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#### Abstract

Let $B_{E}$ be the open unit ball of a complex finite or infinite dimensional Hilbert space $E$ and consider the space $\mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$ of Bloch functions on $B_{E}$. Using Lipschitz continuity of the dilation map on $B_{E}$ given by $x \mapsto\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right) \mathcal{R} f(x)$ for $x \in B_{E}$, where $\mathcal{R} f$ denotes the radial derivative of $f \in \mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$, we study when a composition operator on $\mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$ is bounded below.
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## 1 Introduction and background

Let $E$ be a complex Hilbert space and consider its open unit ball $B_{E}$. The space of Bloch functions $f$ on $B_{E}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$. We will study various properties of automorphisms of the unit ball $B_{E}$ which will allow us to supply conditions for a composition operator to be bounded below on $\mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$, extending the one-dimensional results given [4]. The study of operators on Bloch spaces on an infinite dimensional setting can be found in [3], where the boundness and compactness of composition operators are studied. Hamada also deals with bounded below composition operators on the space of Bloch functions on bounded symmetric domains [10]. The author also studies properties of extended Cesàro operators on spaces of Bloch-type functions in [9]. The results given in this work are presented as a preprint in [13].

[^0]For our purpose, it will be needed that for any $f \in \mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$, the map on $x \in B_{E}$ given by $x \mapsto\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right) \mathcal{R} f(x)$ is Lipschitz with respect to $\rho_{E}$, where $\rho_{E}$ denotes the pseudohyperbolic distance (see [13]) and bearing in mind that $R f$ is the radial derivative of the function $f$.

### 1.1 Automorphisms on $B_{E}$. The pseudohyperbolic distance

If $X$ is a complex Banach space and we denote by $B_{X}$ its open unit ball, the function $f: B_{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be analytic (or holomorphic) if $f$ is Fréchet differentiable for all $x \in B_{X}$ (see [15] for further information). The pseudohyperbolic distance $\rho_{X}(x, y)$ for $x, y \in B_{X}$ is described by:

$$
\rho_{X}(x, y)=\sup \left\{\rho(f(x), f(y)): f \in H^{\infty}\left(B_{X}\right),|f|<1 \text { on } \mathbb{D}\right\}
$$

where we denote by $H^{\infty}\left(B_{X}\right)$ the space of analytic functions on $B_{X}$ which are bounded. This space is endowed with the sup-norm and the pseudohyperbolic distance on $\mathbb{D}$ is given by:

$$
\rho(z, w)=\left|\frac{z-w}{1-\bar{z} w}\right| \quad \text { for all } z, w \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Now consider a complex Hilbert space $E$ and denote by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ the natural inner product of $E$. We will denote by $\operatorname{Aut}\left(B_{E}\right)$ the space of automorphisms of $B_{E}$, that is, the bijective maps $\varphi: B_{E} \rightarrow B_{E}$ which are bianalytic. We will use these automorphisms several times in this work (see [8] for further information). For every $x \in B_{E}$, we will denote the automorphism $\varphi_{x}: B_{E} \longrightarrow B_{E}$ by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{x}(y)=\left(s_{x} Q_{x}+P_{x}\right)\left(m_{x}(y)\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{x}=\sqrt{1-\|x\|^{2}}, m_{x}: B_{E} \longrightarrow B_{E}$ is the analytic self-map:

$$
m_{x}(y)=\frac{x-y}{1-\langle y, x\rangle},
$$

$P_{x}: E \longrightarrow E$ is given by:

$$
P_{x}(y)=\frac{\langle y, x\rangle}{\langle x, x\rangle} x
$$

and $Q_{x}: E \longrightarrow E$ is defined by $Q_{x}=I d_{E}-P_{x}$, where $I d_{E}$ is the identity on $E$. Notice that $\varphi_{x}(0)=x$ and also $\varphi_{x}(x)=0$. It is well-known that the space of automorphisms of $B_{E}$ is given by compositions of $\varphi_{x}$ for some $x \in B_{E}$ with unitary transformations $U$ of $E$. In addition, this space acts transitively on $B_{E}$.

The pseudohyperbolic distance on $B_{E}$ is given by (see [8]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{E}(x, y)=\left\|\varphi_{y}(x)\right\| \text { for any } x, y \in B_{E} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the definition of $\varphi_{x}$ it is easy to conclude that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{E}(x, y)^{2}=1-\frac{\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)\left(1-\|y\|^{2}\right)}{|1-\langle x, y\rangle|^{2}} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.2 The space of Bloch functions

Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the space of complex numbers and $\mathbb{D}$ the open disk of radius 1 centered at 0 . The classical Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ is given by the set of holomorphic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|f\|_{B}=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|<+\infty$. Timoney extended this space by considering domains of finite dimensional Hilbert spaces (see [17]) and in [2] the authors extended these functions to an infinite dimensional context. When we deal with a complex Hilbert space $E$, the holomorphic function $f: B_{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$ if:

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}=\sup _{x \in B_{E}}\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)\|\nabla f(x)\|<+\infty,
$$

where the gradient $\nabla f(x)$ denotes the Fréchet derivative $f^{\prime}(x)$ of $f$ at $x$ or, equivalently, if:

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{R}}=\sup _{x \in B_{E}}\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)\|\mathcal{R} f(x)\|<+\infty
$$

where $\mathcal{R} f(x)=\langle x, \overline{\nabla f(x)}\rangle$. Both semi-norms are also equivalent to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}}=\sup _{x \in B_{E}}\|\widetilde{\nabla} f(x)\| \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\nabla} f(x)$ is the invariant gradient of $f$ at $x$, that is, $\widetilde{\nabla} f(x)=\nabla\left(f \circ \varphi_{x}\right)(0)$ and bearing in mind that $\varphi_{x}$ is the automorphism described in (1.1).

