TOPOL:107917

Topology and its Applications ••• (••••) •••••



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Topology and its Applications



www.elsevier.com/locate/topol

The weak compactification of locally compact groups $\stackrel{\star}{\approx}$

María V. Ferrer^a, Salvador Hernández^{b,*}

^a Universitat Jaume I, Instituto de Matemáticas de Castellón, Campus de Riu Sec, 12071 Castellón, Spain
^b Universitat Jaume I, Departamento de Matemáticas, Campus de Riu Sec, 12071 Castellón, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 March 2021 Received in revised form 28 July 2021 Accepted 24 August 2021 Available online xxxx

Respectfully dedicated to Professor Hans-Peter Künzi

MSC: primary 22D05, 43A46 secondary 22D10, 22D35, 43A40, 54H11

 $\label{eq:keywords:} \begin{array}{l} Keywords: \\ \mbox{Locally compact group} \\ Weak compactification \\ Weak topology \\ Bohr compactification \\ Countable compactness \\ Pseudocompactness \\ \mu\mbox{-}Space \end{array}$

ABSTRACT

We further investigate the weak topology generated by the irreducible unitary representations of a group G. A deep result due to Ernest [13] and Hughes [22] asserts that every weakly compact subset of a locally compact (LC) group G is compact in the LC-topology, generalizing thereby a previous result of Glicksberg [19] for abelian locally compact (LCA) groups. Here, we first survey some recent findings on the weak topology and establish some new results about the preservation of several compact-like properties when going from the weak topology to the original topology of LC groups. Among others, we deal with the preservation of countable compactness, pseudocompactness and functional boundedness.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

In contrast to what happens with abelian groups, where the Bohr compactification and Bohr topology display many nice features, the Bohr compactification presents important shortcomings when applied to non-commutative groups. For example, it may happen that the Bohr compactification of a locally compact group becomes trivial, what makes it useless in order to study the structure of those non-abelian groups. On the other hand, it is known, as a consequence of the celebrated Gel'fand and Raĭkov Theorem, that

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2021.107917

^{*} Research Partially supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, grant: MTM/PID2019-106529GB-I00 (AEI/FEDER, EU) and by the Universitat Jaume I, grant UJI-B2019-06.

E-mail addresses: mferrer@mat.uji.es (M.V. Ferrer), hernande@mat.uji.es (S. Hernández).

^{0166-8641/@2021} The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

M.V. Ferrer, S. Hernández / Topology and its Applications ••• (••••) •••••

the set of all irreducible unitary representations of a locally compact group G contains all the information necessary to recover the topological and algebraic structure of the group (see [12]). Therefore, it seems appropriate to consider the weak topology generated by the irreducible unitary representations of a group G as the genuine weak topology of general not necessarily LC group G (as a matter of fact, they coincide for abelian topological groups, by Schur's Lemma). This is what we have done in [15] and, here, we further develop this approach, which was initiated by Hughes in [22].

There is a plethora of results that concern the weak topology of abelian topological groups and, although there still are interesting open questions in this setting, we have plenty of information about the weak topology of abelian LC groups. The literature in this regard is vast, so we only mention [7] and the references therein. On the other hand, there also are some crucial findings that have been already established for general LC groups, which are less known so far but form the basis for an in-depth study of weak topologies in the setting of not necessarily abelian groups. It is pertinent to mention here the task developed by Ernest [13] and Hughes [22], who proved that every weakly compact subset of a LC group G is compact in the LC-topology, generalizing thereby a previous result of Glicksberg [19] for abelian locally compact (LCA) groups. The main goal of this paper is twofold. First, we survey some recent results on the weak topology of LC groups. On the other hand, we further develop this research line by studying the preservation of several compactlike properties when going from the weak topology to the original topology of LC groups. Among others, we deal with the preservation of countable compactness, pseudocompactness and functional boundedness. The preservation of the aforementioned properties has been widely treated for the Bohr topology of locally compact abelian groups previously. We remark here that the basic role played by Gliscksber's theorem [19] in the subsequent study of the Bohr topology of locally abelian groups has its counterpart in the papers by Ernest [13] and Hughes [22] in the case of the weak topology of general locally compact groups. We now collect some definitions and basic facts that will be used along the paper.

2. Basic facts

2.1. The Bohr topology

The Bohr compactification of a topological group is a well known notion that has been widely treated in the setting of topological groups. Nevertheless, we remind here its most basic features for the reader's sake. With every (not necessarily abelian) topological group G there is associated a compact Hausdorff group bG, the so-called *Bohr compactification* of G, and a continuous homomorphism b of G onto a dense subgroup of bG such that bG is characterized by the following universal property: given any continuous homomorphism h of G into a compact group K, there is always a continuous homomorphism \bar{h} of bG into K such that $h = \bar{h} \circ b$ (see [21, V §4], where a detailed study on bG and their properties is given). The *Bohr topology* of a topological group G is the one that inherits from bG as the initial topology with respect to the map b.

