# Chemistry–A European Journal

## Supporting Information

## Template-Controlled Synthesis of Polyimidazolium Salts by Multiple [2+2] Cycloaddition Reactions

Christian B. Dobbe,<sup>[a]</sup> Ana Gutiérrez-Blanco,<sup>[a, b]</sup> Tristan T. Y. Tan,<sup>[a]</sup> Alexander Hepp,<sup>[a]</sup> Macarena Poyatos,<sup>[b]</sup> Eduardo Peris,<sup>[b]</sup> and F. Ekkehardt Hahn<sup>\*[a]</sup>

#### **Table of Contents**

1.	General comments	<b>S</b> 1
2.	Synthesis and characterization of new compounds	<b>S</b> 1
3.	NMR spectra of new compounds	<b>S</b> 7
4.	X-ray crystallography	S25
	Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for [3](BF <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (BPh <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ·4MeCN	S26
	Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for [4](BPh <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	S27
5.	Titration experiments	S28
5.1.	<sup>1</sup> H NMR titration experiments with $H_8$ - <b>5</b> (BF <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>8</sub>	S29
5.2.	<sup>1</sup> H NMR titration experiments with $H_4$ - <b>2</b> (BF <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	S41
5.3.	Job-Plots	S60
6.	DOSY experiments	S72
7.	References	S75

#### **1. General Information**

All reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques and high vacuum. THF was distilled by standard procedures prior to use from Na/benzophenone. Other solvents were used without further purification. Compounds 1,2,4,5-tetrakis(diethoxyphosphinyl-methyl)benzene<sup>[1]</sup> and 4-imidazole benzaldehyde<sup>[2]</sup> were prepared as described in the literature. NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) and 101 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}) on Bruker AVANCE I 400 and Bruker AVANCE III 400 spectrometers. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are expressed in ppm downfield from tetramethylsilane using the residual protonated solvent as an internal standard. NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature in MeCN-*d*<sub>3</sub> or DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>. Mass spectra were obtained with an Orbitrap LTQ XL (Thermo Scientific, ESI) or a Finnigan MAT95 (EI) spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed with a Vario EL III CHNS elemental analyzer. A Bruker AVANCE III 300.12 MHz spectrometer was used for NMR-titration experiments and a Varian Innova 500 MHz

#### 2. Synthesis and characterization of new compounds



Scheme S1. Synthesis of tetrakisimidazolium salts  $H_4$ -2(X)<sub>4</sub> (X = Br, BF<sub>4</sub>).

#### 2.1. Synthesis of compound 1



A solution of potassium *tert*-butoxide (2.61 g, 23.3 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added slowly to a solution of 4imidazole benzaldehyde (2.00 g, 11.6 mmol) and 1,2,4,5tetrakis(diethoxyphosphinylmethyl)benzene (1.31 g, 1.93 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at 0 °C. The solution was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C and was then warmed to 25 °C overnight while stirring. Methanol (25 mL) and water

(25 mL) were added to the reaction mixture leading to the precipitation of a yellow solid. The solid was isolated by filtration and washed with diethyl ether (3 × 15 mL). The solid was dried *in vacuo* to give compound **1** as a yellow solid. Yield: 1.06 g (1.41 mmol, 73.1%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 8.34 (s, 4H, H2), 8.13 (s, 2H, H13), 7.92 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.2 Hz, 8H, H8), 7.84 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 16.4 Hz, 4H, H11), 7.82 (s, 4H, H5), 7.73 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.2 Hz, 8H, H7), 7.42 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 16.4 Hz, 4H, H10), 7,14 (s, 4H, H4). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 136.0, 135.8, 135.4, 130.0, 129.9, 128.2, 120.2, 117.7. No 2D spectra could be recorded due to low solubility of **1**. MS (EI, positive ions): *m*/*z* (%) = 750 (10, calcd for [**1**]<sup>+</sup> 750). Anal. Calcd for **1**·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O (C<sub>50</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>8</sub>·1.5 H<sub>2</sub>O): C, 77.19; H, 5.31; N, 14.41. Found: C, 77.30; H, 5.15; N, 13.98.

#### 2.2. Synthesis of compound H<sub>4</sub>-2(Br)<sub>4</sub>



A suspension of 1-bromobutane (6.2 mL, 7.9 g, 57.7 mmol) and **1** (700 mg, 0.93 mmol) in DMF (40 mL) was stirred at 120 °C for 72 h. The suspension was then cooled to ambient temperature and filtered. The solid obtained was washed with DMF ( $2 \times 10$  mL), acetone ( $3 \times 15$  mL) and diethyl ether ( $3 \times 15$  mL). The solid was dried *in vacuo* to give compound H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(Br)<sub>4</sub> as a yellow solid. Yield: 1.03 g

(0.79 mmol, 85%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 10.07 (s, 4H, H2), 8.44 (s, 4H, H5) 8.24 (s, 2H, H13), 8.12 (s, 4H, H4), 8.11 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.2 Hz, 8H, H8), 7.99 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 16.1 Hz, 4H, H11), 7.91 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.2 Hz, 8H, H7), 7.59 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 16.1 Hz, 4H, H10), 4.31 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 7.2 Hz, 8H, H14), 1.99–1.84 (m, 8H, H15), 1.53–1.24 (m, 8H, H16), 0.95 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 7.4 Hz, 12H, H17). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 138.5 (C9), 135.1

(C12), 135.1 (C2), 133.7 (C6), 129.8 (C10), 128.4 (C8), 127.0 (C11), 123.8 (C13), 123.3 (C4), 121.7 (C7), 120.8 (C5), 49.1 (C14), 31.1 (C15), 18.8 (C16), 13.3 (C17). HRMS (ESI, positive ions): m/z (%) = 244.6501 (100, calcd for [2]<sup>4+</sup> 244.6509). Anal. Calcd for 2(Br)<sub>4</sub>·4 H<sub>2</sub>O (C<sub>66</sub>H<sub>74</sub>N<sub>8</sub>Br<sub>4</sub>·4 H<sub>2</sub>O): C, 57.82; H, 6.03; N, 8.18. Found: C, 57.87; H, 5.67; N, 8.28%.

#### 2.3 Synthesis of compound H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>



A sample of H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(Br)<sub>4</sub> (400 mg, 0.308 mmol) was dissolved in warm MeOH (20 mL). To the warm solution was added a solution of NH<sub>4</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> (258 mg, 2.46 mmol) in MeOH (5 mL). The resulting suspension was filtered and the obtained solid was washed with MeOH (2 × 5mL). The solid was brought to dryness *in vacuo* to give compound H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> as a yellow solid Yield: 260 mg (0.196 mmol, 64%). <sup>1</sup>H

NMR (400.1 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 9.85 (s, 4H, H2), 8.39 (s, 4H, H5) 8.19 (s, 2H, H13), 8.08 (s, br, 12H, H4, H8), 7.99 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 15.8$  Hz, 4H, H11), 7.87 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.4$  Hz, 8H, H7), 7.59 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 15.8$  Hz, 4H, H10), 4.29 (s, br, 8H, H14), 1.93 (s, br, 8H, H15), 1.39 (s, br, 8H, H16), 0.98 (s, br, 12H, H17).  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 139.1 (C9), 135.7 (C12), 135.6 (C2), 134.3 (C6), 130.4 (C10), 128.9 (C8), 127.7 (C11), 124.5 (C13), 123.9 (C4), 122.7 (C7), 121.5 (C5), 49.7 (C14), 31.6 (C15), 19.4 (C16), 13.8 (C17). HRMS (ESI, positive ions): m/z (%) = 244.6501 (100, calcd for [**2**]<sup>4+</sup> 244.6509), 355.2018 (15, calcd for [**2**+BF<sub>4</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> 355.2025).

#### 2.4. Synthesis of complex [3](BF4)4



A mixture of  $H_4$ -2(Br)<sub>4</sub> (100 mg, 0.075 mmol) and Ag<sub>2</sub>O (37 mg, 0.16 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) was stirred at 55 °C for 16 h. The methanol was then removed under reduced pressure and AgBF<sub>4</sub> (30 mg, 0.15 mmol) and acetonitrile (10 mL) were added. The resulting solution was stirred for 12 h at 55

°C. It was subsequently filtered twice trough Celite. The solvent was removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure and the remaining solid was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL). This solution

was again filtered through Celite. The filtrate was brought to dryness *in vacuo* to give compound [**3**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> as a yellow solid. Yield: 90 mg (0.033 mmol, 86%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 7.96 (s, 8H, H5), 7.86 (s, 12H, H4, H13), 7.76 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.2 Hz, 16H, H8), 7.66 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.2 Hz, 16H, H7), 7.54 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 16.0 Hz, 8H, H11), 7.31 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 16.0 Hz, 8H, H10), 4.39 (s, 16H, H14), 2.04 –1.88 (m, 16H, H15), 1.56–1.38 (m, 16H, H16), 1.02 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 7.2 Hz, 24H, H17). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 178.1 (d, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>AgC</sub> = 149 Hz, C2), 138.4 (C6), 137.2 (C9), 134.2 (C12), 129.2 (C10), 127.6(C8), 126.2 (C11), 123.6 (C13), 123.3 (C4), 123.2 (C7), 122.3 (C5), 51.7 (C14), 33.3 (C15), 19.4 (C16), 13.5 (C17). HRMS (ESI, positive ions): *m*/*z* (%) = 595.4418 (100, calcd for [**3**]<sup>4+</sup> 595.4422), 822.9297 (15, calcd for [[**3**]+BF<sub>4</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> 822.9242), 1277.8895 (2, calcd for [[**3**]+2(BF<sub>4</sub>)]<sup>2+</sup> 1277.8881).

