RESEARCH ARTICLE

Pregnancy during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional observational descriptive study

Ana Folch Ayora[1,2] (iD) | Pablo Salas-Medina[1,2] (iD) | Eladio Collado-Boira[1,2] (iD) |
Carmen Ropero-Padilla[1,2] (iD) | Miguel Rodriguez-Arrastia[1,2] (iD) |
María Desamparados Bernat-Adell[1,2] (iD)

[1]Faculty of Health Sciences, Pre-Department of Nursing, Jaume I University, Castellon de la Plana, Spain

[2]Research Group CYS, Faculty of Health Sciences, Jaume I University, Castello de la Plana, Spain

Correspondence
Carmen Ropero-Padilla, Faculty of Health Sciences, Pre-Department of Nursing, Jaume I University, Castellon de la Plana, Spain.
Email: ropero@uji.es

Funding information
This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors

Abstract

Aim: To provide data on the fears of pregnant women during the confinement period and to learn about the factors, which may have exacerbated fear in Spanish pregnant women during the pandemic.

Design: A cross-sectional observational and descriptive study.

Methods: An anonymous survey was carried out using virtual media in a pregnant population (aged ≥18 years) during the confinement period from 1 April to 1 May 2020.

Results: The total sample comprised of 62 individuals, with a mean age of 33.6 ± 3.6 years and a mean gestation time of 23.6 ± 9.8 weeks. All of the women used preventive measures against SARS-CoV-2. The most common preventive measures were social isolation (82.3%, $n = 51$) and frequent handwashing (69.4%, $n = 43$). The most common feeling was fear (29%, $n = 18$). The main fears were related to transplacental infection (27%, $n = 16$), loneliness during childbirth, and being separated from the newborn (27%, $n = 16$). In addition, 59.7% ($n = 37$) considered changing their child-rearing practices after the pandemic.

KEYWORDS
childbirth, confinement, coronavirus infections, COVID-19, pandemic, pregnancy

1 | INTRODUCTION

COVID-19 is a syndrome that is manifested by the respiratory infection caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus. It was first identified in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China, on 31 December 2019, following the exposure of large numbers of people to the virus at a wholesale market involving seafood, fish, and live animals. On 7 January, it was identified as a new virus of the *Coronaviridea* family and was subsequently named SARS-CoV-2 after an international consensus (Wiersinga et al., 2020). The rapid spread and aggressiveness of the COVID-19 around the world prompted governments to take drastic measures, causing widespread panic and concern amongst the population and affecting particularly vulnerable population groups (Li et al., 2020; Iyer et al., 2020).

2 | BACKGROUND

In this sense, pregnant women are one of the most vulnerable subgroups, as their natural physiological changes and mechanisms increase their risk of infection (Dashraath et al., 2020). In the context of a COVID-19 pandemic, the uncertainty during pregnancy and the risk of vertical transmission in newborns forced prenatal care to be modified. For example, childbirth preparation classes were halted, as were physical medical visits and attendance at basic tests such as analytics or ultrasounds, in favour of new online-based classes (Iorga et al., 2021). All of these changes and others have had a statistically significant impact on women of reproductive age (Xiong et al., 2020), particularly pregnant women (Preis et al., 2020), increasing stress, anxiety, depression and sleep problems (Túlio De-Mello et al., 2020).

Although pregnancy in a pandemic-free context is already stressful, with high levels of anxiety and depression in some cases (Yirmiya et al., 2021), the mental health of pregnant women has become critical not only for their own well-being but also for the well-being of their child and family (Kinser et al., 2021). High levels of maternal stress during pregnancy have been shown to increase the risk of preterm birth and intrauterine growth restrictions (Kirchengast & Hartmann, 2021); therefore, knowing the fears that pregnant women experienced during the first months of the pandemic would be of great interest in attempting to ameliorate them and designing strategies for mitigating their impact.

3 | METHODS

3.1 | Design

Cross-sectional observational and descriptive study, with sample collection using an anonymous survey with online and virtual media. Dissemination of the questionnaire began on 1 April 2020 and ended on 1 May 2020.