These three semi-norms describe norms of Banach spaces which are equivalentmodulo constant functions- in $\mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$ [2]. Indeed, there is a positive constant $A_{0}$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{R}} \leq\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq A_{0}\|f\|_{R}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we obtain a Banach space if we endow $\mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$ with one of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$-Bloch $=$ $|f(0)|+\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ or $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{R}}$-Bloch and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I} \text {-Bloch }}$ which are defined with the corresponding semi-norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$. These semi-norms will be used along our work.

### 1.3 The function ( $\left.1-\|x\|^{2}\right)|\mathcal{R} f(x)|$ is Lipschitz continuous

Let $f \in \mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$. Recall that the function defined on $x \in B_{E}$ and given by $x \mapsto$ $\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)|\mathcal{R} f(x)|$ is Lipschitz with respect to $\rho_{E}$. We recall several results which can be found in [13].

Theorem 1.1 If $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$ then:

$$
\left|\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right) \mathcal{R} f(x)-\left(1-\|y\|^{2}\right) \mathcal{R} f(y)\right| \leq 14\|f\|_{\mathcal{I} \rho_{E}}(x, y) \text { for all } x, y \in B_{E}
$$

As a consequence, we obtain a corollary which extends results given in [1] by Attele and improved in [18] by Xiong on the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ :

Corollary 1.2 Consider a complex Hilbert space E. The function defined for $x \in B_{E}$ and given by $x \mapsto\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)|\mathcal{R} f(x)|$ is Lipschitz with respect to the pseudohyperbolic distance $\rho_{E}$. In addition, we have:

$$
\left|\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)\right| \mathcal{R} f(x)\left|-\left(1-\|y\|^{2}\right)\right| \mathcal{R} f(y)\|\leq 14\| f \|_{\mathcal{I}} \rho_{E}(x, y)
$$

This will allow us to provide conditions for a composition operator on the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$ to be bounded below.

## 2 Composition operators on $\mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$ which are bounded below

Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces. A linear operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be bounded below if there is a positive constant $k>0$ satisfying $\|x\| \leq k\|T(x)\|$. A linear continuous operator $T$ is bounded below if and only if $T$ has closed range and it is injective.

If $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ denotes an analytic map, the composition operator $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is defined by $C_{\varphi}(f)=f \circ \varphi$ and it is continuous for any $\varphi$. Define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\varphi}(z)=\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}} \varphi^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [7], it was investigated when $\varphi$ induces a composition operator which has closed range on $\mathcal{B}$. They proved:

Proposition 2.1 Let $C_{\varphi}$ be bounded below. Then there are $\varepsilon, r>0$ such that $r<1$ satisfying that for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ we have $\rho(\varphi(w), z) \leq r$ for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfying $\left|\tau_{\varphi}(w)\right|>\varepsilon$.

The authors also studied the map defined for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ by $z \mapsto\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|$, proving that it is Lipschitz with respect to $\rho$ if $f$ belongs to the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$. Indeed, for any $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\right| f^{\prime}(z)\left|-\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)\right| f^{\prime}(w)| | \leq 3.31\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \rho(z, w) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result refines a result of Attele (see [1]) who provided the constant 9 instead of 3.31. Xiong improved the constant in [18], giving $3 \sqrt{3} / 2 \approx 2.6$. From (2.2) we have the following sufficient condition for $C_{\varphi}$ to be bounded below (see [7]):

Theorem 2.2 Consider an analytic self-map $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{D}$ and suppose that there is $0<$ $r<\frac{1}{4}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ satisfying that for any $w \in \mathbb{D}$ there exists $z_{w} \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\rho\left(\varphi\left(z_{w}\right), w\right)<r$ and $\left|\tau_{\varphi}\left(z_{w}\right)\right|>\varepsilon$. Then the operator $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is bounded below.
F. Deng, L. Jiang and C. Ouyang [6] and H. Chen [4] considered self-maps $\varphi$ on $B_{n}$, where $B_{n}$ denotes the open unit ball of a finite dimensional Hilbert space, extending these results from the one-dimensional case. However, they replaced $\tau_{\varphi}(z)$ by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1-\|z\|^{2}}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}}\right)^{(n+1) / 2}\left|\operatorname{det}\left(J_{\varphi}(z)\right)\right| \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{\varphi}(z)$ is the Jacobian matrix of $\varphi$. If $\varphi$ is an automorphism of $B_{n}$ then it is easy that $\tau_{\varphi}(z)=1$. Moreover, the proofs of these results used the definition given by Timoney of Bloch function on $B_{n}$ depending on the Bergman metric [17].

