

Mathematical Genesis of the Spatio-Temporal Covariance Functions

¹Gema Fernández-Avilés, ¹Jose-María Montero and ²Jorge Mateu

¹Department of Statistics, Faculty of Law and Social Sciences,
Cobertizo de San Pedro Mártir, S/N. 45.071, Toledo, Spain

²Department of Mathematics, University Jaume I, Spain
Campus Riu Sec, E-12071 Castellón, Spain

Abstract: Problem statement: Obtaining new and flexible classes of nonseparable spatio-temporal covariances have resulted in a key point of research in the last years within the context of spatio-temporal Geostatistics. **Approach:** In general, the literature has focused on the problem of full symmetry and the problem of anisotropy has been overcome. **Results:** By exploring mathematical properties of positive definite functions and their close connection to covariance functions we are able to develop new spatio-temporal covariance models taking into account the problem of spatial anisotropy. **Conclusion/Recommendations:** The resulting structures are proved to have certain interesting mathematical properties, together with a considerable applicability.

Key words: Spatial anisotropy, bernstein and complete monotone functions, spatio-temporal geostatistics, positive definite functions, space-time modeling, spatio-temporal data

INTRODUCTION

Spatial and spatio-temporal statistics recognizes and exploits the spatial locations of data when designing for, collecting, managing, analyzing and displaying such data. Spatial and spatio-temporal data are typically dependent, for which there are classes of spatial models available that allow process prediction and parameter estimation.

Spatially arranged measurements and spatial patterns occur in a surprisingly wide variety of scientific disciplines. The origins of human life link studies of the evolution of galaxies, the structure of biological cells and settlement patterns in archaeology. Ecologists study the interactions among plants and animals. Foresters and agriculturalists need to investigate plant competition and account for soil variations in their experiments.

The estimation of rainfall and of ore and petroleum reserves is of prime economic importance. Rocks, metals and tissue and blood cells are all studied at a microscopic level. Geology, soil science, image processing, epidemiology, crop science, ecology, forestry, astronomy, atmospheric science, or simply any discipline that works with data collected from different spatial locations, need to develop models that indicate when there is dependence between measurements at different locations.

The study of spatial and overall spatio-temporal variability is a relatively new area within Statistics, which explains the scarcity of spatial (spatio-temporal) statistical tools available 30 years ago. There has been a growing realization in the last 10 years that knowing where and when data were observed could help enormously in answering the substantive questions that precipitated their collection. One of the most powerful tools for spatial data analysis is the map. For example, in military applications, the battle space is mapped for command and control.

The sensors are both in situ and remote and they generate spatially distributed data of many different kinds (Fadda *et al.*, 2008; Saberioon *et al.*, 2010). Producing a statistically optimal map, together with measures of map uncertainty, which is always up-to-date, is a complicated task. Once these types of statistical problems are solved, a Geographic Information System, or GIS, is well suited to forming the decision-making maps.

Recent literature persistently emphasizes the use of approximation methods and new methodologies for dealing with massive spatio-temporal data sets. When dealing with spatio-temporal data, calculation of the inverse of covariance matrices becomes a crucial problem. For instance, the inverse is needed for best linear unbiased prediction (also known as kriging) and is repeatedly calculated in maximum likelihood estimation or Bayesian inferences. Thus, large spatio-

Corresponding Author: Gema Fernández-Avilés, Facultad de Ciencias Jurídicas y Sociales de Toledo, Cobertizo de San Pedro Mártir, S/N. 45.071, Toledo Spain

temporal sample sizes traduce into big challenges from the computational point of view.

As well known, interpolation-based kriging procedures strongly depend on the choice of the auto covariance associated to a space-time random field (Anuar *et al.*, 2008; Gholizadeh *et al.* 2009, are two references in nonstandard fields). Thus, the geostatistical aim is to obtain permissible dependence structures for space and time; in other words, what is needed are nonseparable spatio-temporal covariance models associated to stationary or non-stationary random fields. This has been one of the most important challenges for the statistical community in the last ten years. But spatio-temporal covariance functions must be positive definite and this characteristic is no easy to prove and this is the reason why researchers have opted for using celebrated mathematical theorems from 20th Century that lead to positive definite covariance functions.