3.2 | Participants and Settings

Inclusion criteria: The included participants were pregnant women over 18 years of age who received the questionnaire online through one of the dissemination platforms (social networks) and who voluntarily agreed to complete the survey.

Exclusion criteria: Subjects who did not authorize the use of the data for scientific purposes, those aged under 18 years and those who failed to complete any item of the questionnaire were excluded.

Non-probability convenience sampling was used. No sample size was established, and all those subjects who voluntarily wished to complete the questionnaire after accepting the use of their data were included. No financial compensation was provided for completing the questionnaire. Whether or not the subjects had been infected with COVID-19 was not taken into account.

3.3 | Variables

An ad hoc questionnaire was developed for data collection and sent digitally (Qualtrics® corporate software), with the objective of improving dissemination and data privacy. The questionnaire consisted of the following variables:

- Sociodemographic variables: age, age range (20 to 30 years, 30 to 40 years and >40 years).
- Pregnancy-related variables: first pregnancy (yes/no), number of pregnancies (numerical identification of the number of pregnancies), week of gestation (numerical identification of the week of gestation), type of gestation (single/twin).
- Childbirth-related variables: preferred type of birth (vaginal/caesarean section), preferred birth partner (free text), preferred type of pain relief (free text).
- Variables related to preventive measures against COVID-19: use of measures (yes/no), type of measures (free text).
- Variables related to feelings: how the individual felt about living through the pandemic while being pregnant (free text). Classification of positive feelings in terms of the following expressions: feeling well, calm, and bored. Classification of negative feelings in terms of the following expressions: feeling overwhelmed, anxious, afraid, tired, strange, powerless, fearful, nervous, worried, mediocre, lonely, sad.
- Fear-related variables: Fears while being pregnant during the pandemic (free text).
- Variables relating to raising the baby after living through the COVID-19 pandemic (free text).

3.4 | Process

The electronic survey "Pregnancy in times of COVID-19" was designed by a multidisciplinary group of scientists from Jaume I University. Following a structured review of the literature, a draft survey was created, which was reviewed and edited by the researchers of the project. The questionnaire was prepared using the Qualtrics® application, with a score of Fairly Good obtained using the ExpertReview analyzer powered by iQ®. After reaching a consensus with respect to the final version, the survey was shared using WhatsApp™. The people who received the survey also participated in sharing through the link provided. The survey was structured into two blocks. The first block contained information about the study, its objectives, the research team, ethical information and acceptance of inclusion in the study. The second block contained all the questions relating to the research.

The responses to the free-text questions were categorized based on the most commonly reported responses (response patterns). Two members of the research team assigned each response to each of the established patterns, with a consensus on the assignments. In the event of a discrepancy, a third researcher was consulted.

3.5 | Analysis

The statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 26 (IBM Corporation). The continuous variables were described using means ±standard deviation. The categorical variables were expressed using the frequency (n) and percentages (%). The influence of age on the dichotomous qualitative variables of the questionnaire was analysed using the Student's t test for quantitative independent samples. Fisher's exact test was used for qualitative variables as they did not meet normality criteria. Statistical significance was set at p <.05.

Using the Qualtrics® computer application the anonymity of the participants and the confidentiality of the data were guaranteed. The data were not accessible to individuals outside the study. All the study participants responded to the first question of the questionnaire (relating to presentation of the research team, the objectives, and the ethical principles upon which data processing was based), thus leaving a written record of their acceptance or rejection. The subjects who accepted began completing the questionnaire and were able to stop at any time, with data being collected up until that point.

4 | RESULTS

4.1 | Diagram of the process

A total of 89 people followed the link provided. After presentation of the study, n = 27 agreed to participate but did not respond to all of the questions. Finally, N = 62 pregnant women agreed to participate and responded to all of the questions.

4.2 | Description of the population

4.2.1 | Sociodemographic characteristics

The mean age was 33.6 years, with a standard deviation of 3.6. The youngest individual was 26 years of age and the oldest was 44 years old. By age ranges, 16.1% (n = 10) were between 20 and 30 years old, 77.4% (n = 48) were between 30 and 40 years old and 6.5% (n = 4) were aged over 40.