To extend the results given for the classical Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ to a more general setting (finite or infinite dimensional), we will give sufficient and necessary conditions which avoid the Bergman metric and expression (2.3). Hence, consider a complex Hilbert space $E$ and an analytic map $\psi: B_{E} \rightarrow B_{E}$. We define for $x \in B_{E}$ the expressions $\tau_{\psi}(x)$ and $\widetilde{\tau_{\psi}}(x)$ which are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\psi}(x)=\frac{1-\|x\|^{2}}{1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}}\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right\| \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\tau_{\psi}}(x)=\frac{\sqrt{1-\|x\|^{2}}}{1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}}\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right\| . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy that $\widetilde{\tau_{\psi}}(x) \geq \tau_{\psi}(x)$.
In [3] the authors studied the boundness and also the compactness of $C_{\psi}: \mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$ which is the composition operator defined by $C_{\psi}(f)=f \circ \psi$. It was proved that for any analytic self map $\psi$ on $B_{E}$, the operator $C_{\psi}$ is bounded. Furthermore, they proved the inequality $\|f \circ \psi\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the semi-norm defined in Sect. 1.2.

This Lemma will be useful for Lemma 2.4:

Lemma 2.3 Consider a complex Hilbert space $E$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$. Then:

$$
|f(x)-f(0)| \leq\|x\| \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}}{1-\|x\|^{2}} \quad \text { for any } x \in B_{E}
$$

Proof Note that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(x)-f(0)| & =\left|\left(\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}(x t) \mathrm{d} t\right)(x)\right| \leq\|x\|\left\|\int_{0}^{1} \frac{f^{\prime}(x t)\left(1-\|t x\|^{2}\right)}{1-\|t x\|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t\right\| \\
& \leq\|x\|\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{1}{1-\|t x\|^{2}}\right| \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq\|x\|\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1-\|x\|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t=\|x\| \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}}{1-\|x\|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so the result is clear.
Recall that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{R}},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ are equivalent, so they can be used interchangeably when studying if $C_{\psi}$ is bounded below.

The following Lemma was given in [10, Lemma 2.14] with a different proof for the general case when $B_{E}$ is the unit ball of a $J B^{*}$-triple. For completeness, we give a direct proof:

Lemma 2.4 Consider a complex Hilbert space $E$ and an analytic map $\psi: B_{E} \rightarrow B_{E}$. The composition operator $C_{\psi}: \mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$ is bounded below if and only if there is $k>0$ such that:

$$
\left\|C_{\psi}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq k\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}} \text { for all } f \in \mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)
$$

Proof If $C_{\psi}$ is bounded below then there exists $k>0$ such that $\left\|C_{\psi}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{I} \text {-Bloch }} \geq$ $k\|f\|_{\mathcal{I} \text {-Bloch }}$ for $f \in \mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$. We define $g(x)=f(x)-f(\psi(0))$ and clearly $g(\psi(0))=0$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|C_{\psi}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{I}} & =\|f \circ \psi\|_{\mathcal{I}}=\|g \circ \psi\|_{\mathcal{I}}=\|g \circ \psi\|_{\mathcal{I}-\text { Bloch }} \\
& \geq k\|g\|_{\mathcal{I}-\text { Bloch }} \geq k\|g\|_{\mathcal{I}}=k\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now consider $\left\|C_{\psi}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq k\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for some constant $0<k \leq 1$. We will find $k^{\prime}>0$ satisfying $\left\|C_{\psi}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{I} \text {-Bloch }} \geq k^{\prime}\|f\|_{\mathcal{I} \text {-Bloch }}$. Using Lemma 2.3 we obtain:

$$
|f(\psi(0))-f(0)| \leq\|\psi(0)\| \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}}{1-\|\psi(0)\|^{2}}
$$

so we have:

$$
|f(\psi(0))| \geq|f(0)|-\|\psi(0)\| \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}}{1-\|\psi(0)\|^{2}} \geq|f(0)|-\frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}}}{1-\|\psi(0)\|^{2}}
$$

and we obtain:

$$
|f(\psi(0))|+\frac{1}{\left(1-\|\psi(0)\|^{2}\right)}\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq|f(0)|
$$

Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k\left(1-\|\psi(0)\|^{2}\right)|f(\psi(0))|+\left\|C_{\psi}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \\
& \quad \geq k\left(1-\|\psi(0)\|^{2}\right)|f(\psi(0))|+k\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq k\left(1-\|\psi(0)\|^{2}\right)|f(0)|
\end{aligned}
$$

so we have that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left(|f(\psi(0))|+\left\|C_{\psi}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{I}}\right) & =2|f(\psi(0))|+\left\|C_{\psi}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{I}}+\left\|C_{\psi}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \\
& \geq k\left(1-\|\psi(0)\|^{2}\right)|f(\psi(0))|+\left\|C_{\psi}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{I}}+\left\|C_{\psi}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \\
& \geq k\left(1-\|\psi(0)\|^{2}\right)|f(0)|+\left\|C_{\psi}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \\
& \geq k\left(1-\|\psi(0)\|^{2}\right)\left(|f(0)|+\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we can conclude:

$$
\left.\| C_{\psi}(f)\right)\left\|_{\mathcal{I}-\text { Bloch }} \geq \frac{k\left(1-\|\psi(0)\|^{2}\right)}{2}\right\| f \|_{\mathcal{I}-\text { Bloch }}
$$

so taking $k^{\prime}=k\left(1-\|\psi(0)\|^{2}\right) / 2$ we obtain that $C_{\psi}$ is a bounded below operator.

### 2.1 The automorphisms $\varphi_{x}$ on $B_{E}$

In this section we will give some calculations related to the automorphisms $\varphi_{x}$ of $B_{E}$ given in (1.1) which will permit us to study conditions for $C_{\varphi}$ to be bounded below. If $E$ is finite dimensional, then it is well-known that $\varphi_{x}$ is an involution (see [16]). Since the proof uses the Cartan's uniqueness theorem, we first give a new proof of this assertion, extending the result for infinite dimensional spaces:

Lemma 2.5 If $E$ is a complex Hilbert space and $x \in B_{E}$, then $\varphi_{x} \circ \varphi_{x}=I d_{E}$, that is, $\varphi_{x}$ is an involution.