In the sequel, following a terminology introduce by Trigos-Arrieta [31], if G is a topological group, we denote by G^+ the same algebraic group but equipped with the Bohr topology. However, when the group G is discrete, we will use the symbolism G^{\sharp} used by van Douwen in [19].

As far as we know, the first main result about the Bohr topology is due to Glicksberg [19].

Theorem 2.1 (Glicksberg, 1962). Let (G, τ) be a LCA group. It holds that $A \subseteq G$ is τ -compact if and only if is τ^+ -compact.

However, the systematic study of the Bohr topology was started by van Douwen [19] in the setting of discrete abelian groups.

discrete and C-embedded in G^{\sharp} as well as C*-embedded in bG.

Theorem 2.2 (van Douwen, 1990). Every $A \subseteq G$ contains a subset D with |D| = |A| that is relatively

In addition to the previous main result, van Douwen studied the Bohr topology of a discrete abelian group in depth. It is remarkable that, except for the standing abelian hypothesis, his proofs of results concerning \sharp -groups made no use whatsoever of specific algebraic properties. This probably led him to ask whether two groups G_1 and G_2 with the same cardinality should have G_1^{\sharp} and G_2^{\sharp} homeomorphic. Some years later Kunen [24] and, independently, Dikranjan and Watson [10], gave examples of *torsion* groups with the same cardinality having nonhomeomorphic \sharp -spaces. Still, much remains unknown, even among groups of countable cardinality. One can get an idea of how involved the situation is by taking into account, see [9], that \mathbb{Q}^{\sharp} and $((\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z})^{\sharp}$ are homeomorphic.

Van Douwen's work on the Bohr topology of discrete abelian groups was continued by Trigos-Arrieta, for locally compact abelian (LCA) groups, in his doctoral dissertation [31]. There, the author introduces the following notion:

Definition 2.3 (*Trigos-Arrieta, 1991*). Let G be a topological group and let \mathcal{P} be a topological property. We say that G respects \mathcal{P} if for any subset F of G the following holds: the subspace F of G has \mathcal{P} if and only if the subspace F of G^+ has \mathcal{P} .

For example, since $G = G^+$ for G compact, it is obvious that compact groups respect all topological properties. In [31], Trigos-Arrieta proves that LCA groups respect most compact-like properties: pseudo-compactness, functional boundedness, and other topological properties: Lindelöfness and connectedness.

Further properties concerning the Bohr topology of a LCA group can be found in [8,9,17,20]

2.2. The weak topology of LC groups

Given a locally compact group (G, τ) , we denote by Irr(G) the set of all continuous unitary irreducible representations σ defined on G. That is, continuous in the sense that each matrix coefficient function $g \mapsto \langle \sigma(g)u, v \rangle$ is a continuous map of G into the complex plane. Thus, fixed $\sigma \in Irr(G)$, if \mathcal{H}^{σ} denotes the Hilbert space associated to σ , we equip the unitary group $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}^{\sigma})$ with the weak (equivalently, strong) operator topology. For two elements π and σ of Irr(G), we write $\pi \sim \sigma$ to denote the relation of unitary equivalence and we denote by \widehat{G} the *dual object* of G, which is defined as the set of equivalence classes in $(Irr(G)/\sim)$. We refer to [11,16,2] for all undefined notions concerning the unitary representations of locally compact groups.

Adopting, the terminology introduced by Ernest in [13], set $\mathcal{H}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}^n$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$; and $\mathcal{H}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} l^2(\mathbb{Z})$. The symbol $Irr_n^C(G)$ will denote the set of irreducible unitary representations of G on \mathcal{H}_n , where it is assumed that every set $Irr_n^C(G)$ is equipped with the compact open topology. Finally, define $Irr^C(G) = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} Irr_n^C(G)$ (the disjoint topological sum).

We denote by $G^{w} = (G, w(G, Irr(G)))$ the group G equipped with the weak (group) topology generated by Irr(G). Since equivalent representations define the same topology, we have $G^{w} = (G, w(G, \widehat{G}))$. That is, the weak topology is the initial topology on G defined by the dual object. Moreover, in case G is a separable, metric, locally compact group, then every irreducible unitary representation acts on a separable Hilbert space and, as a consequence, is unitary equivalent to a member of $Irr^{C}(G)$. Thus $G^{w} = (G, w(G, Irr^{C}(G)))$ for separable, metric, locally compact groups. We will make use of this fact in order to avoid the proliferation of isometries (see [11]). In case the group G is abelian, the dual object \widehat{G} is a group, which is called *dual* group, and the weak topology of G reduces to the weak topology generated by all continuous homomorphisms of G into the unit circle \mathbb{T} . That is, the weak topology coincides with the Bohr topology of G.