#### 2.5. Synthesis of complex [4](BF4)4



In a quartz Schlenk tube, a solution of [**3**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (100 mg, 0.037 mmol) in acetonitrile (50 mL) was irradiated with a Philips mercury high-pressure lamp for 16 h at 25 °C. The solution was then

filtered through Celite and the filtrate was brought to dryness *in vacuo* to give compound [**4**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> as a brownish solid. The conversion from [**3**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> to [**4**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> was quantitative as judged by NMR spectroscopy. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 7.47 (s, br, 20H, H13, H8), 7.37 (d, br, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.3 Hz, 16H, H4, H5), 7.26 (d, br, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.4 Hz, 16H, H7), 5.32 (s, br, 8H, H11), 5.18 (s, br, 8H, H10), 4.24 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.0 Hz, 16H, H14), 1.94–1.87 (m, 16H, H15), 1.48–1.36 (m, 16H, H16), 1.00 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.3 Hz, 24H, H17). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 181.6 (C2), 143.2 (C9), 141.4 (C12), 139.4 (C6), 132.2 (C13), 131.2 (br, C8), 125.8 (C7), 123.6 (C5), 123.0 (C4), 52.8 (C14), 48.3 (C11), 44.3 (C10), 34.5 (C15), 20.7 (C16), 14.1 (C17). HRMS (ESI, positive ions): *m*/*z* (%) = 595.4459 (100, calcd for [**4**]<sup>4+</sup> 595.4422), 822.9297 (80, calcd for [[**4**]+BF<sub>4</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> 822.9242), 1277.8979 (10, calcd for [**[4**]+2(BF<sub>4</sub>)]<sup>2+</sup> 1277.8881).

#### 2.6. Synthesis of compound H<sub>8</sub>-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub>



A solution of [4](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (100 mg, 0.037 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) and NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (15.8 mg, 0.30 mmol) was stirred for 2 h at 25 °C. Immediately a white solid (AgCl) precipitated. The suspension was

filtered through Celite and NH<sub>4</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> (34.6 mg, 0.33 mmol) was added. The resulting solution was stirred at 25 °C for 2 h. After this period a brownish solid had precipitated which was isolated by filtration. The solid was dissolved in DMSO (2 mL) and water (4 mL) was added resulting in the precipitation of a brown solid. The solid was isolated by filtration, washed with diethyl ether (3 × 5 mL) and was brought to dryness *in vacuo* to give compound H<sub>8</sub>-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> as a brownish solid. Yield: 73 mg (0.028 mmol, 76%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 9.70 (s, 8H, H2), 8.24 (s, 8H, H5), 8.04 (s, 8H, H4), 7.79–7.57 (m, 32H, H7, H8), 7.24 (s, 4H, H13), 5.19 (s, 8H, H11), 5.10 (s, 8H, H10), 4.23 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 6.5 Hz, 16H, H14), 1.92–1.81 (m, 16H, H15), 1.38–1.27 (m, 16H, H16), 0.93 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 6.1 Hz, 24H, H17). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 143.2 (C9), 140.0 (C12), 134.7 (C2), 132.5 (C6), 130.3 (C8, C13), 123.4 (C4), 120.7 (C5, C7), 49.1 (C14), 48.6 (C11), 41.7 (C10), 31.0 (C15), 18.8 (C16), 13.2 (C17). HRMS (ESI, positive ions): *m/z* (%) = 244.7773 (1, calcd for [H<sub>8</sub>-**5**+2(BF<sub>4</sub>)]<sup>6+</sup> 355.3697), 443.8467 (40, calcd for [H<sub>8</sub>-**5**+3(BF<sub>4</sub>)]<sup>5+</sup> 443.8444), 576.3097 (20, calcd for [H<sub>8</sub>-**5**+4(BF<sub>4</sub>)]<sup>4+</sup> 576.3061).

#### 2.7. Synthesis of complex [6](BF4)4



A mixture of  $[3](BF_4)_4$  (100 mg, 0.037 mmol) and [AuCl(tht)] (52 mg, 0.16 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL) was stirred for 16 h at 55 °C. The solution was then filtered through Celite and the solvent was removed from the filtrate. The solid residue was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL)

and the solution was filtered through Celite. Then  $Et_2O$  was added to the filtrate leading to precipitation of a yellow solid. The solid was isolated by filtration, washed with diethyl ether  $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$  and brought to dryness *in vacuo* to give compound [**6**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> as a yellow solid. Yield:

80 mg (0.026 mmol, 70%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 7.95 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 1.6 Hz, 8H, H5), 7.91 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 1.6 Hz, 8H, H4), 7.87 (s, 4H, H13), 7.74 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.4 Hz, 16H, H7), 7.64 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.4 Hz, 16H, H8), 7.56 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 16.1 Hz, 8H, H11), 7.30 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 16.1 Hz, 8H, H10), 4.44 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 6.7 Hz, 16H, H14), 2.05–1.94 (m, 16H, H15), 1.50–1.38 (m, 16H, H16), 1.02 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 7.2 Hz, 24H, H17). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 180.3 (C2), 137.5 (C9), 137.3 (C6), 134.2 (C12), 129.3 (C10), 127.4 (C8), 126.3 (C11), 124.1 (C7), 123.5 (C13), 123.3 (C4), 122.9 (C5), 51.0 (C14), 33.0 (C15), 19.3 (C16), 13.51 (C17). HRMS (ESI, positive ions): *m*/*z* (%) = 684.5022 (100, calcd for [**6**]<sup>4+</sup> 684.5035), 941.6711 (80, calcd for [**6**]+BF<sub>4</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> 941.6726), 1456.0096 (10, calcd for [**6**]+2(BF<sub>4</sub>)]<sup>2+</sup> 1456.0109).

#### 2.8. Synthesis of complex [7](BF4)4



**Route A.** A solution of [**6**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (120 mg, 0.039 mmol) in acetonitrile (50 mL) in a quartz Schlenk flask was irradiated with a Philips mercury high-pressure lamp for 16 h at 25 °C. The solution

was then filtered through Celite and the filtrate was brought to dryness *in vacuo* to give compound  $[7](BF_4)_4$  as a brown solid. The conversion of  $[6](BF_4)_4$  to  $[7](BF_4)_4$  was quantitative as judged by NMR spectroscopy.

**Route B.** A mixture of [**4**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (80 mg, 0.029 mmol) and [AuCl(tht)] (38 mg, 0.12 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL) was stirred at 55 °C for 16 h. The solution was filtered through Celite and the solvent was removed from the filtrate *in vacuo*. The solid obtained was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) and filtered through Celite. Then Et<sub>2</sub>O was added to the filtrate which caused the precipitation of a yellow solid. The solid was isolated by filtration, washed with diethyl ether (3 × 5 mL) and brought to dryness *in vacuo* to give compound [**7**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> as a brown solid. Yield: 52 mg (17 mmol, 59%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 7.95–7.16 (m, 52H, H4, H5, H7, H8, H13), 5.34 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 4.7 Hz, 8H, H11), 5.20 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 4.7 Hz, 8H, H10), 4.41–4.28 (m, 16H, H14), 1.95–1.92 (m, 16H, H15), 1.51–1.35 (m, 16H, H16), 1.05–0.96 (m, 24H, H17). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 184.1 (C2), 143.6 (C9), 141.4 (C12), 138.3 (C6), 132.6 (C8'), 131.6 (C13), 129.7 (C8), 126.3 (C7), 126.2 (C7'), 124.1 (C5), 123.1 (C4), 52.1 (C14), 48.1 (C11), 44.3 (C10), 34.3 (C15), 20.7 (C16), 14.1 (C17). HRMS (ESI, positive ions): *m/z* (%) = 684.5034 (100, calcd for [**7**]<sup>4+</sup> 684.5035).