Proof Using (1.1), we have:

$$
\varphi_{x}\left(\varphi_{x}(y)\right)=\left(s_{x} Q_{x}+P_{x}\right)\left(m_{x}\left(\varphi_{x}(y)\right)=\left(s_{x} Q_{x}+P_{x}\right)\left(\frac{x-\varphi_{x}(y)}{1-\left\langle\varphi_{x}(y), x\right\rangle}\right)\right.
$$

and using the following result (it can be found as Lemma 3.6 in [14]):

$$
1-\left\langle\varphi_{x}(y), x\right\rangle=1-\left\langle\varphi_{x}(y), \varphi_{x}(0)\right\rangle=\frac{1-\|x\|^{2}}{1-\langle y, x\rangle}
$$

we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{x}\left(\varphi_{x}(y)\right) & =\frac{1-\langle y, x\rangle}{1-\|x\|^{2}}\left(s_{x} Q_{x}+P_{x}\right)\left(x-\varphi_{x}(y)\right) \\
& =\frac{1-\langle y, x\rangle}{1-\|x\|^{2}}\left(\left(s_{x} Q_{x}+P_{x}\right)(x)-\left(s_{x} Q_{x}+P_{x}\right)\left(\left(s_{x} Q_{x}+P_{x}\right)\left(m_{x}(y)\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $P_{x} \circ Q_{x}=Q_{x} \circ P_{x}=0, P_{x}+Q_{x}=I d_{E}, P_{x}^{2}=P_{x}$ and $Q_{x}^{2}=Q_{x}$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{x}\left(\varphi_{x}(y)\right) & =\frac{1-\langle y, x\rangle}{1-\|x\|^{2}}\left(x-\left(s_{x}^{2} Q_{x}+P_{x}\right)\left(\frac{x-y}{1-\langle y, x\rangle}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1-\langle y, x\rangle}{\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)(1-\langle y, x\rangle)}\left((1-\langle y, x\rangle) x-\left(s_{x}^{2} Q_{x}+P_{x}\right)(x-y)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)}\left(\left(x-\|x\|^{2} P_{x}(y)-x+\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right) Q_{x}(y)+P_{x}(y)\right)\right. \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)}\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)\left(P_{x}(y)+Q_{x}(y)\right)=y
\end{aligned}
$$

so we obtain the result.
Lemma 2.6 For any $x \in B_{E}$ we have that the operator $\varphi_{x}^{\prime}(0)$ is invertible and $\varphi_{x}^{\prime}(0)^{-1}=\varphi_{x}^{\prime}(x)$.

Proof Using Lemma 2.5, we have $\left(\varphi_{x} \circ \varphi_{x}\right)^{\prime}(0)=I d_{E}^{\prime}(0)=I d_{E}$ so:

$$
\varphi_{x}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{x}(0)\right) \circ \varphi_{x}^{\prime}(0)=\varphi_{x}^{\prime}(x) \circ \varphi_{x}^{\prime}(0)=I d_{E}
$$

and we are done.
Recall that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}}=\sup _{x \in B_{E}}\|\widetilde{\nabla} f(x)\|$ by (1.4). For all $x \in B_{E}$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\widetilde{\nabla} f(x)\|=\sup _{u \in \overline{B_{E}}}\left\|f^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{x}(0)\right) \circ \varphi_{x}^{\prime}(0)(u)\right\|=\sup _{w \in E \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(x)(w)\right|}{\left\|\varphi_{x}^{\prime}(0)^{-1}(w)\right\|} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for all $w \in E$ we have that (see [2]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{x}^{\prime}(0)^{-1}(w)\right\|^{2}=\frac{\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)\|w\|^{2}+|\langle w, x\rangle|^{2}}{\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [2] the following equality was also given:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\widetilde{\nabla} f(x)\|^{2}=\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)\left(\|\nabla f(x)\|^{2}-|\mathcal{R} f(x)|^{2}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an analytic map $\psi: B_{E} \rightarrow B_{E}, x \in B_{E}$ and $w \in E$ we will use the infinitesimal Kobayashi metric described in [10]. For a complex Hilbert space $E$, this metric can be described in terms of the automorphisms $\varphi_{x}$ by:

$$
\kappa_{E}(x, w)=\left\|\varphi_{x}^{\prime}(0)^{-1}(w)\right\| \text { for } x \in B_{E} \text { and } w \in E .
$$

