural background is maybe the  
11 most important source of metaphor variation, further consideration of the causes of that  
12 kind of variation would have been helpful for understanding the different metaphorical  
13 conceptualizations across cultures. In this regard, Kövecses (2005, 2008, 2015) posits  
14 two (frequently interrelated) causes, namely differential experience and differential  
15 cognitive preferences or styles. On the one hand, the divergence in experience is caused  
16 by the particular physical environment, sociocultural context, cultural history and the  
17 particular interests or concerns baked into a given culture. On the other hand, while  
18 certain bodily experiences can be nearly universal, each culture may exploit them  
19 differently by focusing on different facets of the same sensorimotor experience (see also  
20 Esbrí-Blasco, 2020; Ibarretxe-Antuñano, 2013; Yu, 2008).

21 In the remaining chapters, the authors report several empirical studies conducted in  
22 collaboration with advertising agencies, exploring all the aspects explained in Part I  
23 more in depth. For instance, the study presented in Chapter 5 investigates the impact of  
24 figurative and visual complexity as well as viewing times on viewer's responses to

1 printed advertisements. As pointed out by Forceville (2020), the interpretation of print  
2 advertisements requires analytical tools more complex than those aimed at just  
3 identifying the metaphors structuring them. In this regard, this book provides a reliable  
4 protocol for identifying metaphor and metonymy but specifically designed for  
5 advertisements (Pérez Sobrino et al. 2018). This is especially valuable to metaphor  
6 scholars who might just be familiar with methods for metaphor identification such as  
7 MIP (Pragglejaz, 2007), MIPVU (Steen et al., 2010) or VISMIP (Šorm & Steen, 2018).  
8  
9

10 In chapter 6 the authors report a study that investigates the figurative use of color  
11 and shape in UK app icons. They found that the meanings of app icons are construed  
12 through specific combinations of shape and color, which in turn help to express the  
13 personality of those brands or products. Indeed, and interestingly, they found a  
14 connection between the icon colors and the type of service offered, being blue, green  
15 and white icons the most downloaded, which reflects the values denoted by those  
16 colors.  
17  
18

19 As discussed in chapter 2, the meaning attached to a color is subject to cross-cultural  
20 variation. Concerning this, chapter 7 presents some cross-cultural variations found in  
21 the figurative use of colors in food app icons from the U.S. and Japan. In this case, the  
22 icons containing metonymy were found to be more successful in both cultures.  
23 Regarding the level of schematicity, content-rich designs were preferred in recipe apps,  
24 whereas schematic designs predominated in food delivery services. Interestingly, both  
25 similarities (e.g., green apps connote healthy food in both countries) and different  
26 cultural connotations (e.g., red apps used for fast food in the U.S., but for noodles in  
27 Japan) were found.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Chapter 8 presents a study that investigates the use of several figurative tropes on  
2  
3 marketing videos and how these mechanisms bring about different emotional responses  
4  
5 in viewers and their impact on likeability. The results indicate that marketing videos  
6  
7 need to have an emotional impact (positive or negative) in order to be liked by viewers.  
8  
9 They also found the most successful tropes to use in videos are hyperbole and dramatic  
10  
11 irony, as they evoke positive emotions, surprise, stress and fear in the viewers.  
12  
13 Similarly, chapter 9 explores the cross-cultural (U.K. and Chinese) and gender-based  
14  
15 variation in the appreciation and emotional impact of the commercials from chapter 8.  
16  
17 Regarding cultural variation, Chinese were more likely to be surprised, to show higher  
18  
19 levels of appreciation and sharing behavior than British. As for gender, females had  
20  
21 stronger emotional reactions and higher levels of appreciation, but males were more  
22  
23 likely to appreciate frightening and stressful advertisements.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 Chapter 10 revolves around a study in which the authors helped develop three  
32  
33 campaigns and clearly demonstrate that the effective use of figurative messaging  
34  
35 contributed to their success. They explored pivotal issues like optimizing the cognitive  
36  
37 effort required to process the figurative meaning, how figurative messaging can be more  
38  
39 effective when rendered through both text and image, and the importance of color-  
40  
41 meaning associations made by the viewers.  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 Finally, Chapter 11 provides an insightful overview of the main findings of the book  
47  
48 and offers recommendations for advertisers on how best optimize the creative use of  
49  
50 figurative communication in their campaigns.  
51  
52  
53

### 54 **3. Evaluation**

55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 The book “Unpacking Creativity: The Power of Figurative Communication in  
2 Advertising” thoroughly explores and illustrates the multiple ways in which the creative  
3 potential of certain figurative tropes (alone or in combination) can be effectively  
4 exploited in advertising. Therefore, it proves to be a mandatory reading for metaphor  
5 and multimodal communication scholars as well as for advertising and marketing  
6 professionals.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