4.2.2 | Pregnancy-related characteristics

The mean number of previous pregnancies was 1.8. The mean length of gestation was 23.6 weeks and 98.3% were single pregnancies (see Table 1).

4.2.3 | Childbirth-related characteristics

All of the women in this study preferred a vaginal birth. Most (93.5%, n = 58) wished to be accompanied by their partner and favoured

TABLE 1 Pregnancy and childbirth-related characteristics (N = 62)

	X ± s.d (min.-max.)
Number of previous pregnancies	1.8 ± 0.5 (1–3)
One	43 (70.5)
Two	17 (27.8)
Three	1(1.7)
Week of gestation	23.6 ± 9.8 (6–40)
Type of pregnancy	n (%)
Single	61 (98.3)
Twin	1 (1.7)
Preferred type of birth	
Vaginal	62 (100)
Caesarean	-
Preferred birth partner	
Partner	58 (93.5)
Sister	2 (3.2)
Mother	2 (3.2)
Preferred type of pain relief	
No pain relief	7 (11.3)
Pharmacological pain relief	42 (67.7)
Non-pharmacological relief	13 (21.0)

Note: X: mean; s.d: standard deviation; min.: minimum; max.: maximum

pharmacological pain relief measures such as epidurals (67.7%, n = 42) (see Table 1).

4.2.4 | Characteristics related to preventive measures against COVID-19

All of the surveyed pregnant women used measures against COVID-19 infection. The most common measures were social isolation (82.3%, n = 51), frequent handwashing (69.4%, n = 43), and use of masks (50%, n = 31) (see Table 2).

4.2.5 | Feelings

The most commonly described feelings were fear (33.9%, n = 21) and of being overwhelmed (25.9%, n = 16) (see Table 3).

4.2.6 | Fears

Overall, 95.2% (n = 59) presented with some type of fear, particularly with respect to transplacental infection (27.1%, n = 16), loneliness during childbirth (27.1%, n = 16) and being separated from their newborn as a result of their own infection (27.1%, n = 16). There

TABLE 2 Preventive measures and changes in child-rearing following the COVID-19 pandemic (N = 62)

	n (%)
Greater use of hygiene measures due to the COVID pandemic	
Yes	62 (100)
No	-
Types of measures	
Confinement and social isolation	51 (82.3)
Use of masks	31 (50.0)
Use of gloves	21 (33.9)
Frequent handwashing	43 (69.4)
Disinfecting clothes on entering the home	30 (48.4)
Disinfecting food prior to storage in the home	25 (40.3)
Considering changes in child-rearing as a result of COVID	
Yes	37 (59.7)
No	25 (40.3)
Type of change	
Restriction of visits	26 (76.5)
Reconsideration of breastfeeding after having initially ruled out the opportunity	6 (17.6)
Unsure of which changes to make but certain of wanting to make some sort of change	2 (5.9)

TABLE 3 Feelings and fears-related characteristics (N = 62)

	n (%)
Feelings	
Overwhelmed	16 (25.9)
Anxious	8 (12.9)
Bored	2 (3.2)
Well	16 (25.8)
Tired	4 (6.4)
Strange	4 (6.4)
Powerless	1 (1.6)
Afraid	21 (33.9)
Worried	5 (8.1)
Mediocre	1 (1.6)
Lonely	15 (24.2)
Sad	8 (12.9)
Classification of feelings	
Positive	12 (19.4)
Negative	50 (80.6)
Fear	
Yes	59 (95.2)
No	3 (4.8)
Specific fear	
Fear of transplacental infection	16 (27.1)
Fear of being alone during childbirth and separated from the newborn	16 (27.1)
Fear of infection of the family	5 (8.5)
Fear of complications during childbirth	7 (11.9)
Fear relating to provision of health services and changes in management	9 (15.3)
Fear relating to the lack of knowledge about the effects of COVID in babies	6 (10.2)

were no differences between mothers with more than one pregnancy and primigravid women (see Table 3).

4.2.7 | Changes in child-rearing after experiencing the COVID-19 pandemic

Overall, 59.7% (n = 37) of the future mothers considered making changes in the upbringing of their baby after the outbreak of the pandemic. The primary changes were restriction of visits (76.5%, n = 26) and reconsideration of breastfeeding after having initially ruled out the opportunity (17.6%, n = 6) (see Table 2).