We will use $\kappa(x, w)$ and $\kappa\left(\psi(x), \psi^{\prime}(x)(w)\right)$ for an analytic self-map $\psi: B_{E} \rightarrow B_{E}$ several times in the sequel. Notice that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa\left(\psi(x), \psi^{\prime}(x)(w)\right)=\left\|\varphi_{\psi(x)}^{\prime}(0)^{-1}\left(\psi^{\prime}(x)(w)\right)\right\| \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.7 If $\psi: B_{E} \rightarrow B_{E}$ is analytic and $x \in B_{E}$ then:
(a) For $w \in E$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\|w\|^{2}}{1-\|x\|^{2}} \leq \kappa(x, w)^{2} \leq \frac{\|w\|^{2}}{\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)(w)\right\|^{2}}{1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}} \leq \kappa\left(\psi(x), \psi^{\prime}(x)(w)\right)^{2} \leq \frac{\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)(w)\right\|^{2}}{\left(1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) If there is $w_{x} \in E$ satisfying $\psi^{\prime}(x)\left(w_{x}\right)=\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right\| \psi(x)$ then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right\|\|\psi(x)\|}{1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}}=\kappa\left(\psi(x), \psi^{\prime}(x)\left(w_{x}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right\|}{1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and under the condition $w_{x} \neq 0$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\kappa\left(\psi(x), \psi^{\prime}(x)\left(w_{x}\right)\right)}{\kappa\left(x, w_{x}\right)} \geq \tau_{\psi}(x) \frac{\|\psi(x)\|}{\left\|w_{x}\right\|} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof We will prove a). By (2.7) and (2.9) we obtain:

$$
\kappa(x, w)^{2}=\frac{\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)\|w\|^{2}+|\langle w, x\rangle|^{2}}{\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)^{2}} .
$$

Hence:

$$
\frac{\|w\|^{2}}{\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)} \leq \kappa(x, w)^{2} \leq \frac{\|w\|^{2}}{\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

where last inequality is true because $|\langle w, x\rangle| \leq\|w\|\|x\|$, so we conclude (2.10). Following the same pattern, we obtain a proof for (2.11).
Now we prove b). We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa\left(\psi(x), \psi^{\prime}(x)\left(w_{x}\right)\right)^{2} & =\frac{\left(1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}\right)\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)\left(w_{x}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left|\left\langle\psi^{\prime}(x)\left(w_{x}\right), \psi(x)\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left(1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}\right)\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right\|^{2}\|\psi(x)\|^{2}+\|\psi(x)\|^{4}\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right\|^{2}}{\left(1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right\|^{2}\|\psi(x)\|^{2}}{\left(1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we obtain inequality (2.12). Together with inequality (2.10) results in (2.13) since:

$$
\frac{\kappa\left(\psi(x), \psi^{\prime}(x)\left(w_{x}\right)\right)}{\kappa\left(x, w_{x}\right)} \geq \frac{1-\|x\|^{2}}{1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}} \frac{\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right\|\|\psi(x)\|}{\left\|w_{x}\right\|}
$$

and we conclude the result.

From Lemma 2.7 we have:

Lemma 2.8 For any $x \in B_{E}$ and $w \in E \backslash\{0\}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\kappa\left(\psi(x), \psi^{\prime}(x)(w)\right)}{\kappa(x, w)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{1-\|x\|^{2}}}{1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}}\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)\left(\frac{w}{\|w\|}\right)\right\| \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\kappa\left(\psi(x), \psi^{\prime}(x)(w)\right)}{\kappa(x, w)} \geq \frac{1-\|x\|^{2}}{\sqrt{1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}}}\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)\left(\frac{w}{\|w\|}\right)\right\| . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma is just a contractive property of the infinitesimal Kobayashi metric. We omit the proof:

Lemma 2.9 If $\psi$ is an analytic self-map on $B_{E}$, then for any $x \in B_{E}$ and $w \in E \backslash\{0\}$ we have:

$$
\frac{\kappa\left(\psi(x), \psi^{\prime}(x)(w)\right)}{\kappa(x, w)}=\frac{\left\|\varphi_{\psi(x)}^{\prime}(0)^{-1}\left(\psi^{\prime}(x)(w)\right)\right\|}{\left\|\varphi_{x}^{\prime}(0)^{-1}(w)\right\|} \leq 1 .
$$

The following extension of the Schwarz-Pick lemma generalizes a result of Kalaj [12] when we deal with an infinite dimensional space. The same result for bounded symmetric domains can be found in [5].

Corollary 2.10 Consider an analytic self map $\psi$ on $B_{E}$. Then:

$$
\frac{1-\|x\|^{2}}{\sqrt{1-\|\psi(x)\|^{2}}}\left\|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right\| \leq 1 \text { for all } x \in B_{E}
$$

Proof Applying Lemma 2.9 and using inequality (2.15) in Lemma 2.7 we are done.
Remark 2.11 Hamada and Kohr [11] proved that Corollary 2.10 is sharp. Kalaj [12] also proved this sharpness by considering for all $t \in(0, \pi / 2)$ the self-map $\psi_{t}: B_{2} \rightarrow$ $B_{2}$ defined by $\psi_{t}(z, w)=(z \sin t, \cos t)$.

### 2.2 Results on bounded below composition operators

We will apply the study on the automorphisms $\varphi_{x}$ to study bounded below composition operators. Hamada [10] provided a necessary condition in the context of the unit ball of a $J B^{*}$-triple by considering the existence of $\varepsilon>0$ and $0<r<1$ such that if $y \in B_{E}$ then $\rho\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right), y\right) \leq r$ for any $x_{y} \in B_{E}$ satisfying $\tau_{\psi}^{*}\left(x_{y}\right) \geq \varepsilon$ where:

$$
\tau_{\psi}^{*}\left(x_{y}\right)=\sup \left\{\frac{\kappa_{E}\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right), \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)(y)\right)}{\kappa_{E}\left(x_{y}, y\right)}: w \in E \backslash\{0\}\right\}
$$

We provide a necessary condition for the Hilbert case by adapting the proof of Theorem 2 in [6] and using $\widetilde{\tau_{\psi}}\left(x_{y}\right)$ instead of $\tau_{\psi}^{*}\left(x_{y}\right)$ :

Theorem 2.12 Consider an analytic self map $\psi$ on $B_{E}$ and suppose that $C_{\psi}$ : $\mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$ is a bounded below operator. Then there are $\varepsilon>0$ and $0<r<1$ such that if $y \in B_{E}$ we have $\rho\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right), y\right) \leq r$ for some $x_{y} \in B_{E}$ satisfying $\widetilde{\tau_{\psi}}\left(x_{y}\right) \geq \varepsilon$.