In this study we first deeply explore the connection between geostatistics and pure mathematical results proved several decades ago and which are now brought to light when dealing with spatial-temporal covariances. Connections between covariance functions and completely monotonic functions and Bernstein functions are highlighted. These are important tools for the construction of positive definite radial functions and have been used to define important inequalities involving characteristic functions.

In modern literature we can find several approaches to the construction of nonseparable covariance's. In the earlier contributions the focus was on extending spatial or temporal methods to spatio-temporal ones, considering the spatio-temporal dependency separately in most of the cases. Most of these contributions deal with stationary spatio-temporal covariance's assuming isotropy in space and time. However, isotropy is a very restrictive condition which is not reasonable in many real applications, but to which sometimes researchers need (are forced) to use, due to the lack of practical alternatives. This is why our approach here is to build new families of space-time covariance functions by removing the isotropy condition. In particular we present a new way of defining anisotropic models by assuming the condition of anisotropy by isotropy within components. This new definition needs the support of several important mathematical results appeared in the discipline of pure mathematical analysis and brought and adapted to the language used in the geostatistical community.

After this introductory section, we present the mathematical set up and background needed in the building of space-time covariance functions. We also include a spatio-temporal covariance function setup.

Next, we focus on the problem of modeling anisotropy through isotropy within components. We present some necessary definitions and theoretical background. We continue presenting the Bernstein class, a generalization of Gneiting (2002) approach to obtain new classes of space-time covariance functions which are spatially anisotropic. The study ends with some discussion and concluding remarks.

Mathematical setup and background on space-time covariance functions:

A space-time process can be denoted by $\{Z(\mathbf{s}, t) : \mathbf{s} \in D(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^d, t \in T \subset \mathbb{R}\}$, where each of Z , D and T is possibly random. Geostatistical approaches have been developed to fit Random Field models in continuous space and time settings, based on a limited number of spatially and/or temporally dispersed observations. These approaches model the observations as a partial realization of a spatial-temporal, typically Gaussian, Random Field (here indicated with the acronym RF).

Let $Z(\mathbf{s}, t)$, $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a real be a real-valued spatial-temporal RF with mean $\mu(\mathbf{s}, t)$ and with constant and finite variance. Then, the function $(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, t_1, t_2) \mapsto C_{st}(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, t_1, t_2)$ defined on the product space $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is called the spatial-temporal covariance function of the process and, if no further assumptions are made, depends on the space-time coordinates $(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, t_1, t_2)$. As well known, a real valued function C_{st} defined on the product space $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is the covariance function associated to a spatial-temporal RF if and only if:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i a_j C_{st}((\mathbf{s}_i, t_i), (\mathbf{s}_j, t_j)) \geq 0 \tag{1}$$

for all finite sets of real coefficients a_i and points $(\mathbf{s}_i, t_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. This property is called positive definiteness, or with alternative notation, permissibility.

In this study we first deeply explore the connection between geostatistics and pure mathematical results proved several decades ago and which are now brought to light when dealing with spatial-temporal covariance's. Connections between covariance functions and completely monotonic functions and Bernstein functions are highlighted. These are important tools for the construction of positive definite radial functions and have been used to define important inequalities involving characteristic functions. We then

first recall the definition and some properties of two such important functions.

A function $t \mapsto \varphi(t), t > 0$ is said to be *completely monotonic* if it is positive and $(-1)^n \varphi^{(n)}(t) \geq 0$, for all n a natural number. For well known results, completely monotonic functions admit the representation in Bernstein's theorem, i.e.:

$$\varphi(t) = \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-rt} dF(r)$$

for F a probability measure. In other words, a function φ such that $\varphi(0)=1$ is a completely monotonic function if and only if it is the Laplace transform of a probability measure. In addition, *Bernstein functions* are positive functions whose first derivative is completely monotonic.

Finally, bivariate Laplace transforms, here denoted as L , admit the representation:

$$L(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \int_{[0, \infty)^2} e^{-r_1\theta_1 - r_2\theta_2} dF(r_1, r_2)$$

where, F is a bivariate probability measure, so that $L(0,0)=1$. Obviously, if the probability measure is concentrated on the line $\theta_1 = \theta_2$, then L reduces to a completely monotonic function.