Please cite this article in press as: M.V. Ferrer, S. Hernández, The weak compactification of locally compact groups, Topol. Appl. (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2021.107917

3

4

ARTICLE IN PRESS

M.V. Ferrer, S. Hernández / Topology and its Applications ••• (••••) •••••

2.3. The weak compactification of LC groups

Definition 2.4. We denote by P(G) the set of continuous positive definite functions on (G, τ) . If $\sigma \in Irr(G)$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}^{\sigma}$, then the positive definite function:

$$\varphi: g \mapsto \langle \sigma(g)v, v \rangle, \ g \in G$$

is called *pure*, and the family of all such functions is denoted by I(G). When G is abelian, the set I(G) coincides with the dual group \widehat{G} of the group G.

The proof of the lemma below is straightforward.

Lemma 2.5. Let G be a locally compact group. Then $G^{w} = (G, w(G, I(G)))$.

Definition 2.6. Let G be a locally compact group and consider the following natural embedding:

$$\mathbf{w}: G \hookrightarrow \prod_{\varphi \in I(G)} \overline{\varphi(G)} \text{ with } \mathbf{w}(g) = (\varphi(g))_{\varphi \in I(G)}$$

The weak compactification wG of G is the pair (wG, w), where wG $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\text{w}(G)}$.

This compactification has been previously considered in [5,6] using different techniques. Also Akemann and Walter [1] extended Pontryagin duality to non-abelian locally compact groups using the family of pure positive definite functions. Again, in case G is abelian, the compactification (wG, w) coincides with bG, the Bohr compactification of G.

A better known compactification of a locally group G which is closely related to wG is defined as follows (cf. [14,30]): let $\overline{B(G)}^{\|\cdot\|_{\infty}}$ denote the commutative C^* -algebra consisting of the uniform closure of the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra of G. Here, the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra is defined as the matrix coefficients of the unitary representations of G. Following [26] we call the spectrum eG of $\overline{B(G)}^{\|\cdot\|_{\infty}}$ the *Eberlein compactification* of G. Since the Eberlein compactification eG is defined using the family of all continuous positive definite functions, it follows that wG is a factor of eG and, as a consequence, inherits most of its properties. In particular, wG is a compact involutive semitopological semigroup.

We now recall some known results about unitary representations of locally compact groups that are needed in the proof of our main result in this section. One main point is the decomposition of unitary representations by direct integrals of irreducible unitary representations. This was established by Mautner [25] following the ideas introduced by von Neuman in [27].

Theorem 2.7 (F.I. Mautner, [25]). For any representation $(\sigma, \mathcal{H}_{\sigma})$ of a separable locally compact group G, there is a measure space (R, \mathcal{R}, r) , a family $\{\sigma[p]\}$ of irreducible representations of G, which are associated to each $p \in R$, and an isometry U of \mathcal{H}_{σ} such that

$$U\sigma U^{-1} = \int\limits_R \sigma[p] d_r p.$$

Remark 2.8. The proof of the above theorem given by Mautner assumes that the representation space \mathcal{H}_{σ} is separable but, subsequently, Segal [29] removed this constraint. Furthermore, it is easily seen that we can assume that $\sigma[p]$ belongs to $Irr^{C}(G)$ locally almost everywhere in the theorem above (cf. [23]).

A remarkable consequence of Theorem 2.7 is the following corollary about positive definite functions.

Please cite this article in press as: M.V. Ferrer, S. Hernández, The weak compactification of locally compact groups, Topol. Appl. (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2021.107917

Corollary 2.9. Every Haar-measurable positive definite function φ on a separable locally compact group G can be expressed for all $g \in G$ outside a certain set of Haar-measure zero in the form

$$\varphi(g) = \int\limits_R \varphi_p(g) d_r p,$$

where φ_p is a pure positive definite functions on G for all $p \in R$.

The following proposition is contained in the proof of Lemma 3.2 of Bichteler [3, pp. 586-587]

Proposition 2.10. Let G be a locally compact group. If H is an open subgroup of G, then each continuous irreducible representation of H is the restriction of a continuous irreducible representation of G.

3. Locally compact groups respect compactness

In this section we prove an old result by Ernest [13] (cf. [23]) and Hughes [22], asserting that the weak topology of locally compact groups respects compactness, that is it holds that every weakly compact subset is compact for the original locally compact group topology. In fact, Ernest first proved that, for separable metric locally compact groups, every weakly convergent sequence is convergent for the locally compact topology and this result was subsequently extended by Hughes by proving that the weak topology of locally compact groups respects compactness.