Proof If $C_{\psi}$ is a bounded below operator, consider $y \in B_{E}$ and let $f: B_{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function given by $f_{y}(x)=1 /(1-\langle x, y\rangle)$.
We have:

$$
f_{y}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\langle\cdot, y\rangle}{(1-\langle x, y\rangle)^{2}}
$$

so we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{y}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} & =\sup _{x \in B_{E}}\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)\left\|f_{y}^{\prime}(x)\right\|=\sup _{x \in B_{E}}\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right) \frac{\|y\|}{|1-\langle x, y\rangle|^{2}} \\
& =\sup _{x \in B_{E}}\|y\| \frac{1-\left\|\varphi_{y}(x)\right\|^{2}}{1-\|y\|^{2}}=\frac{\|y\|}{1-\|y\|^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $g_{y}: B_{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $g_{y}(x)=f_{y}(x) /\left\|f_{y}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ which is analytic and it is satisfied that $\left\|g_{y}\right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq\left\|g_{y}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}=1$. Using Lemma 2.4, there is a positive number $k$ satisfying $\left\|g_{y} \circ \psi\right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq k\left\|g_{y}\right\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ so since:

$$
\left\|g_{y} \circ \psi\right\|_{\mathcal{I}}=\sup _{x \in B_{E}}\left\|\widetilde{\nabla}\left(g_{y} \circ \psi\right)(x)\right\|,
$$

there exists $x_{y} \in B_{E}$ which satisfies $\left\|\widetilde{\nabla}\left(g_{y} \circ \psi\right)\left(x_{y}\right)\right\| \geq k / 2$. Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{k}{2} \leq\left\|\widetilde{\nabla}\left(g_{y} \circ \psi\right)\left(x_{y}\right)\right\| & =\sup _{w \in E \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\left\|\widetilde{\nabla}\left(g_{y} \circ \psi\right)\left(x_{y}\right)(w)\right\|}{\|w\|} \\
& =\sup _{w \in E \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\left|g_{y}^{\prime}\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)(w)\right)\right|}{\left\|\varphi_{x_{y}}^{\prime}(0)^{-1}(w)\right\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sup _{w \in E \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\left|g_{y}^{\prime}\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)(w)\right)\right|}{\kappa\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right), \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)(w)\right)} \frac{\kappa\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right), \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)(w)\right)}{\kappa\left(x_{y}, w\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|\widetilde{\nabla} g_{y}\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\right\| \widetilde{\tau_{\psi}}\left(x_{y}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where using (2.6) and (2.14) in Lemma 2.8 it is clearly deduced last inequality. By (2.8) we conclude:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widetilde{\nabla} g_{y}\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\right\|^{2}= & \left(1-\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\left\|\nabla g_{y}\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\right\|^{2}-\left|\mathcal{R} g_{y}\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\right|^{2}\right) \\
= & \left(1-\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) \frac{\left(1-\|y\|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\|y\|^{2}} \\
& \left(\frac{\|y\|^{2}}{\left|1-\left\langle\psi\left(x_{y}\right), y\right\rangle\right|^{4}}-\frac{\left|\left\langle\psi\left(x_{y}\right), y\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\left\langle\psi\left(x_{y}\right), y\right\rangle\right|^{4}}\right) \\
= & \left(1-\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(1-\|y\|^{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1-\left|\left\langle\psi\left(x_{y}\right), \frac{y}{\|y\| \|}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\left\langle\psi\left(x_{y}\right), y\right\rangle\right|^{4}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The inequality $|1-\langle c, d /\|d\|\rangle| \leq 2|1-\langle c, d\rangle|$ for any $c, d \in B_{E}$ is clear since:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|1-\langle c, d /\|d\|\rangle| & \leq|1-\langle c, d\rangle|+|\langle c, d-d /\|d\|\rangle| \\
& \leq|1-\langle c, d\rangle|+1-\|d\| \leq|1-\langle c, d\rangle|+1-|\langle c, d\rangle| \\
& =2|1-\langle c, d\rangle|
\end{aligned}
$$

From:

$$
1-\left|\left\langle\psi\left(x_{y}\right), \frac{y}{\|y\|}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq\left(1+\left|\left\langle\psi\left(x_{y}\right), \frac{y}{\|y\|}\right\rangle\right|\right)\left(1-\left|\left\langle\psi\left(x_{y}\right), \frac{y}{\|y\|}\right\rangle\right|\right)
$$

we conclude:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widetilde{\nabla} g\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\right\|^{2} & \leq 4\left(1-\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(1-\|y\|^{2}\right) \frac{1}{\left|1-\left\langle\psi\left(x_{y}\right), y\right\rangle\right|^{2}} \\
& =4\left(1-\left\|\varphi_{y}\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\right\|^{2}\right)=4\left(1-\rho\left(y, \psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so:

$$
\frac{k}{2} \leq 2\left(1-\rho\left(y, \psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \widetilde{\tau_{\psi}}\left(x_{y}\right)
$$

which is true if and only if $\frac{k}{4} \leq\left(1-\rho\left(y, \psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \widetilde{\tau_{\psi}}\left(x_{y}\right)$
and we have $\widetilde{\tau_{\psi}}\left(x_{y}\right) \geq \frac{k}{4}$.
Using (2.16) we have:

$$
\frac{k}{2} \leq 2\left(1-\rho\left(y, \psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \sup _{w \in E \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\kappa\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right), \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)(w)\right)}{\kappa\left(x_{y}, w\right)}
$$

so applying Lemma 2.9:

$$
\sqrt{1-\rho\left(y, \psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)^{2}} \geq k / 4
$$

and this expression is equivalent to:

$$
\rho\left(y, \psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right) \leq \sqrt{1-k^{2} / 16}
$$

Taking $r=\sqrt{1-k^{2} / 16}$ and $\varepsilon=k / 4$ we conclude the result.

Hamada [10] provided a sufficient condition for a composition operator to be bounded below when we deal with unit balls of $J B^{*}-$ triples. We will provide a new condition by extending the result given in Theorem 2.2. Hence we will consider the following condition: we will suppose that $\psi\left(x_{y}\right)$ belongs to the range of $\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)$. Recall that, as we have mentioned in (1.5), there is a positive constant $A_{0}$ satisfying:

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{R}} \leq\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq A_{0}\|f\|_{R} \text { for any } f \in \mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right) .
$$

Theorem 2.13 Let $\psi$ be an analytic self-map on $B_{E}$. Suppose there are constants $r, \varepsilon$ satisfying $0<r<\frac{1}{15 A_{0}}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ which also satisfies that for any $y \in \mathcal{B}_{E}$ there exists $x_{y} \in B_{E}$ such that $\rho\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right), y\right)<r$ and $\tau_{\psi}\left(x_{y}\right)>\varepsilon$. Suppose also that $\psi\left(x_{y}\right)=\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)\left(w_{x_{y}}\right)$ for some point $w_{x_{y}} \in E$ satisfying $\sup _{y \in B_{E}}\left\|w_{x_{y}}\right\|<+\infty$. Then we have that $C_{\psi}: \mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$ is bounded below.

Proof Consider a function $f \in \mathcal{B}\left(B_{E}\right)$ satisfying $\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}}=1$. We show the existence of $k>0$ which satisfies that $\|f \circ \psi\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq k$. We have that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{R}} \geq\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}} / A_{0}$ by (1.5) so $\|f\|_{\mathcal{R}} \geq 1 / A_{0}$. Taking $y \in B_{E}$ satisfying $|\mathcal{R} f(y)|\left(1-\|y\|^{2}\right) \geq 14 /\left(15 A_{0}\right)$, there exists $x_{y} \in B_{E}$ such that $\rho\left(y, \psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)<r$ and $\tau_{\psi}\left(x_{y}\right)>\varepsilon$. Using (1.4) and (2.6) and also by (2.9), we have for any $w \in E \backslash\{0\}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f \circ \psi\|_{\mathcal{I}} & =\sup _{x \in B_{E}}\|\widetilde{\nabla}(f \circ \psi)(x)\| \\
& \geq \frac{\left|(f \circ \psi)^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)(w)\right|}{\left\|\varphi_{x_{y}}^{\prime}(0)^{-1}(w)\right\|}=\frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)(w)\right)\right|}{\kappa\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right), \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)(w)\right)} \frac{\kappa\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right), \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)(w)\right)}{\kappa\left(x_{y}, w\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\psi\left(x_{y}\right) \in \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)(E)$, there exists $w_{x_{y}} \in E$ such that $\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)\left(w_{x_{y}}\right)=$ $\left\|\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)\right\| \psi\left(x_{y}\right)$ so the inequality above is clearly true taking $w_{x_{y}}$. Using (2.12) from Lemma 2.7 we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)\left(w_{x_{y}}\right)\right)\right|}{\kappa\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right), \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)\left(w_{x_{y}}\right)\right)} & =\frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\left(\left\|\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)\right\| \psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\right|}{\kappa\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right), \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)\left(w_{x_{y}}\right)\right)} \\
& =\frac{\left\|\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|\left|f^{\prime}\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\right|\left(1-\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)}{\left\|\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|} \\
& =\frac{\left|\mathcal{R} f\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\right|\left(1-\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)}{\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

so:

$$
\|f \circ \psi\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq \frac{\mathcal{R} f\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\left(1-\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)}{\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|} \frac{\kappa\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right), \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)\left(w_{x_{y}}\right)\right)}{\kappa\left(x_{y}, w_{x_{y}}\right)}
$$

and using (2.13) from Lemma 2.7 we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f \circ \psi\|_{\mathcal{I}} & \geq \frac{\left|\mathcal{R} f\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\right|\left(1-\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)}{\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|} \frac{\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\| \tau_{\psi}\left(x_{y}\right)}{\left\|w_{x_{y}}\right\|} \\
& \geq\left|\mathcal{R} f\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\right|\left(1-\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) \frac{\varepsilon}{\left\|w_{x_{y}}\right\|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Corollary 1.2, we obtain:

$$
\left\|\mathcal{R} f\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right)\left|\left(1-\left\|\psi\left(x_{y}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)-|\mathcal{R} f(y)|\left(1-\|y\|^{2}\right)\right| \leq 14\right\| f \|_{\mathcal{I} \rho_{E}}\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right), y\right)
$$