4.2.8 | Bivariate analysis of age with the rest of the variables under study

The specific fears were not related to any sociodemographic variables or aspects related to pregnancy, childbirth, preventive measures against COVID-19, feelings or changes in parenting (see Table 4).

The presence of the greatest number of positive feelings was related (p = .022) to pain relief preferences, where the more positive pregnant women opted for non-pharmacological approaches to the palliation of labour pain (50.0%, n = 6). The more negative pregnant women opted for pharmacological treatments for pain relief (74.0%, n = 37). The pregnant women with more positive feelings presented fewer fears (16.6%, n = 2) compared to those with more negative

feelings (2.0%, n = 1). None of the other aspects were related to positive or negative feelings (see Table 5).

5 | DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to know about the fears that pregnant women experienced during the first months of the pandemic. Fear was expressed by 95% of our sample, lending support to the idea of fear as an emotion aimed at preserving life and mobilizing to self-defence against threats to physical integrity (Iyer et al., 2020; Pavlakis et al., 2020; Salehi et al., 2020). The fear of possible transplacental infection was particularly prevalent, as there is a lack of knowledge surrounding the possible foetal complications that COVID-19 can cause. Recent research indicates that SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnant women leads to a higher risk of miscarriage (2%), intrauterine growth restriction (10%) and preterm birth (39%) (Dashraath et al., 2020), low birthweight and increase in the number of caesarean section (69,2%) (Abedzadeh-Kalahroudi et al., 2021; Vousden et al., 2021). While these complications are similar to

TABLE 4 Bivariate analysis of pregnant women's fears (N = 62)

	Fear n (%)		P value
	Yes	No	
Age (years)	33.6 ± 3.7	33.6 ± 1.5	0.986
Age range			0.631
20 to 30 years	10 (16.9)	-	
30 to 40 years	45 (76.3)	3 (100)	
>40 years	4 (6.8)	-	
First pregnancy			0.219
Yes	40	1 (33.3)	
No	19	2 (66.7)	
Number of pregnancies			0.305
One	42 (72.4)	1 (33.3)	
Two	15 (25.8)	2 (66.7)	
Three	1 (1.8)	-	
Week of gestation	23.7 ± 9.6	21.0 ± 16.6	0.641
Pain relief preference			0.132
No pain relief	7 (11.8)	-	
Pharmacological pain relief	41 (69.6)	1 (33.3)	
Non-pharmacological pain relief	11 (18.6)	2 (66.6)	
Types of measures			0.410
Leaving the house			
Yes	48 (81.4)	3 (100)	
No	11 (18.6)	-	
Use of masks			0.554
Yes	30 (50.8)	1 (33.3)	
No	29 (49.2)	2 (66.7)	
Use of gloves			0.984
Yes	20 (33.9)	1 (33.3)	
No	39 (66.1)	2 (66.7)	
Handwashing			0.918
Yes	41(69.5)	2 (66.7)	
No	18 (30.5)	1 (33.3)	
Greater care in the cleanliness of food			0.800
Yes	24 (40.7)	1 (33.3)	
No	35 (59.3)	2 (66.7)	
Cleaning and disinfecting clothing			0.516
Yes	28 (47.5)	2 (66.7)	
No	31 (52.5)	1 (33.3)	

(Continues)

TABLE 4 (Continued)

	Fear n (%)		P value
	Yes	No	
Feeling			0.340
Overwhelmed	15 (25.4)	1 (33.3)	
Anxious	8 (13.6)	-	
Bored	2 (3.4)	-	
Well	13 (22.0)	3 (100)	
Tired	4 (6.8)	-	
Strange	4 (6.8)	-	
Powerless	1 (1.8)	-	
Afraid	21 (35.6)	-	
Nervous	5 (1.8)	-	
Worried	1 (1.8)	-	
Mediocre	1 (1.8)	-	
Lonely	12 (20.3)	3 (100)	
Sad	8 (13.6)	-	
Changes in child-rearing			0.853
Restriction of visits	25 (42.4)	1 (33.3)	
Reconsideration of breastfeeding after having initially ruled out the opportunity	6 (10.2)	-	
Unsure of which changes to make but certain of wanting to make some sort of change	2 (3.4)	1 (33.3)	

those resulting from infections caused by other pathogenic microorganisms (Sass et al., 2017), with the presence of symptoms such as fever, dyspnoea, cough (Abedzadeh-Kalahroudi et al., 2021) or those that can occur in women with high levels of anxiousness during pregnancy (Bussières et al., 2015), uncertainty in the face of the unknown does not help the management of anxiety.