Unfortunately, even though the formulation of Hugues' result quoted above can be found in [22], its full proof only appears in his Doctoral dissertation but has never been published later. Therefore, we have decided to include it here for the reader's sake. Our proof is complete since it contains both Ernest's and Hughes' results. We first need a further definition and several previous lemmas.

Definition 3.1. Let U be an open neighborhood of the identity of a topological group G. We say that a sequence $\{g_n\}_{n < \omega}$ is U-discrete if $g_n U \cap g_m U = \emptyset$ for all $n \neq m \in \omega$.

Lemma 3.2. (J. Ernest, 1971) Let (G, τ) be a separable metric locally compact group. Then every convergent sequence $\{g_n\}_{n < \omega}$ in G^w is also τ -convergent in G.

Proof. Remark that there is no loss of generality in assuming that $\{g_n\}_{n<\omega}$ converges to e_G in G^{w} . We must verify that $\{g_n\}_{n<\omega}$ is τ -convergent to e_G .

In order to do so, take a continuous positive definite function $\psi \in P(G)$. Since G is separable, by Corollary 2.9, there is a measure space (R, \mathcal{R}, r) , a family $\{\psi_p\}$ of pure positive definite functions on G, which are associated to each $p \in R$, such that

$$\psi(g) = \int_{R} \psi_p(g) d_r p \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

Therefore

$$\psi(g_n) = \int_R \psi_p(g_n) d_r p \text{ for all } n < \omega.$$

Now, for each $n < \omega$, consider the map f_n on R by $f_n(p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \psi_p(g_n)$. Then f_n is integrable on R and, since $\{g_n\}_{n < \omega}$ is weakly convergent to e_G , it follows that $\{f_n(p)\}$ converges to $\psi_p(e_G)$ for all $p \in R$. Furthermore, if $\psi_p(g) = \langle \sigma_p(g)v_p, v_p \rangle$ for some $\sigma_p \in Irr(G)$ and $v_p \in \mathcal{H}_{\sigma_p}$, it follows that

 $\mathbf{5}$

Please cite this article in press as: M.V. Ferrer, S. Hernández, The weak compactification of locally compact groups, Topol. Appl. (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2021.107917

M.V. Ferrer, S. Hernández / Topology and its Applications ••• (••••) •••••

$$|f_n(p)| = |\psi_p(g_n)| = |\langle \sigma_p(g_n)v_p, v_p \rangle| \le ||v_p||^2.$$

Thus defining f on R as the pointwise limit of $\{f_n\}$, we are in position to apply Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem in order to obtain that

$$\psi(e_G) = \int_R \psi_p(e_G) d_r p = \int_R f(p) d_r p = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_R \psi_p(g_n) d_r p = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi(g_n) d_r p = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi(g_n)$$

In other words, the sequence $\{\psi(g_n)\}$ converges to $\psi(e_G)$ for all $\psi \in P(G)$. Hence $\{g_n\}$ τ -converges to e_G in G and we are done. (see [18] or [16, Prop. 3.33]). \Box

Theorem 3.3 (J.R. Hughes, 1972). Let (G, τ) be a locally compact group. Then (G, τ) and G^{w} contain the same compact subsets.

Proof. Let *B* be a weakly compact subset of *G*. Remark that if *B* were τ -precompact, since *B* is τ -closed in *G*, it would follow that *B* is τ -compact in *G*.

Thus, reasoning by contradiction, we assume that B is not τ -precompact in G. Then there exists an open, symmetric and relatively compact neighborhood of the identity U in G such that B contains a U-discrete sequence $\{g_n\}_{n<\omega}$.

Consider the subgroup $H \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \overline{U} \cup \{g_n\}_{n < \omega} \rangle$, which σ -compact and open in G. By Kakutani-Kodaira's theorem, there exists a normal, compact subgroup K of H such that $K \subseteq U$ and H/K is metrizable, and consequently separable (Polish). Let $p: H \to H/K$ be the quotient homomorphism and let $\overline{p}: wH \to wH/K$ denote its canonical extension to the weak compactifications. By Proposition 2.10, we have that \overline{H}^{wG} is canonically homeomorphic to wH. Therefore $\{g_n\}_{n < \omega}$ weakly converges to the neutral element in H. Hence $\{p(g_n)\}_{n < \omega}$ weakly converges to the neutral element in H. Hence $\{p(g_n)\}_{n < \omega}$ weakly converges to the neutral element in H/K. Then by a theorem of Varopoulos [32], the sequence $\{p(g_n)\}_{n < \omega}$ can be lifted to a sequence $\{g_n\}_{n < \omega} \subseteq H$ converging to some point $x_0 \in H$. This entails that $x_n^{-1}g_n \in K$ for all $n \in \omega$. Thus the sequence $\{g_n\}_{n < \omega}$ would be contained in the compact subset $(\{x_n\}_{n < \omega} \cup \{x_0\})K$, which is a contradiction since $\{g_n\}_{n < \omega}$ was supposed to be U-discrete. This contradiction completes the proof. \Box