The concept of positive-definiteness of a function defined on $T \times T$ for arbitrary T has played major role in the study of Gaussian processes. In addition, if T is a semi group with involution $*$, one can study the structure of positive definite functions. This can be related to problems in operator theory. Classically, continuous positive definite functions on $\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}_+^d$ or \mathbb{N}_0 can be related to the non-negative measures through Fourier, Laplace and moment transforms. In order to determine if a function is positive definite one associates it as an inner product between two values of a Hilbert space valued function f . The natural question arises: what are the properties of $\|f(t) - f(s)\|^2$, $t, s \in T$.? This was answered by I.J. Schoenberg who introduced the concept of conditionally negative definite function. I.J. Schoenberg provided some relations between conditionally negative definite functions and positive definite functions.

In terms of stochastic processes, continuous conditionally negative-definite functions can be related to more general class (than Gaussian) of stationary independent increment processes through so the called Lévy-Khinchine representation. For the semigroup \mathbb{R}_+^d ,

the analogous representation reduces to Bernstein function.

The celebrated Bochner's theorem establishes a one to one correspondence between continuous positive definite functions and the Fourier transform of a positive and bounded measure, i.e., a function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is positive definite and continuous if and only if it is the Fourier transform of a positive finite measure μ on \mathbb{R}^d , i.e., if and only if:

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\mu(\xi) \tag{2}$$

By Bernstein's theorem a function $f :]0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is completely monotonic if and only if it is the Laplace transform of a positive measure μ on $[0, \infty[$, i.e.:

$$f(x) = L\mu(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x\xi} d\mu(\xi) \tag{3}$$

The connection with positive definite functions is provided by the Schoenberg theorem. For rotation invariant functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i.e. functions of the form $f(x) = \varphi(\|x\|^2)$ for some function $\varphi : [0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denoting the Euclidean norm, Schoenberg proved the following important result. Let: $\varphi : [0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given. Then $\varphi(\|x\|^2)$ is continuous and positive definite on \mathbb{R}^d for all $d \geq 1$ if and only if φ is the Laplace transform of a positive finite measure on $[0, \infty[$.

Focusing on the construction of space-time covariance functions, in the last years there has been a great demand for models describing the evolution of a wide range of phenomena in space and time. In particular, there is a big need for models able to capture the simultaneous behavior of the spatial and temporal components. If we considered them separately, a large amount of information would be lost.

We refer to geostatistical spatio-temporal modeling as a leading instrument to analyze the evolution of such spatio-temporal processes. As well known, interpolation-based kriging procedures strongly depend on the choice of the autocovariance associated to a space-time random field. Thus, the geostatistical perspective is to obtain permissible spatio-temporal covariances which take into account the interaction between space and time; in other words, what we need is nonseparable spatio-temporal covariance models associated to stationary or nonstationary random fields.

This has been one of the most important challenges for the statistical community in the last ten years.

Geostatistical approaches have been developed to fit Random Function (RF) models in continuous space and time, based on a limited number of spatially and/or temporally dispersed observations. These approaches model the observations as a partial realization of a spatio-temporal (typically Gaussian) random function.

Stationarity, isotropy, separability and full symmetry are then simplifying assumptions which are often needed for estimation and modeling.

Let $Z(\mathbf{s}, t)$, $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a stationary real valued Gaussian spatio-temporal RF with constant mean, which does not depend on the space-time coordinates and with constant and finite variance. Then, the function:

$$\text{cov}\left(Z(\mathbf{s}_i, t_i), Z(\mathbf{s}_j, t_j)\right) = C_{st}(\mathbf{h}, u) \quad (4)$$

is defined for $(\mathbf{h}, u) = (\mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{s}_j, t_i - t_j) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ and is called a *stationary* spatio-temporal covariance function of the process, as it exclusively depends on the spatial and temporal separation vectors, respectively \mathbf{h} and u . A stationary covariance function is called *isotropic* if it is rotation and translation-invariant, i.e.:

$$C_{st}(\mathbf{h}, u) = \tilde{C}_{st}(\|\mathbf{h}\|, |u|) \quad (5)$$

where, with $\|\cdot\|$ we denote the usual Euclidean norm and \tilde{C}_{st} is a positive definite function.

Stationarity is only one of the simplifying assumptions which are often needed for estimation and modeling. Other very popular assumptions are that of separability and full symmetry. A space-time covariance function is called separable if we can factor:

$$C_{st}(\mathbf{h}, u) = \frac{C_{st}(\mathbf{h}, 0)C_{st}(0, u)}{C_{st}(0, 0)}, \quad \forall (\mathbf{h}, u) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (6)$$

In other words, separability means that the spatio-temporal covariance structure factors into a purely spatial and purely temporal component, which allows for computational efficient estimation and inference. Consequently, separable covariance models have been used even in situations in which they are not physically justifiable.