16 This monograph contributes to metaphor and communication studies in that it  
17 focuses on different types of creativity, such as creative novel metaphors and the  
18 creative use of conventional metaphors. Moreover, one of the underlying principles  
19 examined in this book is that creativity is conceived of as a dynamic phenomenon that  
20 can be identified at the conceptual level (figurative creativity) as well as the visual one  
21 (visual complexity). The authors investigate the impact of the distinct types of figurative  
22 and visual creativity across genders and cultures (among other variables). Thus, the  
23 excellent choice of illustrative examples and the case studies presented are also  
24 enriching to the field of advertising and marketing as they may provide valuable  
25 guidance on the ways in which figurative operations work best in advertisements.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 Furthermore, another major contribution of this book is that it convincingly  
42 demonstrates the essential role of metonymy and its combination with metaphor in the  
43 effectiveness of advertisements. Further research should be aimed at investigating the  
44 impact on the consumers response of the different types of metonymy (source-in-target  
45 and target-in-source) as well as the impact of distinct types of interaction of metaphor  
46 and metonymy (Ruíz de Mendoza, 2000) and metonymic complexes (Ruíz de Mendoza,  
47 2007, 2017) in multimodal advertisements across cultures.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1           The case studies presented in this monograph are especially relevant as they  
2  
3 answer pivotal issues that had not been addressed in the literature so far. Although  
4  
5 chapters 5 to 10 might be a bit dense to read, the multiple headline findings sections and  
6  
7 interim conclusions guiding the reader in those chapters will be surely appreciated by  
8  
9 less-quantitative readers.  
10

11  
12  
13           All in all, this highly engaging monograph is a perfect stew of theory and  
14  
15 empirical studies with magnificent examples that prove that the creative use of  
16  
17 figurative communication can enhance the effectiveness of advertisements.  
18  
19 Furthermore, as using figurative operations creatively in advertisements is not a one-  
20  
21 size-fits-all process, this book conclusively proves that advertisers and metaphorists  
22  
23 should definitely join forces to optimize results by giving due concern to aspects such as  
24  
25 figurative creativity, visual complexity, and the individual differences of the target  
26  
27 viewers.  
28  
29  
30  
31

## 32 33 34 **References**

35  
36  
37 Blomberg, J. & Zlatev, J. (2015). Non-actual motion: Phenomenological analysis and  
38  
39 linguistic evidence. *Cognitive Processing*, 16(1), 153-157.  
40

41  
42  
43 Cacciari, C., Bolognini, N., Senna, I., Pellicciari, M.C., Miniussi, C., & Papagno, C.  
44  
45 (2011). Literal, fictive and metaphorical motion sentences preserve the motion  
46  
47 component of the verb: A TMS study. *Brain and Language*, 119(3), 149-157.  
48  
49 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2011.05.004>  
50