3.1. Weakly Cauchy sequences

In some special cases, Hughes' theorem implies the convergence of weakly Cauchy sequences. Indeed, let us denote by inv(wG) the group of invertible elements of wG. It is known (see [28, Proposition II.4.6.(i)]) that every maximal subgroup of a compact semitopological semigroup is a topological group that is complete with respect to the two-sided uniformity. In particular, this applies to inv(wG), which is a complete (for the two-sided uniformity) topological group.

Proposition 3.4. Let (G, τ) be a locally compact group and suppose that $\{g_n\}_{n < \omega}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $G^{\mathbb{W}}$. If $\overline{\{g_n\}_{n < \omega}}^{\mathbb{W}G} \subseteq inv(\mathbb{W}G)$, then $\{g_n\}_{n < \omega}$ is τ -convergent in G.

Proof. Assume that $\{g_n\}_{n < \omega}$ is a Cauchy sequence in G^w . First, we verify that the sequence is a precompact subset of (G, τ) .

Indeed, we have that $\{g_n\}_{n<\omega}$ converges to some element $p \in inv(wG)$. If $\{g_n\}_{n<\omega}$ were not precompact in (G, τ) , there would be a neighborhood of the neutral element U and a subsequence $\{g_{n(m)}\}_{m<\omega}$ such that $g_{n(m)}^{-1} \cdot g_{n(l)} \notin U$ for each $m, l < \omega$ with $m \neq l$. On the other hand, since inv(wG) is a topological group, we have that the sequence $\{g_{n(m)}^{-1} \cdot g_{n(m+1)}\}_{m<\omega}$ converges to $p^{-1}p$, the neutral element in G^{w} . This takes us

6

7

to a contradiction because, by Proposition 3.3, it follows that $\{g_{n(m)}^{-1} \cdot g_{n(m+1)}\}_{m < \omega}$ must also converge to the neutral element in (G, τ) .

Therefore, the sequence $\{g_n\}_{n < \omega}$ is a precompact subset of (G, τ) . This implies that $p \in G$ and we are done. \Box

4. Locally compact groups respect other compactness-like properties

4.1. Countable compactness

In this subsection we prove that LC groups respect countable compactness.

Theorem 4.1. Let G be a LC group and let $A \subseteq G$ be countably compact in G^w . Then A is countably compact in G.

Proof. First, we assume that G is a σ -compact LC group. If $K := \overline{A}^{G^w}$ is weakly compact, by Hughes [22], K must also be compact in the LC topology. Therefore, the identity map is a homeomorphism of A^w onto A. Therefore, A is countably compact in the LC topology. On the other hand, if \overline{A}^{G^w} is not compact, there must be some point $p \in \overline{A}^{wG} \setminus G$. Since the weak topology on G is weaker than the original LC topology of G, we have that G^w is also σ -compact. That is, there is a collection $\{K_n : n \in \omega\}$ of weakly compact subsets such that $G = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} K_n$. For every $n \in \omega$, take $f_n \in C(wG)$ such that $0 \leq f_n(x) \leq 1/2^n$ for all $x \in wG$, $f_n(p) = 0$ and $f_n(K_n) = \{1/2^n\}$. Set $f = \sum_{n \in \omega} f_n$. Then $p \in Z(f)$ and $Z(f) \cap G = \emptyset$. Thus, the map 1/f is weakly continuous and, since $p \in \overline{A}^{wG} \setminus G$, is not bounded on A. This is a contradiction since A is countably compact in G^w . This completes the proof when G is σ -compact.