and using $\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}}=1$, we conclude:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f \circ \psi\|_{\mathcal{I}} & \geq\left(|\mathcal{R} f(y)|\left(1-\|y\|^{2}\right) \mid-14 \rho\left(\psi\left(x_{y}\right), y\right)\right) \frac{\varepsilon}{\left\|w_{x_{y}}\right\|} \\
& \geq\left(\frac{14}{15 A_{0}}-14 r\right) \frac{\varepsilon}{\sup _{y \in B_{E}}\left\|w_{x_{y}}\right\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

so we can take:

$$
k=14\left(\frac{1}{15 A_{0}}-r\right) \frac{\varepsilon}{\sup _{y \in B_{E}}\left\|w_{x_{y}}\right\|}>0
$$

and we finally conclude $\left\|C_{\psi}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq k$.
Now we check that the automorphism $\varphi_{a}$ of $B_{E}$ for any $a \in B_{E}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.13. We will need this result, which shows $\tau_{\varphi_{a}}(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in B_{E}$.

Lemma 2.14 For all $a \in B_{E}$ we have $\tau_{\varphi_{a}}(x) \geq 1$ if $x \in B_{E}$.
Proof Notice that by (1.3) we have:

$$
\frac{1-\|x\|^{2}}{1-\left\|\varphi_{a}(x)\right\|^{2}}=\frac{|1-\langle x, a\rangle|^{2}}{1-\|a\|^{2}}
$$

and since: $\varphi_{a}(x)=\left(P_{a}+s_{a} Q_{a}\right)\left(m_{a}(x)\right)$, then we obtain:

$$
\varphi_{a}^{\prime}(x)=\left(P_{a}+s_{a} Q_{a}\right)^{\prime}\left(m_{a}(x)\right) \circ m_{a}^{\prime}(x)=\left(P_{a}+s_{a} Q_{a}\right)\left(m_{a}^{\prime}(x)\right)
$$

so we have:

$$
\left\|\varphi_{a}^{\prime}(x)\right\|^{2}=\left\|P_{a}\left(m_{a}^{\prime}(x)\right)\right\|^{2}+s_{a}^{2}\left\|Q_{a}\left(m_{a}^{\prime}(x)\right)\right\|^{2} \geq\left\|P_{a}\left(m_{a}^{\prime}(x)\right)\right\|^{2} .
$$

It is easy that:

$$
m_{a}^{\prime}(x)(y)=\frac{-(1-\langle x, a\rangle) y+\langle y, a\rangle(a-x)}{(1-\langle x, a\rangle)^{2}}
$$

so:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\varphi_{a}^{\prime}(x)\right\| \geq\left\|P_{a}\left(m_{a}^{\prime}(x)\right)\right\| & \left.=\sup _{y \in \overline{\bar{B}}_{E}} \| P_{a}\left(m_{a}^{\prime}(x)\right)(y)\right) \| \\
& \geq\left\|P_{a}\left(m_{a}^{\prime}(x)\left(\frac{a}{\|a\|}\right)\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|P_{a}\left(\frac{-(1-\langle x, a\rangle) \frac{a}{\|a\|}+\left\langle\frac{a}{\|a\| \|}, a\right\rangle(a-x)}{(1-\langle x, a\rangle)^{2}}\right)\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

so we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\varphi_{a}}(x) & \geq \frac{|1-\langle x, a\rangle|^{2}}{1-\|a\|^{2}}\left\|P_{a}\left(\frac{-(1-\langle x, a\rangle) \frac{a}{\|a\|}+\left\langle\frac{a}{\|a\|}, a\right\rangle(a-x)}{(1-\langle x, a\rangle)^{2}}\right)\right\| \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\|a\|^{2}}\left\|P_{a}\left(-(1-\langle x, a\rangle) \frac{a}{\|a\|}+\left\langle\frac{a}{\|a\|}, a\right\rangle(a-x)\right)\right\| \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\|a\|^{2}}\left\|\left(-(1-\langle x, a\rangle) \frac{a}{\|a\|}+\|a\| a-\frac{\langle x, a\rangle}{\|a\|^{2}}\|a\| a\right)\right\| \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\|a\|^{2}}\left\|-\frac{1-\|a\|^{2}}{\|a\|} a\right\|=1
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have $\tau_{\varphi_{a}}(x) \geq 1$ so we are done.
Remark 2.15 Conditions of Theorem 2.13 are satisfied by the automorphisms $\varphi_{a}$ for any $a \in B_{E}$ since by Lemma 2.14 we have:

$$
\frac{1-\|x\|^{2}}{1-\left\|\varphi_{a}(x)\right\|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{a}^{\prime}(x)\right\| \geq 1
$$

so choose $\varepsilon=1, r=0$ and for any $y \in B_{E}$ take $x_{y}=\varphi_{a}(y)$. Furthermore, $\varphi_{a}\left(x_{y}\right)=\varphi_{a}\left(\varphi_{a}(y)\right)=y=\varphi_{a}^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)\left(w_{x_{y}}\right)$ for some $w_{x_{y}}$ belonging to $E$ which satisfies $\sup _{y \in B_{E}}\left\|w_{x_{y}}\right\|<+\infty$ since the operator $\varphi_{a}^{\prime}\left(x_{y}\right)$ is invertible on the space $E$.
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