An interesting definition coined in Gneiting (2002) is *full symmetry*, which happens if:

$$C_{st}(\mathbf{h}, u) = C_{st}(\mathbf{h}, -u) = C_{st}(-\mathbf{h}, u) = C_{st}(-\mathbf{h}, -u) \quad (7)$$

for every $(\mathbf{h}, u) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$. Equivalently, Lu and Zimmerman (2005) speak about *reflection symmetry*. It has been shown by Gneiting *et al.* (2005) that if C_{st} is fully symmetric, so is its associated spectral density, if it exists. Another interesting aspect is that separable covariances are also fully symmetric, while viceversa is not necessarily true. Hence, covariance structures that are not fully symmetric are non-separable and tests for full symmetry can be used to reject separability.

Gneiting *et al.* (2005) it is nicely summarized the relationships between the various notions in terms of classes of spatio-temporal covariance functions and an analogous scheme applies to correlation structures. The largest class is that of general, stationary or non-stationary covariance functions. A separable covariance can be stationary or nonstationary and similarly for fully symmetric covariances.

Experiments with time-forward kriging predictors suggest that the use of more complex and more realistic covariance models results in improved predictive performance. Thus, recent literature has emphasized the need for non-separable spatio-temporal covariances, in order to take into account the interaction between the spatial and temporal components.

In the earlier contributions the focus was on extending spatial or temporal methods to spatio-temporal ones, considering the spatio-temporal dependency separately in most of the cases. These extension approaches could be classified into two main categories: (a) the former considers a pure extension of multivariate spatial or temporal models and (b) the latter is based on considering a univariate spatio-temporal process. Even if there are many points in common between the two approaches, some distinctions are necessary in order to see the chance of applying one approach despite the other.

Some geometrically anisotropic models for spatio-temporal data were proposed in Dimitrakopoulos and Lou (1994). Guttorp *et al.* (1992) used separable covariance structures, obtained through the tensor product of a spatial and a temporal covariance. In the same context of separability, Rouhani and Hall (1989) proposed the so called sumvariogram.

Other approaches were based on the fact that the trend could entirely catch the temporal variability, in order to obtain a purely spatial random process. In the nonseparable context, efforts have been focus on obtaining general classes of spatio-temporal covariances. It is worth citing Jones and Zhang (1997), Cressie and Huang (1999), Christakos (2000); De Cesare *et al.* (2001); Gneiting (2002); Ma (2005), Stein (1999; 2005), Fernandez-Casal *et al.* (2003). Sahu *et al.*, 2005, is also a recommended applied reference

from the Bayesian perspective. Most of these contributions deal with stationary spatio-temporal covariances assuming isotropy in space and time. In particular, Cressie and Huang (1999) proposed a spectral approach to obtain spatio-temporal Covariances and Gneiting (2002) represents the natural generalization of this approach, obtained by using completely monotone functions and functions whose first derivative is completely monotonic. Stein (2005) puts emphasis on the spectral approach and on the fact that a spatio-temporal spectral density must be sufficiently smooth away from the origin, as well as on the problems of differentiability at the origin. Finally, Fernandez-Casal *et al.* (2003) extended the Shapiro-Botha approach to flexible variograms in the spatio-temporal context. In the non-stationary context, important contributions come from Christakos (2002); Fuentes (2002) and Kolovos *et al.* (2004). Mateu *et al.* (2007) and Porcu *et al.* (2006) introduced the following developments: (a) anisotropy by isotropy within components; (b) the inclusion of the Bernstein class for the generalization of Gneiting (2002) result. Chen *et al.* (2006) studied the non-stationary covariance functions based on mixtures of local orthogonal random functions. Porcu and Mateu (2007) obtained new non-stationary models through completely monotone functions. Gregori *et al.* (2008) developed covariances with negative values. And Porcu *et al.* (2009) had new insights about quasi-arithmetic means of covariance functions.

New families of anisotropic covariance functions: As the assumption of isotropy is very often unrealistic to model real phenomena, the researcher has to deal with the problem of relaxing this hypothesis. In other words, it is important to define covariance models that attain an anisotropic behavior in the spatial component.