51  
52  
53 Cardillo, E. R., Watson, C. E., Schmidt, G. L., Kranjec, A., & Chatterjee, A. (2012).  
54  
55 From novel to familiar: tuning the brain for metaphors. *NeuroImage*, 59(4),  
56  
57 3212–3221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.11.079>  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1 Dehay, E. K., & Landwehr, J. R. (2019). A MAP for effective advertising: The  
2  
3 metaphoric advertising processing model. *AMS Review*, 1-15.  
4  
5
- 6 Deignan, A., Littlemore, J., & Semino E. (2013). *Figurative language, genre and*  
7  
8 register. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
9
- 10  
11  
12 Desai, R. H., Binder, J. R., Conant, L. L., Mano, Q. R., & Seidenberg, M. S. (2011).  
13  
14 The neural career of sensory-motor metaphors. *Journal of cognitive*  
15  
16 *neuroscience*, 23(9), 2376–2386. <https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2010.21596>  
17  
18  
19
- 20 Esbrí-Blasco, M. (2020). “*Cooking in the mind*”: a frame-based contrastive study of  
21  
22 *culinary metaphors in American English and Peninsular Spanish*. (Doctoral  
23  
24 Dissertation). Castelló de la Plana: Universitat Jaume I.  
25  
26 <http://dx.doi.org/10.6035/14110.2020.189904>  
27  
28  
29
- 30  
31 Gkiouzeapas, L., & Hogg, M. K. (2011) Articulating a new framework for visual  
32  
33 metaphors in advertising a structural, conceptual, and pragmatic  
34  
35 investigation. *Journal of Advertising*, 40(1).103-120.  
36  
37  
38
- 39 Huette, S., Winter, B., Matlock, T., Ardell, D. H., & Spivey, M. (2014). Eye movements  
40  
41 during listening reveal spontaneous grammatical processing. *Frontiers in*  
42  
43 *psychology*, 5, 410. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00410>  
44  
45  
46
- 47 Ibarretxe-Antuñano, I. (2013). The relationship between conceptual metaphor and  
48  
49 culture. *Intercultural Pragmatics*, 10(2), 315-339. doi:10.1515/ip-2013-0014  
50  
51  
52
- 53 Kashanizadeh, Z., & Forceville, C. (2020). Visual and multimodal interaction of  
54  
55 metaphor and metonymy: A study of Iranian and Dutch print advertisements.  
56  
57  
58 *Cognitive Linguistic Studies*, 7(1) 78-110.  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1 Kövecses, Z. (2005). *Metaphor in Culture: Universality and Variation*. Cambridge:  
2  
3 Cambridge University Press.  
4  
5  
6
- 7 Kövecses, Z. (2008). Universality and variation in the use of metaphor. In N.-L.  
8  
9 Johannesson & D.C. Minugh (eds), *Selected Papers from the 2006 and 2007*  
10  
11 *Stockholm Metaphor Festivals*. Stockholm University: Stockholm, 51-74.  
12  
13  
14
- 15 Kövecses, Z. (2010). A new look at metaphorical creativity in cognitive linguistics.  
16  
17 *Cognitive Linguistics* 21(4), 663–97.  
18  
19  
20
- 21 Kövecses, Z. (2015). *Where Metaphors Come From. Reconsidering Context in*  
22  
23 *Metaphor*. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press.  
24  
25  
26
- 27 Kövecses, Z. (2020). *Extended Conceptual Metaphor Theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge  
28  
29 University Press.  
30  
31
- 32 Littlemore, J. (2019). *Metaphors in the mind: Sources of variation in embodied*  
33  
34 *metaphor*. Cambridge University Press.  
35  
36  
37
- 38 Pérez Sobrino, P., Littlemore, J., & Ford, S. (2021). *Unpacking Creativity: The Power*  
39  
40 *of Figurative Communication in Advertising*. Cambridge: Cambridge University  
41  
42 Press.  
43  
44  
45
- 46 Pérez Sobrino, P., Littlemore, J., & Houghton, D. (2018). The role of figurative  
47  
48 complexity in the comprehension and appreciation of advertisements. *Applied*  
49  
50 *Linguistics*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amy039>  
51  
52  
53
- 54 Praggeljazz Group (2007). MIP: a method for identifying metaphorically used words in  
55  
56 discourse, *Metaphor and Symbol*, 22(1), 1-39.  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Ruiz de Mendoza, F. (2000). The role of mappings and domains in understanding  
2  
3 metonymy. In A. Barcelona (Ed.), *Metaphor and metonymy at the crossroads*  
4  
5 (pp. 109–132). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Ruiz de Mendoza, F. (2007). High-level cognitive models: In search of a unified  
11  
12 framework for inferential and grammatical behaviour. In K. Kosecki (Ed.),  
13  
14 *Perspectives on metonymy* (pp. 11–30). Frankfurt: Peter Lang.  
15  
16  
17

18  
19  
20 Ruiz de Mendoza, F. J. (2017). Metaphor and other cognitive operations in interaction:  
21  
22 From basicity to complexity. In B. Hampe (Ed.), *Metaphor: Embodied*  
23  
24 *cognition, and discourse* (pp. 138–159). Cambridge: Cambridge University  
25  
26 Press.  
27  
28

29  
30 Samur, D., Lai, V. T., Hagoort, P., & Willems, R. M. (2015). Emotional context  
31  
32 modulates embodied metaphor comprehension. *Neuropsychologia*, 78, 108–114.  
33  
34 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.10.003>  
35  
36  
37

38  
39 Šorm, E., & Steen, G. (2018) VISMIP: Towards a method for visual metaphor  
40  
41 Identification. In Steen, G. (Ed.), *Visual metaphor: structure and process* (pp.  
42  
43 47-88) Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.  
44  
45  
46

47  
48  
49 Steen, G. J., Dorst, A. G., Herrmann, J. B., Kaal, A. A., Krennmayr, T., & Pasma,  
50  
51 T. (2010). *A method for linguistic metaphor identification: From MIP to*  
52  
53 *MIPVU*. Amsterdam and Philadelphia: John Benjamins.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Van Mulken, M., Le Pair, R., & Forceville, C. (2010). The impact of perceived  
2  
3 complexity, deviation and comprehension on the appreciation of visual metaphor  
4  
5 in advertising across three European countries. *Journal of Pragmatics*  
6  
7 42(12):3418–3430.  
8  
9

10  
11 Yu, N. (2008). Metaphor from Body and Culture. In R. W. Gibbs (Ed.), *The Cambridge*  
12  
13 *Handbook of Metaphor and Thought* (pp. 247-261). Cambridge: Cambridge  
14  
15 University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511816802.016>.  
16  
17  
18

19 **Address for correspondence**  
20

21  
22 Montserrat Esbrí-Blasco  
23

24  
25 Department of English Studies  
26

27  
28 Universitat Jaume I  
29

30  
31 Castellón  
32

33  
34 Spain  
35

36  
37 esbrim@uji.es  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65