## 3. NMR spectra of new compounds







**Figure S3.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 Hz) of H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(Br)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S4.**  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (101 Hz) of H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(Br)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S5. <sup>1</sup>H<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum (400 MHz) of H<sub>4</sub>-2(Br)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S6. <sup>1</sup>H<sup>13</sup>C HMBC spectrum (400 MHz, 101 MHz) of H<sub>4</sub>-2(Br)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S7.** <sup>1</sup>H<sup>13</sup>C HSQC spectrum (400 MHz, 101 MHz) of H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(Br)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S8. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 Hz) of H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S9.** <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 Hz) of H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S10.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 Hz) of [**3**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S11.** <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 Hz) of [**3**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S12.** <sup>1</sup>H<sup>13</sup>C HMBC spectrum (400 MHz, 101 MHz) of [**3**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S13. <sup>1</sup>H<sup>13</sup>C HSQC spectrum (400 MHz, 101 MHz) of [3](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S14.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 Hz) of [**4**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in MeCN-*d*<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure S15.** <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 Hz) of [**4**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in MeCN-*d*<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S16.  ${}^{1}H^{1}H$  COSY spectrum (400 MHz) of [4](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure S17.** <sup>1</sup>H<sup>13</sup>C HMBC spectrum (400 MHz, 101 MHz) of [**4**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S18.** <sup>1</sup>H<sup>13</sup>C HSQC spectrum (400 MHz, 101 MHz) of [**4**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S19. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 Hz) of H<sub>8</sub>-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S20.** <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 Hz) of H<sub>8</sub>-**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S21. <sup>1</sup>H<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum (400 MHz) of H<sub>8</sub>-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S22. <sup>1</sup>H<sup>13</sup>C HMBC spectrum (400 MHz, 101 MHz) of H<sub>8</sub>-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.

S17



Figure S23. <sup>1</sup>H<sup>13</sup>C HSQC spectrum (400 MHz, 101 MHz) of H<sub>8</sub>-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S24. <sup>1</sup>H<sup>1</sup>H ROESY spectrum (400 MHz) of H<sub>8</sub>-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>.

S18



**Figure S25.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 Hz) of [6](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S26.** <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 Hz) of [**6**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S27.  ${}^{1}H^{1}H$  COSY spectrum (400 MHz) of [6](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure S28.** <sup>1</sup>H<sup>13</sup>C HMBC spectrum (400 MHz, 101 MHz) of [**6**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S29. <sup>1</sup>H<sup>13</sup>C HSQC spectrum (400 MHz, 101 MHz) of [6](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Figure S30.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 Hz) of [**7**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S31.** <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 Hz) of [**7**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Figure S32.** <sup>1</sup>H<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum (400 MHz) of [**7**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S33.** <sup>1</sup>H<sup>13</sup>C HMBC spectrum (400 MHz, 101 MHz) of [**7**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure S34. <sup>1</sup>H<sup>13</sup>C HSQC spectrum (400 MHz, 101 MHz) of [7](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S35.  ${}^{1}H^{1}H$  ROESY spectrum (400 MHz) of [7](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO- $d_6$ .

#### 4. X-ray crystallography

Colorless crystals of  $[3](BF_4)_2(BPh_4)_2 \cdot 4MeCN$  were obtained by stirring samples of  $[3](BF_4)_4$ (50 mg, 0.018 mmol) and NaBPh<sub>4</sub> (25.3 mg, 0.074 mmol) in acetonitrile followed by diffusion of diethyl ether into the acetonitrile solution. Single crystals of  $[3](BF_4)_2(BPh_4)_2 \cdot 4MeCN$  were mounted on a MicroMount® polymer tip (MiteGen) in random orientation. Diffraction data were collected on an Agilent SuperNova diffractometer equipped with an Atlas CCD detector using CuK $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.54184$  Å). The raw data were corrected for absorption. A structure solution was found using Olex2<sup>[3]</sup> and SHELXT<sup>[4]</sup> and the structure was refined using the SHELXL program package.<sup>[5]</sup> Hydrogen atoms were introduced to the structure model on calculated positions and were refined as riding atoms. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. An enhanced rigid distance restraint (SHELX SADI) and rigid bond restraint (SHELX RIGU) were applied to the positional parameters of the *n*-butyl groups. Disordered solvent molecules complicated the refinement. A solvent mask procedure was used to treat the significant disorder of the solvent molecules. The positional parameters of solvent molecules (diethyl ether) located inside the cylinder-like cavity of  $[3]^{4+}$  could not be refined and the SQUEEZE procedure was employed to remove the effects of these solvent molecules.

Colorless crystals of [4](BPh<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> were obtained by stirring samples of [4](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (50 mg, 0.018 mmol) and NaBPh<sub>4</sub> (25.3 mg, 0.074 mmol) in acetonitrile, adding of THF and cooling of the resulting solution. Diffraction data for [4](BPh<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> were collected with a Bruker APEX-II CCD diffractometer equipped with a rotation anode using graphite-monochromated Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). Diffraction data were collected over the full sphere and were corrected for absorption. Structure solutions were found with SHELXT<sup>[4]</sup> using direct methods and were refined with SHELXL<sup>[5]</sup> against |F<sup>2</sup>| of all data using first isotropic and later anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms were added to the structure model on calculated positions.

Important crystal details and structure refinement parameters are summarized in Tables S1 and Table S2. Further crystallographic details can be found in the CIF files, which were deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, Cambridge, UK. The reference number for compounds [**3**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(BPh<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4MeCN and [**4**](BPh<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> are 1972486 and 1972487, respectively.

Empirical formula	$C_{188}H_{192}N_{20}Ag_4B_4F_8$
Formula weight	3358.32
Temperature, K	204(6)
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	I2/a
<i>a</i> , Å	14.0718(7)
b, Å	49.980(3)
c, Å	24.3788(10)
α, deg	90.0
$\beta$ , deg	92.963(4)
γ, deg	90.0
Volume, Å <sup>3</sup>	17123.0(15)
Ζ	4
$ ho_{ m calcd},  { m g} \cdot { m cm}^{-3}$	1.303
$\mu$ , mm <sup>-1</sup>	4.145
F(000)	6960
Crystal size, mm <sup>3</sup>	0.45×0.06×0.03
Radiation	$CuK\alpha (\lambda = 1.54184 \text{ Å})$
$2\Theta$ range, deg	6.4 to 133.2
Index ranges	−13≤h≤16, −54≤k≤59, −21≤l≤29
Intensities collected	29996
Independent intensities	15029 ( $R_{\text{int}} = 0.1131$ )
Observed intensities ( $I \ge 2\sigma(I)$ )	))6573
Data/restraints/parameters	15029/53/1016
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	0.934
Final $R$ (I $\ge 2\sigma$ (I))	R = 0.0869, wR = 0.2192
Final <i>R</i> (all data)	R = 0.1522, wR = 0.2769

**Table S1.** Crystal data and structure refinement details for [**3**](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(BPh<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4MeCN

Empirical formula	$C_{228}H_{220}N_{16}Ag_4B_4$
Formula weight	3658.91
Temperature, K	296(2)
Crystal system	orthorhombic
Space group	Fdd2
<i>a</i> , Å	55.073(2)
b, Å	25.2451(9)
c, Å	34.4583(12)
α, deg	90.0
$\beta$ , deg	90.0
γ, deg	90.0
Volume, Å <sup>3</sup>	47909(3)
Z	8
$\rho_{\rm calcd},  {\rm g/cm^3}$	1.015
$\mu$ , mm <sup>-1</sup>	0.370
F(000)	15264
Crystal size, mm <sup>3</sup>	0.35×0.35×0.09
Radiation	$MoK\alpha \ (\lambda = 0.71073)$
$2\Theta$ range, deg	2.1 to 51.6
Index ranges	
Intensities collected	145539
Independent intensities	22920 ( $R_{\text{int}} = 0.0979$ )
Observed intensities ( $I \ge 2\sigma(I)$	<i>I</i> ))21868
Data/restraints/parameters	22920/1751/961
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.192
Final $R$ (I $\ge 2\sigma(I)$ )	R = 0.1245, wR = 0.3299
Final <i>R</i> (all data)	R = 0.1293, wR = 0.3333

**Table S2.** Crystal data and structure refinement details for  $[4](BPh_4)_4$ 

#### **5.** Titration experiments

The anion recognition capability of salts  $H_{4}-2(BF_{4})_{4}$  and  $H_{8}-5(BF_{4})_{8}$  (hosts) was studied by <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration experiments, by addition of increasing amounts of the tetrabutylammonium salts of chloride, bromide, nitrate, benzoate, ATP<sup>-</sup> and ibuprofen<sup>-</sup>. The experiments were carried out in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> at constant concentration of the host (2 mM except for NBu<sub>4</sub>ATP 0.5 mM). Two solutions were prepared. Solution A (only containing the hosts at 2 mM or 0.5 mM) and solution B (containing hosts at 2 mM or 0.5 mM and guests at 53.6 mM or 13.4 mM). The addition of increasing amounts of solution B to solution A produced a shift of the resonances for the aromatic protons of the hosts. Generally, a non-cooperative model with parameter restrictions 1:2 (H:G) was used for the system H<sub>8</sub>-**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with the tetrabutyl ammonium salts. For the system H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with the tetrabutyl ammonium salts two methods were used, a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model and a model without parameter restrictions.