There are two types of anisotropy. The simplest one, called geometric, is defined when the same covariance form and sill parameter is present in all directions, but the range changes with direction. In this case, there is a single sill, but the semivariogram reaches the sill in a shorter lag distance along a particular direction.

In the second case, called zonal anisotropy, either the form or the sill of the covariance structure or the scale parameter (or both) are different in distinct directions. Whilst in the case of geometric anisotropy, one can use an isotropic model simply by setting a deformation of the coordinates; the case of zonal anisotropy is mathematically much more complex and needs further caution.

Anisotropy through isotropy within components assumes that there is not necessarily isotropy in the

complete space, but separately in subspaces of complete dimension. For instance, in \mathbb{R}^3 , if $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, h_2, h_3)$:

$$C_1(\mathbf{h}) := \tilde{C}_1(\|h_1, h_2\|, |h_3|) \quad \text{or} \quad C_1(\mathbf{h}) := \tilde{C}_1(|h_1|, |h_2|, |h_3|)$$

are two examples of covariance functions in \mathbb{R}^3 which are not isotropic but anisotropic through isotropy within components.

Our strategy is to create partitions of the spatial lag vector $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ in the following way: if $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ and $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we can always write $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{d_n}$ (and similarly for \mathbf{k}) so that:

- $C(\mathbf{h}) = C(\mathbf{k})$ for any $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if $\|\mathbf{h}_i\| = \|\mathbf{k}_i\|$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
- The resulting covariance can be written as:

$$C(\mathbf{h}) = C(\|\mathbf{h}_1\|, \dots, \|\mathbf{h}_n\|) \tag{8}$$

and (8) admits an integral representation as a scale mixture of Bessel functions.

For the space-time setting, the element \mathbf{d} has always cardinality $|\mathbf{d}| = d + 1$. Then, although we may force the anisotropy in the spatial components, all processes are considered as symmetric in time.

The bernstein class of space-time covariance functions: In this context of covariance functions in which anisotropy is obtained through isotropy within components, the Bernstein class with a covariance function of the form:

$$C_{st}(\mathbf{h}, u) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^d \psi_i(|h_i|)\omega_i - \psi_t(|u|)\omega_{d+1}\right) dF(\boldsymbol{\omega})$$

with $(\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_d)')$, $(\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{d+1})')$ and $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_d, \psi_t$ Bernstein functions, arises naturally.

In particular, note that an adaptation of Theorem 1 in Gneiting (2002) to our context can be stated as follows.

For $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, let $d_i \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. A continuous, bounded, symmetric and integrable function $C_{st} : \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_3} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_4} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a covariance function if and only if the function:

$$C_{st}^{\omega_2, \omega_4}(\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2) = \iint e^{-i(\mathbf{h}_3 \omega_3 + \mathbf{h}_4 \omega_4)} C(\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \mathbf{h}_3, \mathbf{h}_4) d\mathbf{h}_3 d\mathbf{h}_4 \tag{9}$$

defined in $\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ is a covariance function for all $(\omega_3, \omega_4) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_3} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_4}$.

Then, following Porcu *et al.* (2006) we can formulate the following general result. Let ψ_1, ψ_2 be either **(i)** positive Bernstein functions or **(ii)** intrinsically stationary variograms not vanishing at the origin ($\psi \equiv \gamma$). Let L be the bivariate Laplace transform of a nonnegative random vector (X_1, X_2) with distribution function F . Then

$$C_{st}(\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \mathbf{h}_3, \mathbf{h}_4) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\psi_1(\|\mathbf{h}_1\|^2)^{d_1/2} \psi_2(\|\mathbf{h}_2\|^2)^{d_2/2}} L\left(\frac{\|\mathbf{h}_3\|^2}{\psi_1(\|\mathbf{h}_1\|^2)}, \frac{\|\mathbf{h}_4\|^2}{\psi_2(\|\mathbf{h}_2\|^2)}\right) \quad (10)$$

is a covariance function in $\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_3} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_4}$.

Several particular results are of importance. A first result can be obtained by considering the margins of (10), $C_{st}(\cdot, 0)$ or $C_{st}(0, \cdot)$. Then, if φ is a completely monotone function and ψ a Bernstein function, the function

$$C(\mathbf{h}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\psi(\|\mathbf{h}_2\|^2)^{d_2/2}} \varphi\left(\frac{\|\mathbf{h}_1\|^2}{\psi(\|\mathbf{h}_2\|^2)}\right) \quad (11)$$

for $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$, and $\mathbf{h} = (\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2)$ with $\mathbf{h}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$, is a stationary nonseparable covariance function.