The other main fears were found to arise from loneliness as a result of social isolation, the most commonly used method of protection against infection (Wang et al., 2021). This effective measure against SARS-CoV-2 can cause difficulties in the preparation of the necessary material for the arrival of the baby and complications, such as gestational diabetes (Wagnild et al., 2019), premature birth or low birthweight (Baena-García et al., 2019) derived from sedentary lifestyles. In addition, feelings of nervousness, helplessness and loneliness are generated. This loneliness, possibly accentuated by hospital management strategies such as birth partner restrictions and the elimination of family visits

TABLE 5 Bivariate analysis of pregnant women's feelings (N = 62)

	Feelings		P value
	Positive	**Negative**	
Age	33.7 ± 3.7	33.1 ± 3.3	0.701
Age range			0.701
20 to 30 years	1 (8.3)	9 (18.0)	
30 to 40 years	10 (83.3)	38 (76.0)	
>40 years	1 (8.3)	3 (6.0)	
First pregnancy			0.735
Yes	9 (75.0)	32 (64.0)	
No	3 (25.0)	18 (36.0)	
Number of pregnancies			0.531
One	10 (83.3)	33 (66.0)	
Two	2 (16.6)	15 (30.0)	
Three	-	1 (2.0)	
Week of gestation	21.8 ± 11.2	24.1 ± 9.6	0.348
Pain relief preference			0.022
No pain relief	1 (8.3)	6 (12.0)	
Pharmacological pain relief	5 (41.7)	37 (74.0)	
Non-pharmacological pain relief	6 (50.0)	7 (14.0)	
Types of measures			0.464
Leaving the house			
Yes	9 (75.0)	42 (84.0)	
No	3 (25.0)	8 (16.0)	
Use of masks			0.749
Yes	7 (58.3)	24 (48.0)	
No	5 (41.7)	26 (52.0)	
Use of gloves			0.965
Yes	4 (33.3)	17 (34.0)	
No	8 (66.7)	33(66.0)	
Handwashing			0.822
Yes	8 (33.3)	35 (70.0)	
No	4 (66.7)	15 (30.0)	
Greater care in the cleanliness of food			0.330
Yes	3 (25.0)	22 (44.0)	
No	9 (75.0)	28 (56.0)	
Cleaning and disinfecting clothing			0.339
Yes	4 (33.3)	26 (52.0)	
No	8 (66.7)	24 (48.0)	
Fears (yes/no)			0.033
Yes	10 (83.3)	49 (58.0)	
No	2 (16.6)	1 (2.0)	

(Continues)

TABLE 5 (Continued)

	Feelings		P value
	Positive	**Negative**	
Specific fear			0.796
Fear of transplacental infection	3 (25.0)	13 (26.0)	
Fear of being alone during childbirth and separated from the newborn	2 (16.6)	14 (28.0)	
Fear of infection of the family	1 (8.3)	4 (8.0)	
Fear of complications in childbirth	2 (16.6)	5 (10.0)	
Fear relating to the provision of healthcare services and changes in management	2 (16.6)	7 (14.0)	
Fear relating to the lack of knowledge on the effects of COVID in babies	-	6 (12.0)	
Types of changes to child-rearing			0.788
Restriction of visits	5 (41.7)	21 (42.0)	
Reconsideration of breastfeeding after having initially ruled out the opportunity	1 (8.3)	5 (10.0)	
Unsure of which changes to make but certain of wanting to make some sort of change	-	2 (4.0)	

(Salma, 2021), can result in levels of fear and anxiety not being reduced as the due date approaches, as found in studies prior to the pandemic (Hildingsson et al., 2017). Furthermore, of note are behavioural changes such as the reconsideration of breastfeeding due to its beneficial effects on the development of the newborn´s immune system (Andreas et al., 2015).