Entry	Host	Guest	$K_{11} (M^{-1})$	$K_{12} (M^{-1})$
1	$H_{4}-2(BF_{4})_{4}$	ATP <sup>-</sup>	$^{a}1.60(2) \ge 10^{3}$	$4.0x \ 10^2$
			<sup>b</sup> 1.7(1) x 10 <sup>3</sup>	<sup>b</sup> 2.5(2)x 10 <sup>2</sup>
2	$H_4-2(BF_4)_4$	benzoate <sup>-</sup>	<sup>a</sup> 1.97(4) x 10 <sup>2</sup>	49
			<sup>b</sup> 290(8)	<sup>b</sup> 34(2)
3	$H_{4}-2(BF_{4})_{4}$	Br <sup>_</sup>	<sup>a</sup> 45(1)	10
			<sup>b</sup> 40(1)	<sup>b</sup> N/A
4	$H_4-2(BF_4)_4$	Cl <sup>_</sup>	<sup>a</sup> 70(1)	18
			<sup>b</sup> 60(2)	<sup>b</sup> N/A
5	$H_{4}-2(BF_{4})_{4}$	ibuprofen <sup>-</sup>	<sup>a</sup> 133(2)	33
			<sup>b</sup> 91	<sup>b</sup> N/A
6	$H_4-2(BF_4)_4$	$NO_3^-$	<sup>a</sup> 31(1)	8
			<sup>b</sup> 29(1)	<sup>b</sup> N/A
7	$H_{8}$ -5(BF <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>8</sub>	ATP <sup>-</sup>	8.4(4) x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.1 x 10 <sup>3</sup>
8	$H_{8}-5(BF_{4})_{8}$	benzoate <sup>-</sup>	$7.4(1) \ge 10^2$	$1.8 \ge 10^2$
9	$H_{8}-5(BF_{4})_{8}$	Br <sup>_</sup>	86(3)	21
10	$H_{8}-5(BF_{4})_{8}$	Cl-	$4.53(5) \ge 10^2$	$1.25 \ge 10^2$
11	$H_{8}$ - <b>5</b> (BF <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>8</sub>	ibuprofen <sup>-</sup>	$7.6(1) \ge 10^2$	$1.9 \ge 10^2$
12	$H_{8}-5(BF_{4})_{8}$	$NO_3^-$	390(9)	98

**Table S3.** Association constants for the formation of host-guest complexes between salts H<sub>4</sub>- $2(BF_4)$  and H<sub>8</sub>- $5(BF_4)_8$  and some selected anions, in DMSO- $d_6$  at 25°C.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Association constants were calculated by global nonlinear regression analysis<sup>[6]</sup> and assuming a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model.<sup>[7]</sup> All anions added as tetrabutyl ammonium salts. <sup>b</sup>Association constants calculated without parameter restrictions. N/A: the value resulting from the fitting was too small or did not have any physical meaning.

### 5.1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration experiments with H<sub>8</sub>-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub>

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### a. Br<sup>-</sup> titration

14	11 10	3	8	4 7-	5	2
M	~	20 eq		r	٨	۸.
A	~	16 eq			٨	 L
M	~~	12 eq		N	٨	L
M		10 eq		N	1	
A	~~	8 eq		$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}$	λ	L
M	~~	6 eq		L	1	L
M	~~~	4 eq		L	Λ	L
M	~~	3 eq		L	L	L
M		2.4 eq		L	1	L
M	~~	2.0 eq		L	L	J
M	~~~	1.5 eq		L	L	1
M	~~	1.0 eq		1	L	L
M	~~~	0.78 eq		1	L	l
M		0.52 eq		1	1	1
A	~~	0.26 eq		1	L	 L
 M	~ ^	0 eq	٨.	ι	٨	٨

**Figure S36.** Partial <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) changes observed for the host H<sub>8</sub>-**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> in DMSO- $d_6$  during the addition of Br<sup>-</sup>.

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

Table S4. Data values from the titration study of H<sub>8</sub>-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with Br<sup>-</sup>

**Figure S37.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated nonlinear regression analysis and assuming a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2 and H5 during titration experiments of  $H_8$ -**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with Br<sup>-</sup>. The graph on the left represents the speciation profiles.

#### b. benzoate titration

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure S38.** Partial <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) changes observed for the host H<sub>8</sub>-**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> in DMSO $d_6$  during the addition of benzoate.

H <sub>8</sub> -5(BF <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>8</sub>	NBu <sub>4</sub> PhCOO	Eq	$\delta_{H2}$	$\delta_{\rm H5}$	$\delta_{H4}$	$\Delta\delta_{\rm H2}$	$\Delta\delta_{\rm H5}$	$\Delta\delta_{\rm H4}$
M / mol	M / mol	NBu <sub>4</sub> PhCOO	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.00	0.00	9.706	8.243	8.047	0.000	0.000	0.000
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.30 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.26	9.709	8.243	8.047	0.003	0.000	0.000
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.05 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.52	9.724	8.245	8.046	0.018	0.002	-0.001
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.56 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.78	9.751	8.249	8.045	0.045	0.006	-0.002
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.06 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.0	9.782	8.253	8.043	0.076	0.010	-0.004
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.03 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.5	9.847	8.262	8.040	0.141	0.019	-0.007
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.97 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.0	9.914	8.271	8.037	0.208	0.028	-0.010
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.87 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.4	9.969	8.279	8.035	0.263	0.036	-0.012
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.17 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.1	10.050	8.291	8.031	0.344	0.048	-0.016
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.18 \cdot 10^{-3}$	4.1	10.157	8.307	8.028	0.451	0.064	-0.019
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.20 \cdot 10^{-2}$	6.0	10.299	8.330	8.025	0.593	0.087	-0.022
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.61 \cdot 10^{-2}$	8.0	10.399	8.349	8.024	0.693	0.106	-0.023
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.01 \cdot 10^{-2}$	10.0	10.464	8.362	8.024	0.758	0.119	-0.023
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.41 \cdot 10^{-2}$	12.0	10.512	8.372	8.025	0.806	0.129	-0.022
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.21 \cdot 10^{-2}$	16.0	10.550	8.381	8.027	0.844	0.138	-0.020
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.02 \cdot 10^{-2}$	20.0	10.568	8.385	8.028	0.862	0.142	-0.019

Table S5. Data values from the titration study of  $H_8$ -5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with benzoate

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S39.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated nonlinear regression analysis and assuming a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2, H4 and H5 during titration experiments of  $H_8$ -5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with benzoate. The graph on the left represents the speciation profiles.

#### c. Cl<sup>-</sup> titration

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure S40.** Partial <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) changes observed for the host H<sub>8</sub>-**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> in DMSO $d_6$  during the addition of Cl<sup>-</sup>.

H <sub>8</sub> -5(BF <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>8</sub>	NBu <sub>4</sub> Cl	Eq	$\delta_{H2}$	$\delta_{\rm H5}$	$\delta_{\rm H4}$	$\Delta\delta_{\rm H2}$	$\Delta\delta_{\rm H5}$	$\Delta\delta_{\rm H4}$
M / mol	M / mol	NBu <sub>4</sub> Cl	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.00	0.00	9.705	8.242	8.047	0	0	0
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.30 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.26	9.708	8.243	8.046	0.003	0.001	-0.001
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.05 \cdot 10^3$	0.52	9.717	8.244	8.045	0.012	0.002	-0.002
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.56 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.78	9.73	8.247	8.045	0.025	0.005	-0.002
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.06 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.0	9.745	8.251	8.044	0.04	0.009	-0.003
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.03 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.5	9.777	8.258	8.043	0.072	0.016	-0.004
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.97 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.0	9.81	8.265	8.042	0.105	0.023	-0.005
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.87 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.4	9.843	8.273	8.041	0.138	0.031	-0.006
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	6.16·10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.1	9.887	8.284	8.04	0.182	0.042	-0.007
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.17 \cdot 10^{-3}$	4.1	9.947	8.299	8.04	0.242	0.057	-0.007
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.00 \cdot 10^{-2}$	5.0	9.996	8.312	8.039	0.291	0.07	-0.008
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.21 \cdot 10^{-2}$	6.0	10.038	8.323	8.039	0.333	0.081	-0.008
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$14.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$	7.1	10.081	8.335	8.039	0.376	0.093	-0.008
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.61 \cdot 10^{-2}$	8.1	10.11	8.344	8.04	0.405	0.102	-0.007
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.81 \cdot 10^{-2}$	9.0	10.135	8.351	8.039	0.43	0.109	-0.008
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.05 \cdot 10^{-2}$	10.2	10.165	8.36	8.041	0.46	0.118	-0.006
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.22 \cdot 10^{-2}$	11.1	10.18	8.365	8.042	0.475	0.123	-0.005
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.42 \cdot 10^{-2}$	12.1	10.197	8.37	8.042	0.492	0.128	-0.005
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.61 \cdot 10^{-2}$	13.0	10.211	8.375	8.043	0.506	0.133	-0.004
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.82 \cdot 10^{-2}$	14.0	10.223	8.379	8.043	0.518	0.137	-0.004
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.03 \cdot 10^{-2}$	15.1	10.236	8.383	8.044	0.531	0.141	-0.003
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.22 \cdot 10^{-2}$	16.1	10.244	8.385	8.045	0.539	0.143	-0.002
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.61 \cdot 10^{-2}$	18.0	10.256	8.39	8.046	0.551	0.148	-0.001
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.03 \cdot 10^{-2}$	20.1	10.267	8.393	8.046	0.562	0.151	-0.001

Table S6. Data values from the titration study of  $H_8$ -5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with Cl<sup>-</sup>

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S41.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated nonlinear regression analysis and assuming a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2, H4 and H5 during titration experiments of H<sub>8</sub>-**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with Cl<sup>-</sup>. The graph on the left represents the speciation profiles.
### d. NO3- titration



**Figure S42.** Partial <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) changes observed for the host H<sub>8</sub>-**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> in DMSO- $d_6$  during the addition of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.