In the general case, assume that A is a countably compact subset of $G^{\mathbb{w}}$. In case A is precompact in G, it follows that $K := \overline{A}^G$ is compact in G and, as a consequence, is also compact in $G^{\mathbb{w}}$. Therefore both topologies, the weak topology and the LC-topology, coincide on K. Therefore, we may repeat the same argument used in the paragraph above to conclude that A is countably compact in the LC topology. Thus we may assume that A is not precompact in the LC topology. As a consequence, there is a compact neighborhood of the identity U and a sequence $(a_n) \subseteq A$ such that (a_n) is U-discrete; that is $a_n U \cap a_m U = \emptyset$ if $n \neq m$. Set H the group generated by $U \cup (a_n)$. Clearly, H is a σ -compact open subgroup of G. By [15, Prop. 3.12] (cf. [3, Lem. 3.2]), it follows that H is closed in $G^{\mathbb{w}}$. As a consequence, it follows that $A \cap H$ is countably compact in $H^{\mathbb{w}}$. Since the group H is σ -compact, it follows that $A \cap H$ is countably compact in $H^{\mathbb{w}}$. Since the group H is σ -compact, it follows that $A \cap H$ is countably compact in $H^{\mathbb{w}}$. Since the group H is σ -compact, it follows that $A \cap H$ is countably compact in $H^{\mathbb{w}}$. Since the group H is σ -compact, it follows that $A \cap H$ is countably compact in $H^{\mathbb{w}}$. Since the group H is σ -compact, it follows that $A \cap H$ is countably compact in $H^{\mathbb{w}}$. Since the group H is σ -compact, the proof. \Box

4.2. Functional boundedness

A subset A of a topological space X is said to be *functionally bounded* when $f_{|A}$ is bounded for every $f \in C(X)$. The topological space X is a μ -space when every functionally bounded subset of X is relatively compact. In this subsection, we prove that G^{w} is a μ -space for all LC group G.

Lemma 4.2. Let A be functionally bounded subset of G^{w} for an LC group G. If $p \in \overline{A}^{\mathsf{w}G}$, then p belongs to the G_{δ} -closure of G in wG and δ_p is $t_p(G)$ -continuous on each countable subset $F \subseteq I(G)$.

Proof. Remark that $Z(f) \cap G \neq \emptyset$ for all $f \in C(wG)$ such that $p \in Z(f)$. Indeed, if $Z(f) \cap G = \emptyset$, then 1/f would be weakly continuous and, since $p \in \overline{A}^{wG}$, we would have that 1/f ought to be unbounded on A, a contradiction. In general, given an arbitrary G_{δ} -open subset N in wG containing p, it is readily seen that

8

ARTICLE IN PRESS

there is a zero-set $Z(f) \subseteq wG$ such that $p \in Z(f) \subseteq N$, which implies that $N \cap G \neq \emptyset$. This verifies that p belongs to G_{δ} -closure of G in wG.

Now, given an arbitrary countable subset F of I(G), set $N := \cap \{\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(p)) : \varphi \in F\}$. Then N is a G_{δ} open subset in wG and $p \in N$. As a consequence $N \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Take $g \in N \cap G$. Then $\varphi(p) = \varphi(g)$ for all $\varphi \in F$, which yields the continuity of δ_p on $(F, t_p(G))$. \Box

Proposition 4.3. Let G be an LC group and let A be a countable subset of G. If $p \in \overline{A}^{WG}$ and δ_p is $t_p(G)$ continuous on each countable subset of I(G), then δ_p is continuous on every compact subset of I(G).

Proof. We define the following equivalence relation on I(G): $\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2$ if and only if $\varphi_{1|A} = \varphi_{2|A}$. Let K be a compact subset of I(G). Take the *quotient* map

$$\pi \colon (I(G), t_p(G)) \to (\frac{I(G)}{\sim}, t_p(A))$$

that is clearly continuous. This means that $\pi(K)$ is compact in $(\frac{I(G)}{\sim}, t_p(A))$. Therefore density $(\pi(K)) \leq$ weight $(\pi(K)) = |A| = \omega$. Let D be a countable subset of K such that $\pi(D)$ is dense in $\pi(K)$. Since D is countable, and p belongs to the G_{δ} -closure of G in wG, there is $g \in G$ such that $\varphi(p) = \varphi(g)$ for all $\varphi \in D$. Furthermore, using the continuity of δ_g and δ_p on each countable subset of I(G), it follows that we can extend $\delta_p = \delta_g$ to a continuous map on $\overline{D}^{I(G)}$ (indeed, δ_p is continuous on $D \cup \{\varphi\}$ for all $g \in \overline{D}^{I(G)}$ and by [4, I.57.5], this implies the continuity of δ_p throughout $\overline{D}^{I(G)}$.

Consider the following diagram



where $\bar{\delta_p}$ is defined by $\bar{\delta_p}(\pi(\varphi)) = \delta_p(\varphi)$.

Remark that $\overline{\delta_p}$ is properly defined because $\delta_p(\varphi_1) = \delta_p(\varphi_2)$ whenever $\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2$. Furthermore, it holds that $\overline{\delta_p}$ is continuous on $\pi(\overline{D}^{I(G)})$. Indeed, in order to verify this, it will suffice to prove that for every $\varphi \in \overline{D}^{I(G)}$ and each net (φ_i) in $\overline{D}^{I(G)}$ such that $\pi((\varphi_i))$ converges to $\pi(\varphi)$, there is a subnet (φ_m) such that $\delta_p((\varphi_m))$ converges to $\delta_p(\varphi)$.