The pandemic and confinement were thus the sole factors responsible for increased feelings of loneliness and fear of infection in pregnant women. Factors such as youth (Berthelot et al., 2020), inexperience (Hildingsson et al., 2017) and length of pregnancy (Newham et al., 2012) did not condition these fears, as found in research prior to the pandemic and confinement (Berthelot et al., 2020) or even in research carried out in other countries such as Israel (Taubman-Ben-Ari et al., 2020). These levels of fear could have repercussions in terms of complications such as premature birth, low birthweight or intrauterine growth restriction. Fear could be reduced by ensuring the continuity of prenatal education,

guidance during pregnancy, and follow-up of pregnant women by telephone (Chen et al., 2020; Coşkuner Potur et al., 2017; Lucas & Bamber, 2021). Understanding the fears and emotional states of pregnant women could aid in the implementation of effective mental health prevention measures, particularly during infectious disease epidemics. In this sense, nursing interventions such as encouraging the patient, providing an acceptable environment, actively listening, and involving family members in patient care may be beneficial in reducing the fears of hospitalized patients (Alexis Ramírez-Coronel et al., 2020).

That being said, there are limitations to consider when interpreting these findings. With respect to the limitations in the analysed data, it should be noted that socioeconomic status, level of education and presence of the disease in loved ones or close individuals were not assessed. Other limitations to consider for future research include a small sample size, a single centre and no validation of the questionnaire before use. Rather than concluding the topic, however, this study provides some data for future research to better understand and evaluate the efficacy of healthcare strategies on pregnant women's general well-being and mental health.

6 | CONCLUSIONS

Fear was present in 95.2% of pregnant women, with transplacental infection and loneliness during childbirth being the most common fears. The pandemic and confinement were the only factors influencing this increase in fear. Age, inexperience with other births and length of pregnancy were not found to condition these fears. These levels of fear could have repercussions in terms of complications in the baby that could be mitigated by providing effective nursing interventions. In this manner, the importance of the number of fears and the emotional state of pregnant women during the period of confinement found in this study would justify the implementation of effective preventive control strategies for their mental health well-being during infectious disease outbreaks. This information may be of interest in those countries, which continue to fight the epidemic or in those that are experiencing outbreaks and will once again require the implementation of social isolation measures.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest has been declared by the authors.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

Ana Folch Ayora: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft, Investigation, **Pablo Salas-Medina:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft, Validation, Investigation, Project administration, **Eladio Collado-Boira:** Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing, Visualization, **Carmen Ropero-Padilla:** Methodology, Supervision, Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing, Visualization**, Miguel Rodriguez-Arrastia:** Methodology, Supervision, Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing, Visualization, **María Desamparados Bernat-Adell:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – Original Draft, Supervision, Project administration.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

ORCID

Ana Folch Ayora https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0210-6162
Pablo Salas-Medina https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5894-0650
Eladio Collado-Boira https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8062-4152
Carmen Ropero-Padilla https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5883-767X
Miguel Rodriguez-Arrastia https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9430-4272
María Desamparados Bernat-Adell https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6616-6925

REFERENCES

Abedzadeh-Kalahroudi, M., Sehat, M., Vahedpour, Z., Talebian, P., & Haghighi, A.. (2021). Clinical and obstetric characteristics of pregnant women with Covid-19: A case series study on 26 patients. *Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *60*(3), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjog.2021.03.012

Alexis Ramírez-Coronel, A., Carlos Martínez-Suárez, P., Luzmila Pogyo-Morocho, G., Cristina Mesa-Cano, I., Elvira Minchala-Urgilés, R., Rodrigo Yambay-Bautista, X., Miguel Torres-Criollo, L., & González-Pando, D.. (2020). Psychometric assessment and nursing intervention in fear of COVID-19. *Project CRIMEA*. *39*(5). https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4262353

Andreas, N., Kampmann, B., & Mehring Le-Doare, K. (2015). Human breast milk: A review on its composition and bioactivity. *Early Human Development*, *91*(11), https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EARLHUMDEV.2015.08.013

Baena-García, L, Ocón-Hernández, O, Acosta-Manzano, P, Coll-Risco, I, Borges-Cosic, M, Romero-Gallardo, L, de la Flor-Alemany, M, & Aparicio, VA. (2019). Association of sedentary time and physical activity during pregnancy with maternal and neonatal birth outcomes.