Table S7.	Data values	s from the	e titration	study of 1	$H_{8}$ -5(BF <sub>4</sub> )8	with NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>
I able D/		, monn und	/ initiation	Study Of I	<b>10 2(DI</b> 4)0	with 1005

$H_{0-}$ <b>5</b> ( <b>BE</b> <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>0</sub>	NBu NO <sub>2</sub>	Fa	δ.v.a	S	Δδιια	Δδιισ
M / mol	M / mol	NBu <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]
2.00.10-3	0	0	9.707	0	8.243	0
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	5.31.10-4	0.26	9.709	0.002	8.244	0.002
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.05 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.52	9.713	0.006	8.245	0.006
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.56 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.78	9.714	0.007	8.246	0.007
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.06 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.0	9.717	0.01	8.247	0.01
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.04 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.5	9.724	0.017	8.25	0.017
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.97 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.0	9.729	0.022	8.252	0.022
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.88 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.4	9.733	0.026	8.254	0.026
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.17 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.1	9.74	0.033	8.257	0.033
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.18 \cdot 10^{-3}$	4.1	9.748	0.041	8.261	0.041
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.20 \cdot 10^{-2}$	6.0	9.761	0.054	8.267	0.054
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.61 \cdot 10^{-2}$	8.0	9.772	0.065	8.272	0.065
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.01 \cdot 10^{-2}$	10.0	9.78	0.073	8.276	0.073
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.42 \cdot 10^{-2}$	12.1	9.786	0.079	8.279	0.079
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.22 \cdot 10^{-2}$	16.1	9.793	0.086	8.282	0.086
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.02 \cdot 10^{-2}$	20.1	9.794	0.087	8.283	0.087



**Figure S43.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated nonlinear regression analysis and assuming a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2 and H5 during titration experiments of  $H_8$ -**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. The graph on the left represents the speciation profiles.

## e. ibuprofen<sup>-</sup> titration



**Figure S44.** Partial <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) changes observed for the host  $H_8$ -**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> in DMSO*d*<sub>6</sub> during the addition of ibuprofen<sup>-</sup>.

$H_8-5(BF_4)_8$	(NBu <sub>4</sub> )(ibuprofen)	Eq	$\delta_{H2}$	$\delta_{\rm H5}$	$\delta_{H4}$	$\Delta\delta_{H2}$	$\Delta\delta_{H5}$	$\Delta\delta_{H4}$
M / mol	M / mol	(NBu <sub>4</sub> )(ibuprofen)	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.00	0.00	9.708	8.244	8.049	0.000	0.000	-0.000
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.33 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.27	9.709	8.243	8.047	0.001	-0.001	-0.000
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.05 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.53	9.722	8.246	8.047	0.014	0.002	-0.000
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.57 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.78	9.748	8.248	8.044	0.040	0.004	-0.005
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	<b>2.07</b> ·10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.0	9.778	8.253	8.042	0.070	0.009	-0.007
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.04 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.5	9.850	8.261	8.036	0.142	0.017	-0.013
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	<b>3.99</b> · 10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.0	9.916	8.268	8.031	0.208	0.024	-0.018
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.89 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.4	9.993	8.277	8.025	0.285	0.033	-0.024
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.19 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.1	10.084	8.288	8.018	0.376	0.044	-0.031
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	8.20.10-3	4.1	10.208	8.304	8.008	0.500	0.060	-0.041
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.21 \cdot 10^{-2}$	6.0	10.382	8.328	7.995	0.674	0.084	-0.054
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.61 \cdot 10^{-2}$	8.1	10.496	8.346	7.988	0.788	0.102	-0.061
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.01 \cdot 10^{-2}$	10.1	10.568	8.358	7.985	0.860	0.114	-0.064
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.42 \cdot 10^{-2}$	12.1	10.617	8.367	7.983	0.909	0.123	-0.066
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.23.10-2	16.1	10.671	8.379	7.980	0.963	0.135	-0.069
$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.03 \cdot 10^{-2}$	20.1	10.686	8.382	7.980	0.978	0.138	-0.069

Table S8. Data values from the titration study of  $H_8$ -5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with ibuprofen<sup>-</sup>



**Figure S45.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated nonlinear regression analysis and assuming a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2, H4 and H5 during titration experiments of  $H_8$ -**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with ibuprofen<sup>-</sup>. The graph on the left represents the speciation profiles.

## f. ATP<sup>-</sup> titration



**Figure S46.** Partial <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) changes observed for the host  $H_8$ -**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> in DMSO*d*<sub>6</sub> during the addition of ATP<sup>-</sup>.

$H_8-5(BF_4)_8$	(NBu <sub>4</sub> )ATP	Eq	$\delta_{H2}$	$\Delta\delta_{H2}$
M / mol	M / mol	(NBu <sub>4</sub> )ATP	[ppm]	[ppm]
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.00	0.00	9.714	0.000
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.32 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.27	9.715	0.001
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.62 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.53	9.721	0.007
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	3.89.10-4	0.78	9.735	0.021
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	1.0	9.747	0.033
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	7.56.10-4	1.5	9.786	0.072
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	9.89·10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.0	9.821	0.107
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.21 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.4	9.848	0.134
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.53 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.1	9.882	0.168
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.04 \cdot 10^{-3}$	4.1	9.922	0.208
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	3.00 ·10 <sup>-3</sup>	6.0	9.965	0.251
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	8.1	9.979	0.265
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$5.01 \cdot 10^{-3}$	10.1	9.991	0.277
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	6.02 ·10 <sup>-3</sup>	12.1	9.989	0.275
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	8.01 ·10 <sup>-3</sup>	16.1	9.997	0.283
$5.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.00 \cdot 10^{-2}$	20.1	9.995	0.281

Table S9. Data values from the titration study of  $H_8$ -5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with ATP<sup>-</sup>



**Figure S47.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated nonlinear regression analysis and assuming a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2 during titration experiments of H<sub>8</sub>- $5(BF_4)_4$  with ATP<sup>-</sup>. The graph on the left represents the speciation profiles.

# 5.2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration experiments with H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>



### a. Br<sup>–</sup> titration

	5 13 4+8 11 7 10		14
		20 eq	M
L		16 eq	M
		12 eq	M
٨		10 eq	M
٨		8 eq	M
L	L. M.M.	6 eq	M
λ	Mut	4 eq	M
λ	Mutu	3 eq	M
1	Mm_n	2.4 eq	
	l.M.M	2.0 eq	M
	l_M_M	1.5 eq	M
1		1.0 eq	M
1		0.77 eq	M
1	MM_n	0.52 eq	
	M.M	0.26 eq	
λ	A A MAAM AA	0 eq	M

**Figure S48.** Partial <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) changes observed for the host H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO- $d_6$  during the addition of Br<sup>-</sup>.

$H_4-2(BF_4)_4$	NBu <sub>4</sub> Br	Eq	$\delta_{H2}$	$\delta_{H5}$	$\Delta \delta_{\rm H2}$	$\Delta \delta_{ m H5}$
M / mol	M / mol	NBu <sub>4</sub> Br	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.00	0.00	9.846	8.378	0.000	0.000
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	5.30.10-4	0.26	9.852	8.380	0.006	0.002
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.05 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.52	9.857	8.381	0.011	0.003
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.56 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.77	9.863	8.383	0.017	0.005
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.06 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.0	9.867	8.383	0.021	0.005
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.03 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.5	9.875	8.386	0.029	0.008
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.97 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.0	9.882	8.387	0.036	0.009
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.87 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.4	9.889	8.389	0.043	0.011
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	6.16·10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.0	9.897	8.392	0.051	0.014
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.17 \cdot 10^{-3}$	4.1	9.907	8.393	0.061	0.015
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.14 \cdot 10^{-2}$	5.7	9.927	8.399	0.081	0.021
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.61 \cdot 10^{-2}$	8.0	9.945	8.404	0.099	0.026
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.01 \cdot 10^{-2}$	10.0	9.957	8.407	0.111	0.029
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.41 \cdot 10^{-2}$	12.0	9.967	8.410	0.121	0.032
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.21 \cdot 10^{-2}$	15.9	9.979	8.413	0.133	0.035
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.02 \cdot 10^{-2}$	19.9	9.985	8.415	0.139	0.037

Table S10. Data values from the titration study of  $H_4$ -2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with Br<sup>-</sup>



Plot with a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model:

**Figure S49.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated nonlinear regression analysis and assuming a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2 and H5 during titration experiments of H<sub>4</sub>- $2(BF_4)_4$  with Br<sup>-</sup>. The graph on the left represents the speciation profiles.