Now, since $\overline{D}^{I(G)}$ is compact, it follows that there is a subnet (φ_m) converging to $\varphi' \in \overline{D}^{I(G)}$. By the continuity of π the net $\pi((\varphi_m))$ must converge to $\pi(\varphi')$ but, by our previous assumption, will also converge to $\pi(\varphi)$. This means that $\varphi \sim \varphi'$ and, as a consequence, $\delta_p(\varphi) = \delta_p(\varphi')$. The continuity of δ_p on $\overline{D}^{I(G)}$ implies the convergence of $\delta_p((\varphi_m))$ to $\delta_p(\varphi) = \delta_p(\varphi')$. Bearing in mind the definition of $\overline{\delta}_p$, the continuity of this map has been proved.

Now, since $\pi(D)$ is dense in $\pi(K)$, it follows that $\pi(\overline{D}^{I(G)}) = \pi(K)$. Thus, we have proved the continuity of $\overline{\delta}_p$ on $\pi(K)$. The commutativity of the diagram



implies the continuity of δ_p on K, which completes the proof. \Box

Now follows the main result of this paper. It extends to non-necessarily abelian groups a previous result of Trigos-Arrieta [23] for locally compact Abelian groups.

Theorem 4.4. Let G be a LC group. Then the group G^{w} is a μ -space.

Proof. We must verify that every closed functionally bounded subset of G^{w} is compact in G^{w} . Let A be a closed functionally bounded subset of G^{w} . If A is countably compact in G^{w} then, by Theorem 4.1, it follows that A is closed and countably compact in G. Hence, we have that A is compact in G and, as a consequence, in G^{w} .

Therefore, from here on, we assume that A is not countably compact in G^{w} without loss of generality. This implies that there is some sequence $(a_n) \subseteq A$ that has no closure points in G^{w} . Let $p \in \overline{(a_n)}^{wG} \setminus G$. By Lemma 4.2, p belongs to the G_{δ} -closure of G in wG and δ_p is $t_{(G)}$ -continuous on each countable subset of I(G). Then, by Proposition 4.3, we deduce that δ_p is $t_p(G)$ -continuous on each compact subset of I(G).

The proof now requires a case-study approach on the structure of the group G.

- (1) G is σ -compact and metrizable and, therefore, a Polish LC group. In this case, the space $(I(G), t_k(G))$ is metrizable and, as a consequence, a k-space. Since we have verified that δ_p is $t_p(G)$ -continuous on each compact subset of I(G), it follows that δ_p is continuous on I(G). Applying Akenmann duality theorem [1], it follows that $p \in G$ and, as a consequence, that $\overline{A}^{wG} \subset G$.
- (2) Suppose first that (a_n) is not precompact in G. Then we may assume, with some notational abuse, that (a_n) is U-discrete for some compact neighborhood of the identity U. Therefore, by Kakutani-Kodaira's theorem, there exists a normal, compact K of G such that $K \subseteq U$ and G/K is metrizable, and consequently Polish. Let $p: G \to G/K$ be the quotient map, which is also continuous for the weak topologies $p: G^w \to (G/K)^w$, since every pure positive definite map on G/K can be lifted canonically to G. Therefore $p((a_n))$ is a functionally bounded subset in $(G/K)^w$. By (1) $p((a_n))$ is relatively compact in G/K, which is a contradiction because (a_n) was assumed to be U-discrete and $K \subseteq U$. Thus, we may assume, without loss of generality, that (a_n) is precompact in G. This means that (a_n) is relatively compact in A, which is a closed subset of G. Therefore, we have again that $\overline{A}^{wG} \subseteq G$.
- (3) G is LC. Again, we suppose first that (a_n) is not precompact in G and, with some notational abuse, that (a_n) is U-discrete for some compact neighborhood of the identity U. Consider the subgroup H generated by $U \cup \{g_n\}_{n < \omega}$, which σ -compact and open in G. Since \overline{H}^{wG} is canonically homeomorphic to wH, we may identify $\overline{\{g_n\}_{n < \omega}}^{WH}$ with $\overline{\{g_n\}_{n < \omega}}^{WG}$. Hence we may assume, without loss of generality, that G is σ -compact and the proof follows from (2). The case (a_n) is precompact follows also from (2).

Thus, we have proved that $\overline{A}^{wG} \subseteq G$ for every functionally bounded subset A of G^w , which proves that G^w is a μ -space. \Box

Corollary 4.5. Every LC group respects pseudocompactness.