The GESTAFIT Project. _Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports_, 29(3), 407–414. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13337

Berthelot, N., Lemieux, R., Garon-Bissonnette, J., Drouin-Maziade, C., Martel, É., & Maziade, M. (2020). Uptrend in distress and psychiatric symptomatology in pregnant women during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. _Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica_, 99(7), 848–855. https://doi.org/10.1111/aogs.13925

Bussières, E. L., Tarabulsy, G. M., Pearson, J., Tessier, R., Forest, J. C., & Giguère, Y. (2015). Maternal prenatal stress and infant birth weight and gestational age: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. _Developmental Review_, 36, 179–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DR.2015.04.001

Chen, Y., Li, Z., Zhang, Y., Zhao, W., & Yu, Z. (2020). Maternal health care management during the outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019. _Journal of Medical Virology_, 92(7), 731–739. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25787

Coşkuner Potur, D., Mamuk, R., Şahin, N., Demirci, N., & Hamlaci, Y. (2017). Association between fear of childbirth and maternal acceptance of pregnancy. _International Nursing Review_, 64(4), https://doi.org/10.1111/INR.12378

Dashraath, P., Wong, J. L. J., Lim, M. X. K., Lim, L. M., Li, S., Biswas, A., Choolani, M., Mattar, C., & Su, L. L. (2020). Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and pregnancy. _American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology_, 222(6), 521–531. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AJOG.2020.03.021

Hildingsson, I., Haines, H., Karlström, A., & Nystedt, A. (2017). Presence and process of fear of birth during pregnancy—Findings from a longitudinal cohort study. _Women and Birth_, 30(5), e242–e247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wombi.2017.02.003

Li, Q, Guan, X, Wu, P, Wang, X, Zhou, L, Tong, Y, Ren, R, Leung, KSM, Lau, EHY, Wong, JY, Xing, X, Xiang, N, Wu, Y, Li, C, Chen, Q, Li, D, Liu, T, Zhao, J, Liu, M, Tu, W, Chen, C, Jin, L, Yang, R, Wang, Q, Zhou, S, Wang, R, Liu, H, Luo, Y, Liu, Y, Shao, G, Li, H, Tao, Z, Yang, Y, Deng, Z, Liu, B, Ma, Z, Zhang, Y, Shi, G, Lam, TTY, Wu, JT, Gao, GF, Cowling, BJ, Yang, B, Leung, GM, & Feng, Z. (2020). Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. _The New England Journal of Medicine_, 382(13), 1199–1207. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001316

Iorga, M., Soponaru, C., Socolov, R.-V., Cărăuleanu, A., & Socolov, D. G. (2021). How the SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic Period Influenced the Health Status and Determined Changes in Professional Practice among Obstetrics and Gynecology Doctors in Romania. _Medicina_, 57(4), 325. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina57040325

Iyer, M., Jayaramayya, K., Subramaniam, M. D., Lee, S. B., Dayem, A. A., Cho, S. G., & Vellingiri, B. (2020). COVID-19: An update on diagnostic and therapeutic approaches. _BMB Reports_, 53(4), 191–205. https://doi.org/10.5483/BMBRep.2020.53.4.080

Kinser, P. A., Jallo, N., Amstadter, A. B., Thacker, L. R., Jones, E., Moyer, S., Rider, A., Karjane, N., & Salisbury, A. L. (2021). Depression, Anxiety, Resilience, and Coping: The Experience of Pregnant and New Mothers During the First Few Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic. _Journal of Women's Health_, 30(5), https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2020.8866

Kirchengast, S., & Hartmann, B. (2021). Pregnancy outcome during the first covid 19 lockdown in Vienna, Austria. _International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health_, 18(7), https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18073782