Plot without parameter restrictions:



**Figure S50.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated without parameter restriction 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2 during titration experiments of  $2(BF_4)_4$  with Br<sup>-</sup>. The Figure on the left represents the speciation profiles.

### b. benzoate titration



**Figure S51.** Partial <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) changes observed for the host H<sub>4</sub>- $2(BF_4)_4$  in DMSO*d*<sub>6</sub> during the addition of benzoate.

$H_4-2(BF_4)_4$	NBu <sub>4</sub> PhCOO	Eq	$\delta_{H2}$	$\delta_{\rm H7}$	$\Delta \delta_{\rm H2}$	$\Delta\delta_{ m H7}$
M / mol	M / mol	NBu <sub>4</sub> PhCOO	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.00	0.00	9.848	7.854	0.000	0.000
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	5.33.10-4	0.26	9.880	7.860	0.032	0.006
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.06 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.52	9.912	7.864	0.064	0.010
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.57 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.78	9.944	7.871	0.096	0.017
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.07 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.0	9.971	7.876	0.123	0.022
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.05 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.5	10.019	7.884	0.171	0.030
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.99 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.0	10.059	7.891	0.211	0.037
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.90 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.4	10.092	7.897	0.244	0.043
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.20 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.1	10.132	7.904	0.284	0.050
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.22 \cdot 10^{-3}$	4.1	10.188	7.914	0.340	0.060
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.21 \cdot 10^{-2}$	6.0	10.242	7.924	0.394	0.070
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.62 \cdot 10^{-2}$	8.0	10.298	7.933	0.450	0.079
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.02 \cdot 10^{-2}$	10.0	10.346	7.941	0.498	0.087
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.43 \cdot 10^{-2}$	12.0	10.389	7.949	0.541	0.095
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.23 \cdot 10^{-2}$	16.0	10.432	7.958	0.584	0.104
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.04 \cdot 10^{-2}$	20.0	10.460	7.962	0.612	0.108

Table S11. Data values from the titration study of H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with benzoate



Plot with a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model:

**Figure S52.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated nonlinear regression analysis and assuming a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2 and H7 during titration experiments of H<sub>4</sub>- $2(BF_4)_4$  with benzoate<sup>–</sup>. The graph on the left represents the speciation profiles.

Plot without parameter restrictions:



**Figure 53.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated without parameter restriction 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2 and H7 during titration experiments of H<sub>4</sub>- $2(BF_4)_4$  with benzoate<sup>-</sup>. The Figure on the left represents the speciation profiles.

### c. $Cl^-$ titration



**Figure S54.** Partial <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) changes observed for the host H<sub>4</sub>- $2(BF_4)_4$  in DMSO*d*<sub>6</sub> during the addition Cl<sup>-</sup>.

$H_4-2(BE_4)_4$	NBu4C1	Fa	διια	Δδιιο
M / mol	M / mol	NBu <sub>4</sub> Cl	[ppm]	
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.00	0.00	9.847	0.000
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.32 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.26	9.863	0.016
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.05 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.52	9.879	0.032
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.56 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.78	9.892	0.045
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.07 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.0	9.903	0.056
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.04 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.5	9.928	0.081
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.98·10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.0	9.947	0.100
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.88 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.4	9.966	0.119
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	6.18·10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.1	9.988	0.141
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	8.19·10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.1	10.017	0.170
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.21 \cdot 10^{-2}$	6.0	10.063	0.216
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.53 \cdot 10^{-2}$	7.6	10.101	0.254
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.01 \cdot 10^{-2}$	10.0	10.130	0.283
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.42 \cdot 10^{-2}$	12.0	10.155	0.308
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.22 \cdot 10^{-2}$	15.9	10.180	0.333
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.03 \cdot 10^{-2}$	19.9	10.191	0.344

Table S12. Data values from the titration study of  $H_4$ -2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with Cl<sup>-</sup>



Plot with a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model:

**Figure S55.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated nonlinear regression analysis and assuming a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2 during titration experiments of H<sub>4</sub>- $2(BF_4)_4$  with Cl<sup>-</sup>. The graph on the left represents the speciation profiles.

Plot without parameter restrictions:



**Figure S56.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated without parameter restriction 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2 during titration experiments of  $H_4$ -2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with Cl<sup>-</sup>. The Figure on the left represents the speciation profiles.

### d. NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> titration



**Figure S57.** Partial <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) changes observed for the host H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO- $d_6$  during the addition NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.

Table S13. Data values from the titration study of  $H_4$ -2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>

$H_4-2(BF_4)_4$	NBu <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	Eq	$\delta_{H2}$	$\Delta\delta_{\rm H2}$
M / mol	M / mol	$NBu_4NO_3$	[ppm]	[ppm]
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.00	0.00	9.848	0
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.31 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.26	9.849	0.001
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.05 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.52	9.851	0.003
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.56 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.77	9.852	0.004
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.06 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.0	9.853	0.005
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.03 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.5	9.854	0.006
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.97 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.0	9.856	0.008
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.17 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.0	9.86	0.012
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.18 \cdot 10^{-3}$	4.0	9.862	0.014
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.21 \cdot 10^{-2}$	6.0	9.867	0.019
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.01 \cdot 10^{-2}$	10.0	9.875	0.027
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.21.10-2	16.0	9.879	0.031
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.02 \cdot 10^{-2}$	19.9	9.881	0.033



Plot with a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model:

**Figure S58.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated nonlinear regression analysis and assuming a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2 during titration experiments of H<sub>4</sub>- $2(BF_4)_4$  with NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. The graph on the left represents the speciation profiles.

Plot without parameter restrictions:



**Figure S59.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated without parameter restriction 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2 during titration experiments of  $H_4$ -2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. The graph on the left represents the speciation profiles.

### e. ibuprofen<sup>-</sup> titration



**Figure S60.** Partial <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) changes observed for the host H<sub>4</sub>- $2(BF_4)_4$  in DMSO*d*<sub>6</sub> during the addition ibuprofen<sup>-</sup>.

$H_4-2(BF_4)_4$	(NBu <sub>4</sub> )(ibuprofen)	Eq	$\delta_{H2}$	$\delta_{H5}$	$\delta_{\rm H7}$	$\Delta\delta_{\rm H5}$	$\Delta\delta_{H2}$	$\Delta\delta_{\rm H7}$
M / mol	M / mol	NBu <sub>4</sub> (ibuprofen)	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]	[ppm]
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.00	0.00	9.849	8.379	7.854	0.000	0.000	0.000
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	5.22.10-4	0.26	9.888	8.382	7.859	0.003	0.039	0.005
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.03 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.52	9.930	8.385	7.863	0.006	0.081	0.009
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.54 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.76	9.970	8.387	7.868	0.008	0.121	0.014
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.0	10.009	8.390	7.873	0.011	0.160	0.019
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.99 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.5	10.068	8.393	7.879	0.014	0.219	0.025
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.91 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.0	10.125	8.397	7.885	0.018	0.276	0.031
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.80 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.4	10.172	8.400	7.891	0.021	0.323	0.037
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.07 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.0	10.222	8.404	7.897	0.025	0.373	0.043
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	8.04·10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.0	10.296	8.409	7.905	0.030	0.447	0.051
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.18 \cdot 10^{-2}$	5.9	10.400	8.417	7.917	0.038	0.551	0.063
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.59 \cdot 10^{-2}$	7.9	10.482	8.425	7.927	0.046	0.633	0.073
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.98 \cdot 10^{-2}$	9.8	10.542	8.429	7.931	0.050	0.693	0.077
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.38 \cdot 10^{-2}$	11.8	10.585	8.434	7.937	0.055	0.736	0.083
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.16.10-2	15.6	10.646	8.441	7.945	0.062	0.797	0.091
$2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.42 \cdot 10^{-2}$	19.6	10.664	8.443	7.947	0.064	0.815	0.093

Table S14. Data values from the titration study of H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with ibuprofen<sup>-</sup>



Plot with a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model

**Figure S61.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated without parameter restriction 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2, H5 and H7 during titration experiments of  $H_4$ -2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with ibuprofen<sup>-</sup>. The graph on the left represents the speciation profiles.

Plot without parameter restrictions:



**Figure 62.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated without parameter restriction 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2, H5 and H7 during titration experiments of  $2(BF_4)_4$  with ibuprofen<sup>-</sup>. The Figure on the left represents the speciation profiles.