References

- [1] Charles A. Akemann, Martin E. Walter, Non-abelian Pontriagin duality, Duke Math. J. 39 (1972) 451–463.
- [2] Bachir Bekka, Pierre de la Harpe, Alain Valette, Kazhdan's Property, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [3] K. Bichteler, Locally compact topologies on a group and the corresponding continuous irreducible representations, Pac. J. Math. 31 (1969) 583–593.
- [4] N. Bourbaki, Éléments de Mathématique. Topologie Générale, Hermann, Paris, 1971.
- [5] Michael Yin-Hei Cheng, Subalgebras generated by extreme points in Fourier-Stieltjes algebras of locally compact groups, Stud. Math. 202 (3) (2011) 289–302.
- [6] Yin-Hei Cheng, Brian E. Forrest, Nico Spronk, On the subalgebra of a Fourier-Stieltjes algebra generated by pure positive definite functions, Monatshefte Math. 171 (3–4) (2013) 305–314.

- [7] W.W. Comfort, S. Hernández, D. Remus, F.J. Trigos-Arrieta, Some open questions on topological groups, in: Nuclear Groups and Lie Groups, Chapter Some Open Questions on Topological Groups, lemgo edition, in: Res. Exp. Math., vol. 24, Heldermann, 2001, pp. 57–76.
- [8] W.W. Comfort, S. Hernández, F.J. Trigos-Arrieta, Relating a locally compact abelian group to its Bohr compactification, Adv. Math. 120 (1996) 322–344.
- [9] W.W. Comfort, S. Hernández, F.J. Trigos-Arrieta, Cross sections and homeomorphism classes of Abelian groups equipped with the Bohr topology, Topol. Appl. 115 (2001) 215–233.
- [10] Dikran Dikranjan, Stephen Watson, A solution to van Douwen's problem on Bohr topologies, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 163 (2) (2001) 147–158.
- [11] J. Dixmier, C*-Algèbres et leurs representations, 1964.
- [12] Michel Enock, Jean-Marie Schwartz, Kac Algebras and Duality of Locally Compact Groups. Preface by Alain Connes, Postface by Adrian Ocneanu, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [13] J. Ernest, A strong duality theorem for separable locally compact groups, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 156 (1971) 287–307.
- [14] P. Eymard, L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact, Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. 92 (1964) 181–236.
- [15] María V. Ferrer, S. Hernández, L. Tárrega, A dichotomy property for locally compact groups, J. Funct. Anal. 275 (4) (2018) 869–891.
- [16] G.B. Folland, A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis, CRC Press, 1995.
- [17] J. Galindo, S. Hernández, The concept of boundedness and the Bohr compactification of a MAP Abelian group, Fundam. Math. 159 (1999) 195-218.
- [18] I.M. Gelfand, M.A. Raikov, Irreducible unitary representations of locally bicompact groups, Mat. Sb. 13 (55) (1942) 301–316, Transl. Am. Math. Soc. 36 (2) (1964) 1–15.
- [19] I. Glicksberg, Uniform boundedness for groups, Can. J. Math. 14 (1962) 269–276.
- [20] S. Hernández, The dimension of an LCA group in its Bohr topology, Topol. Appl. 86 (1998) 63-67.
- [21] H. Heyer, Dualität lokalkompakter Gruppen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- [22] R. Hughes, Compactness in locally compact groups, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 79 (1973) 122–123.
- [23] K. Ikeshoji, Y. Nakagami, On a strong duality for separable locally compact groups, Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyushu Univ., Ser. A 33 (2) (1979) 377–389.
- [24] Kenneth Kunen, Bohr topologies and partition theorems for vector spaces, Topol. Appl. 90 (1-3) (1998) 97-107.
- [25] F.I. Mautner, Unitary representations of locally compact groups. II, Ann. Math. (2) 52 (1950) 528–556.
- [26] M. Mayer, Strongly mixing groups, Semigroup Forum 54 (3) (1997) 303–316.
- [27] John von Neumann, On rings of operators. Reduction theory, Ann. Math. (2) 50 (1949) 401–485.
- [28] W. Ruppert, Compact Semitopological Semigroups: An Intrinsic Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1984.
- [29] I.E. Segal, Decompositions of operator algebras I and II, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 9 (1951) 67.
- [30] Nico Spronk, Ross Stokke, Matrix coefficients of unitary representations and associated compactifications, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 62 (1) (2013) 99–148.
- [31] Francisco Javier, Trigos-Arrieta. Pseudocompactness on groups, Ph.D. Thesis, Wesleyan University, 1991.
- [32] N.T. Varopoulos, A theorem on the continuity of homomorphisms of locally compact groups, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 60 (1964) 449–463.