Lucas, D. N., & Bamber, J. H. (2021). Pandemics and maternal health: the indirect effects of COVID-19. _Anaesthesia_, 76(S4), 69–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.15408

Newham, J. J., Westwood, M., Aplin, J. D., & Wittkowski, A. (2012). State–trait anxiety inventory (STAI) scores during pregnancy following intervention with complementary therapies. _Journal of Affective Disorders_, 142(1–3), 22–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAD.2012.04.027

Pavlakis, S., McAbee, G., & Roach, E. S. (2020). Fear and Understanding in the Time of COVID-19. _Pediatric Neurology_, 111, 37–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2020.06.015

Preis, H., Mahaffey, B., Heiselman, C., & Lobel, M. (2020). Vulnerability and resilience to pandemic-related stress among U.S. women pregnant at the start of the COVID-19 pandemic. _Social Science and Medicine_, 266, 113348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.113348

Salehi, L, Rahimzadeh, M, Molaei, E, Zaheri, H, & Esmaelzadeh-Saeieh, S. (2020). The relationship among fear and anxiety of COVID-19, pregnancy experience, and mental health disorder in pregnant women: A structural equation model. _Brain and Behavior_, 10(11), e01835. https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.1835

Salma, U. (2021). Relationship of COVID-19 with pregnancy. _Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology_, 60(3), 405–411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjog.2021.03.005

Sass, L., Urhoj, S., Kjærgaard, J., Dreier, J., Strandberg-Larsen, K., & Nybo Andersen, A. (2017). Fever in pregnancy and the risk of congenital malformations: A cohort study. _BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth_, 17(1), https://doi.org/10.1186/S12884-017-1585-0

Taubman – Ben-Ari, O, Chasson, M, Abu Sharkia, S, & Weiss, E. (2020). Distress and anxiety associated with COVID-19 among Jewish and Arab pregnant women in Israel. _Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology_, 38(3), 340–348. https://doi.org/10.1080/02646838.2020.1786037

Túlio De-Mello, M., Silva, A., de Carvalho-Guerreiro, R., da-Silva, F.R., Esteves, A.M., Poyares, D., Piovezan, R., Treptow, E., Starling, M., Rosa, D.S., Pires, G.N., Andersen, M.L., & Tufik, S. (2020). Sleep and COVID-19: Considerations about immunity, pathophysiology, and treatment. _Sleep Science_, 13(3), 199–209. https://doi.org/10.5935/1984-0063.20200062

Vousden, N, Bunch, K, Morris, E, Simpson, N, Gale, C, O'Brien, P, Quigley, M, Brocklehurst, P, Kurinczuk, JJ, & Knight, M. (2021). The incidence, characteristics and outcomes of pregnant women hospitalized with symptomatic and asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection in the UK from March to September 2020: A national cohort study using the UK Obstetric Surveillance System (UKOSS). _PLOS ONE_, 16(5), e0251123. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251123

Wagnild, J.M., Hinshaw, K., & Pollard, T.M. (2019). Associations of sedentary time and self-reported television time during pregnancy with incident gestational diabetes and plasma glucose levels in women at risk of gestational diabetes in the UK. _BMC Public Health_, 19(1), https://doi.org/10.1186/S12889-019-6928-5

Wang, X., Wang, Y., & Liang, L. (2021). The efficacy of reduced-visit prenatal care model during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. _Medicine_, 100(15), e25435. https://doi.org/10.1097/md.0000000000025435

Wiersinga, W. J., Rhodes, A., Cheng, A. C., Peacock, S. J., & Prescott, H. C. (2020). Pathophysiology, Transmission, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A Review. _JAMA_, 324(8), 782–793. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.12839

Xiong, J., Lipsitz, O., Nasri, F., Lui, L. M. W., Gill, H., Phan, L., Chen-Li, D., Iacobucci, M., Ho, R., Majeed, A., & McIntyre, R. S. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: A systematic review. _Journal of Affective Disorders_, 277, 55–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.08.001

Yirmiya, K., Yakirevich-Amir, N., Preis, H., Lotan, A., Atzil, S., & Reuveni, I. (2021). Women's depressive symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic: The role of pregnancy. _International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health_, 18(8), 4298. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18084298