## f. ATP<sup>-</sup> titration



**Figure S63.** Partial <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) changes observed for the host H<sub>4</sub>- $2(BF_4)_4$  in DMSO*d*<sub>6</sub> during the addition ATP<sup>-</sup>.

Table S15. Data values	from the	titration st	tudy of H	$4-2(BF_4)_4$	with ATP
------------------------	----------	--------------	-----------	---------------	----------

$H_4-2(BF_4)_4$	(NBu <sub>4</sub> )(ATP)	Eq	$\delta_{H2}$	$\Delta\delta_{H2}$
M / mol	M / mol	NBu <sub>4</sub> (ATP)	[ppm]	[ppm]
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.00	0.00	9.850	0.000
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	5.33.10-4	0.26	9.862	0.012
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.05 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.51	9.875	0.025
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.57 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.76	9.885	0.035
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.07 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.0	9.892	0.042
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.04 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.5	9.907	0.057
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.98 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.0	9.917	0.067
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.89 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.4	9.926	0.076
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$6.19 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.0	9.939	0.089
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$8.21 \cdot 10^{-3}$	4.0	9.948	0.098
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.21 \cdot 10^{-2}$	5.8	9.964	0.114
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.62 \cdot 10^{-2}$	7.8	9.971	0.121
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.02 \cdot 10^{-2}$	9.7	9.979	0.129
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.42 \cdot 10^{-2}$	11.7	9.985	0.135
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.23 \cdot 10^{-2}$	15.6	9.992	0.142
$5.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.03 \cdot 10^{-2}$	19.5	9.992	0.142



#### Plot with a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model

**Figure S64.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated nonlinear regression analysis and assuming a non-cooperative 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2 during titration experiments of H<sub>4</sub>- $2(BF_4)_4$  with ATP<sup>-</sup>. The graph on the left represents the speciation profiles.

### Plot without parameter restrictions



**Figure S65.** Non-linear least-squares fitting calculated without parameter restriction 1:2 (H:G) binding model of the chemical shift changes of H2 during titration experiments of  $2(BF_4)_4$  with ATP<sup>-</sup>. The Figure on the left represents the speciation profiles.

#### 5.3. Job Plots

The host-guest stoichiometry of compounds  $H_4$ -2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and  $H_8$ -5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with different anions (Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, benzoate<sup>-</sup>, ibuprofen<sup>-</sup>, ATP<sup>-</sup>) was tested by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (in DMSOd<sub>6</sub>). Tetrabutylammonium salts were selected as the anion sources. For the Job plots two different stock solutions were prepared; solution A: 2 mM of the host for Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, benzoate<sup>-</sup>, ibuprofen<sup>-</sup> or 0.5 mM of the host for ATP<sup>-</sup>; solution B: 2 mM of the host and 53.6 mM of the guest for Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, benzoate<sup>-</sup>, ibuprofen<sup>-</sup> or 0.5 mM of the host and 13.4 mM of ATP<sup>-</sup>. The two solutions were combined to give a series of samples with an identical concentration of the host but containing different mole fractions of the two components.

a. H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with NBu<sub>4</sub>ATP



Table S16. Data values of the Job Plot of H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with (ATP)<sup>-</sup>

**Figure S66.** Job plot in DMSO- $d_6$  for the interaction of H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and ATP<sup>-</sup> [H]=5×10<sup>-4</sup> M).

## b. H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with NBu<sub>4</sub>Ibuprofen

<i>X</i> [H]	δ (ppm)	$\Delta\delta$ (ppm)	X[H]*Δδ (ppm)
1.00	9.849	0	0.0000
0.79	9.888	0.039	0.0310
0.66	9.93	0.081	0.0536
0.57	9.97	0.121	0.0688
0.50	10.009	0.16	0.0799
0.40	10.068	0.219	0.0884
0.34	10.125	0.276	0.0941
0.30	10.172	0.323	0.0958
0.25	10.222	0.373	0.0932
0.20	10.296	0.447	0.0898
0.15	10.4	0.551	0.0803
0.11	10.482	0.633	0.0716
0.09	10.542	0.693	0.0643
0.08	10.585	0.736	0.0577
0.06	10.646	0.797	0.0479
0.05	10.664	0.815	0.0396

Table S17. Data values of the Job plot of  $H_4$ -2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with ibuprofen<sup>-</sup>



**Figure S67.** Job plot in DMSO- $d_6$  for the interaction of H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and ibuprofen<sup>-</sup> ([H]= $2 \times 10^{-3}$  M).

## c. H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with NBu<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>

<i>X</i> [H]	δ (ppm)	$\Delta\delta$ (ppm)	X[H]*Δδ (ppm)
1.00	9.848	0	0
0.79	9.849	0.001	0.0008
0.66	9.851	0.003	0.002
0.56	9.852	0.004	0.0023
0.49	9.853	0.005	0.0025
0.40	9.854	0.006	0.0024
0.33	9.856	0.008	0.0027
0.25	9.86	0.012	0.003
0.20	9.862	0.014	0.0028
0.14	9.867	0.019	0.0027
0.09	9.875	0.027	0.0025
0.06	9.879	0.031	0.0018
0.05	9.881	0.033	0.0016

**Table S18.** Data values of the Job plot of  $H_4$ -2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>



**Figure S68.** Job plot in DMSO- $d_6$  for the interaction of H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ([H]=2×10<sup>-3</sup>M).

## d. H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with NBu<sub>4</sub>Cl

<i>X</i> [H]	δ (ppm)	$\Delta\delta$ (ppm)	$X[H]^*\Delta\delta$ (ppm)
1.00	9.847	0.000	0.0000
0.79	9.863	0.016	0.0127
0.66	9.879	0.032	0.0210
0.56	9.892	0.045	0.0253
0.49	9.903	0.056	0.0276
0.40	9.928	0.081	0.0323
0.34	9.947	0.100	0.0336
0.29	9.966	0.119	0.0347
0.25	9.988	0.141	0.0346
0.20	10.017	0.170	0.0335
0.14	10.063	0.216	0.0308
0.11	10.101	0.254	0.0281
0.09	10.130	0.283	0.0257
0.08	10.155	0.308	0.0236
0.06	10.180	0.333	0.0196
0.05	10.191	0.344	0.0164

Table S19. Data values of the Job plot of H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with Cl<sup>-</sup>.



**Figure S69.** Job plot in DMSO- $d_6$  for the interaction of H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Cl<sup>-</sup> ([H]=2×10<sup>-3</sup>M).

## e. H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with NBu<sub>4</sub>benzoate

<i>X</i> [H]	δ (ppm)	Δδ (ppm)	X[H]*Δδ (ppm)
1.00	9.848	0.000	0.0000
0.79	9.880	0.032	0.0253
0.66	9.912	0.064	0.0420
0.56	9.944	0.096	0.0540
0.49	9.971	0.123	0.0607
0.40	10.019	0.171	0.0681
0.34	10.059	0.211	0.0709
0.29	10.092	0.244	0.0713
0.25	10.132	0.284	0.0698
0.20	10.188	0.340	0.0671
0.14	10.242	0.394	0.0563
0.11	10.298	0.450	0.0499
0.09	10.346	0.498	0.0453
0.08	10.389	0.541	0.0416
0.06	10.432	0.584	0.0344
0.05	10.460	0.612	0.0291

Table S20. Data values of the Job plot of H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with benzoate



**Figure S70.** Job plot in DMSO- $d_6$  for the interaction of H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and benzoate ([H]=2×10<sup>-3</sup>M).

## f. H<sub>4</sub>-2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with NBu<sub>4</sub>Br

<i>X</i> [H]	δ (ppm)	Δδ (ppm)	X[H]*Δδ (ppm)
1.00	9.846	0.000	0.0000
0.79	9.852	0.006	0.0048
0.66	9.857	0.011	0.0072
0.56	9.863	0.017	0.0096
0.50	9.867	0.021	0.0104
0.40	9.875	0.029	0.0116
0.34	9.882	0.036	0.0122
0.29	9.889	0.043	0.0126
0.25	9.897	0.051	0.0126
0.20	9.907	0.061	0.0121
0.14	9.927	0.081	0.0116
0.11	9.945	0.099	0.0110
0.09	9.957	0.111	0.0102
0.08	9.967	0.121	0.0094
0.06	9.979	0.133	0.0079
0.05	9.985	0.139	0.0067

Table S21. Data values of the Job plot of  $H_4$ -2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> with Br<sup>-</sup>



**Figure S71.** Job plot in DMSO- $d_6$  for the interaction of H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Br<sup>-</sup> ([H]=2×10<sup>-3</sup>M).

## g. $H_8$ -5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with NBu<sub>4</sub>ATP

<i>X</i> [H]	δ (ppm)	$\Delta\delta$ (ppm)	X[H]*Δδ (ppm)
1.00	9.714	0.000	0.0000
0.79	9.715	0.001	0.0008
0.65	9.721	0.007	0.0046
0.56	9.735	0.021	0.0118
0.49	9.747	0.033	0.0162
0.40	9.786	0.072	0.0286
0.33	9.821	0.107	0.0358
0.29	9.848	0.134	0.0389
0.24	9.882	0.168	0.0410
0.20	9.922	0.208	0.0408
0.14	9.965	0.251	0.0356
0.11	9.979	0.265	0.0292
0.09	9.991	0.277	0.0250
0.08	9.989	0.275	0.0210
0.06	9.997	0.283	0.0165
0.05	9.995	0.281	0.0133

Table S22. Data values of the Job plot of H<sub>8</sub>-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with ATP<sup>-</sup>



**Figure S72.** Job plot in DMSO- $d_6$  for the interaction of H<sub>8</sub>-**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> and ATP<sup>-</sup> ([H]=5×10<sup>-4</sup>M).

## h. $H_8$ -5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with NBu<sub>4</sub>ibuprofen

X[H]	δ (ppm)	$\Delta\delta$ (ppm)	X[H]*Δδ (ppm)
1.00	9.708	0.000	0.0000
0.79	9.709	0.001	0.0008
0.66	9.722	0.014	0.0092
0.56	9.748	0.040	0.0225
0.49	9.778	0.070	0.0344
0.40	9.850	0.142	0.0564
0.33	9.916	0.208	0.0696
0.29	9.993	0.285	0.0829
0.24	10.084	0.376	0.0920
0.20	10.208	0.500	0.0982
0.14	10.382	0.674	0.0958
0.11	10.496	0.788	0.0869
0.09	10.568	0.860	0.0777
0.08	10.617	0.909	0.0694
0.06	10.671	0.963	0.0563
0.05	10.686	0.978	0.0463

Table S23. Data values of the Job-Plot of  $5(BF_4)_8$  with ibuprofen)<sup>-</sup>



**Figure S73.** Job plot in DMSO- $d_6$  for the interaction of H<sub>8</sub>-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> and ibuprofen<sup>-</sup> ([H]=2×10<sup>-3</sup>M).

## i. $H_8$ -5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with NBu<sub>4</sub>benzenesulfonate

<i>X</i> [H]	δ (ppm)	$\Delta\delta$ (ppm)	$X[H]^*\Delta\delta$ (ppm)
1.00	9.706	0.000	0.0000
0.79	9.710	0.004	0.0032
0.66	9.713	0.007	0.0046
0.56	9.715	0.009	0.0051
0.49	9.718	0.012	0.0059
0.40	9.723	0.017	0.0068
0.34	9.728	0.022	0.0074
0.29	9.732	0.026	0.0076
0.25	9.738	0.032	0.0079
0.20	9.748	0.042	0.0083
0.14	9.763	0.057	0.0081
0.11	9.777	0.071	0.0079
0.09	9.787	0.081	0.0074
0.08	9.795	0.089	0.0068
0.06	9.805	0.099	0.0058
0.05	9.807	0.101	0.0048

Table S24. Data values of the Job plot of H8-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with benzenesulfonate



**Figure S74.** Job plot in DMSO- $d_6$  for the interaction of H<sub>8</sub>-**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> and benzenesulfonate ([H]= $2 \times 10^{-3}$ M).

## j. H<sub>8</sub>-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with NBu<sub>4</sub>Cl

<i>X</i> [H]	δ (ppm)	$\Delta\delta$ (ppm)	X[H]*Δδ (ppm)
1.00	9.705	0.000	0.0000
0.79	9.708	0.003	0.0024
0.66	9.717	0.012	0.0079
0.56	9.730	0.025	0.0141
0.49	9.745	0.040	0.0197
0.40	9.777	0.072	0.0287
0.34	9.810	0.105	0.0353
0.29	9.843	0.138	0.0403
0.25	9.887	0.182	0.0447
0.20	9.947	0.242	0.0477
0.17	9.996	0.291	0.0486
0.14	10.038	0.333	0.0475
0.12	10.081	0.376	0.0466
0.11	10.110	0.405	0.0449
0.10	10.135	0.430	0.0429
0.09	10.165	0.460	0.0410
0.08	10.180	0.475	0.0393
0.08	10.197	0.492	0.0375
0.07	10.211	0.506	0.0361
0.07	10.223	0.518	0.0344
0.06	10.236	0.531	0.0329
0.06	10.244	0.539	0.0316
0.05	10.256	0.551	0.0290
0.05	10.267	0.562	0.0267

Table S25. Data values of the Job plot of  $H_8$ -5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with Cl<sup>-</sup>



**Figure S75.** Job plot in DMSO- $d_6$  for the interaction of H<sub>8</sub>-**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> and Cl<sup>-</sup> ([H]=2×10<sup>-3</sup>M).

## k. $H_8$ -5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with NBu<sub>4</sub>benzoate

<i>X</i> [H]	δ (ppm)	$\Delta\delta$ (ppm)	X[H]*Δδ (ppm)
1.00	9.706	0.000	0.0000
0.79	9.709	0.003	0.0024
0.66	9.724	0.018	0.0118
0.56	9.751	0.045	0.0253
0.49	9.782	0.076	0.0375
0.40	9.847	0.141	0.0561
0.34	9.914	0.208	0.0698
0.29	9.969	0.263	0.0767
0.25	10.050	0.344	0.0845
0.20	10.157	0.451	0.0889
0.14	10.299	0.593	0.0847
0.11	10.399	0.693	0.0767
0.09	10.464	0.758	0.0688
0.08	10.512	0.806	0.0618
0.06	10.550	0.844	0.0496
0.05	10.568	0.862	0.0410

Table S26. Data values of the Job plot of  $H_8$ -5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with benzoate



**Figure S76.** Job plot in DMSO- $d_6$  for the interaction of H<sub>8</sub>-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> and benzoate ([H]= $2 \times 10^{-3}$ M).
## l. H<sub>8</sub>-5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with NBu<sub>4</sub>Br

<i>X</i> [H]	δ (ppm)	$\Delta\delta$ (ppm)	X[H]*Δδ (ppm)
1.00	9.707	0.000	0.0000
0.79	9.720	0.013	0.0103
0.66	9.739	0.032	0.0210
0.56	9.753	0.046	0.0259
0.49	9.767	0.060	0.0296
0.40	9.790	0.083	0.0330
0.34	9.811	0.104	0.0349
0.29	9.828	0.121	0.0353
0.25	9.851	0.144	0.0353
0.20	9.880	0.173	0.0341
0.15	9.915	0.208	0.0311
0.11	9.953	0.246	0.0272
0.09	9.975	0.268	0.0243
0.08	9.992	0.285	0.0219
0.06	10.011	0.304	0.0178
0.05	10.017	0.310	0.0147

Table S27. Data values of the Job plot of  $H_8$ -5(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> with Br<sup>-</sup>



**Figure S77.** Job plot in DMSO- $d_6$  for the interaction of H<sub>8</sub>-**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> and Br<sup>-</sup> ([H]=2×10<sup>-3</sup>M).

## 6. DOSY experiments

The experiments were carried out in DMSO- $d_6$ , at constant concentrations of 5 mM.

Compound	G (m <sup>2</sup> /s)
$H_4-2(Br)_4$	$4.94 \cdot 10^{-10}$
complex [ <b>3</b> ](BF <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	5.36.10 <sup>-10</sup>
complex [4](BF <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	$7.17 \cdot 10^{-10}$
$H_8-5(BF_4)_8$	$2.71 \cdot 10^{-10}$

Table S28. Diffusion constants of the salts and silver complexes



**Figure S78.** DOSY spectra of H<sub>4</sub>-**2**(Br)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO- $d_6$  (c = 5×10<sup>-3</sup>M).



**Figure S79.** DOSY spectra of  $[3](BF_4)_4$  in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (c = 5×10<sup>-3</sup>M).



**Figure S80.** DOSY spectra of [4](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in DMSO- $d_6$  (c = 5×10<sup>-3</sup>M).



**Figure S81.** DOSY spectra of H<sub>8</sub>-**5**(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>8</sub> in DMSO- $d_6$  (c = 5×10<sup>-3</sup>M).

## 7. References

- N. E. Wong, P. Ramaswamy, A. S. Lee, B. S. Gelfand, K. J. Bladek, J. M. Taylor, D. M. Spasyuk, G. K. H. Shimizu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139*, 14676–4683.
- [2] Z. Zheng, Z. Yu, M. Yang, F. Jin, Q. Zhang, H. Zhou, J. Wu, Y. Tian, J. Org. Chem.
  2013, 78, 3222–3234.
- [3] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, *OLEX2*, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 2009, 42, 339–341.
- [4] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXT Integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [5] G. M. Sheldrick, Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem. 2015, 71, 3–8.
- [6] a) A. J. Lowe, F. M. Pfeffer, P. Thordarson, *Supramol. Chem.* 2012, 24, 585–594; b) P. Thordarson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, 40, 1305–1323.
- [7] L. K. S. von Krbek, C. A. Schalley, P. Thordarson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2017, 46, 2622–2637.