A second particular case on the spatio-temporal domain defining a spatially componentwise anisotropic-temporally symmetric covariance function is as follows.

Let ψ_1, ψ_2 be either Bernstein functions, variograms or increasing and concave functions on $[0, \infty)$. Then,

$$C_{st}(h_1, h_2, h_3, u) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\psi_1(|h_1|^2)^{d_1/2} \psi_2(|u|^2)^{d_2/2}} L\left(\frac{|h_2|^2}{\psi_1(|h_1|^2)}, \frac{|h_3|^2}{\psi_2(|u|^2)}\right) \quad (12)$$

with, $h_i, u \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, 3$, is a stationary nonseparable space-time covariance function with spatially anisotropic components, defined on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Observe that the arguments in (12) can be interchanged preserving the validity of the resulting covariance function. Another interesting point is that this covariance structure admits four trivariate margins, six bivariate and four univariate ones, so that starting from (12) we can build a wide variety of covariance

functions for space and space-time. In addition, the extension to $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, d > 3$, is straightforward.

A final example comes from considering a particular case of the Bernstein class by considering the bivariate Laplace transform of a nonnegative random vector (W_1, W_2) with distribution function F :

$$C_{st}(\mathbf{h}, u) = L\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi_i(|h_i|), \psi_T(|u|)\right), \quad (13)$$

Leading to a stationary nonseparable spatio-temporal covariance function in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ for any positive natural number d . Using random vectors (W_1, W_2) such that such that the integrating measure of the Laplace transform is concentrated on the line $\omega_1 = \omega_2$, we come back to completely monotone functions, obtaining that:

$$(\mathbf{h}, u) \mapsto \varphi(\psi_1(\gamma_s(\mathbf{h})) + \psi_2(\gamma_t(u))), \quad (14)$$

and:

$$(\mathbf{h}, u) \mapsto \varphi(\psi_3(\psi_1(\gamma_s(\mathbf{h})) + \psi_2(\gamma_t(u)))) \quad (15)$$

are stationary covariance functions on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, whenever φ is a completely monotone function with $\varphi(0) = 1$, ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_3 are Bernstein functions and γ_s, γ_t are respectively spatial and temporal intrinsically stationary variograms.

Some examples: Starting from parametric expressions of Laplace transforms of bivariate distribution functions, we provide some examples of covariance functions belonging to the Bernstein class and for which anisotropy is obtained through isotropy within components.

The Frechet upper bound for bivariate copulas admits Laplace transform L with equation:

$$L(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1 - e^{-\theta_1 - \theta_2}}{\theta_1 + \theta_2} \quad (16)$$

for $\theta_1 \neq 0$ or $\theta_2 \neq 0$ and where $L(0, 0) = 1$.

Thus the corresponding covariance belonging to the Bernstein's class in Eq. 13 would be:

$$C_{st}(\mathbf{h}, u) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0} \text{ or } \mu = 0 \\ \frac{1 - e^{-\sum_{i=1}^d \psi_i(|h_i|) - \psi_T(|\mu|)}}{\sum_{i=1}^d \psi_i(|h_i|) - \psi_T(|\mu|)}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

where $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\psi_i, \psi_T, i = 1, \dots, d$ are Bernstein functions.

Using now formula (12), it is possible to obtain a spatially anisotropic covariance function. We consider here a covariance function defined on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, but the extension to a generic (d+1)-dimensional space is immediate. Thus, we set a partition of the three-dimensional lag vector of the type $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and through straightforward calculation, using the Bernstein functions ψ_1, ψ_2 applied respectively to the first spatial lag component h_1 and to the temporal component μ , we obtain:

$$C_s(\mathbf{h}, \mu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0} \text{ or } \mu = 0 \\ \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\frac{|h_1|}{v_1|h_1|} - \frac{|\mu|}{v_2|\mu|}}}{1 - e^{-\frac{|h_1|}{v_1|h_1|} - \frac{|\mu|}{v_2|\mu|}}} \right)^{\alpha} \frac{\psi_1(|h_1|^2)^{\beta} \psi_2(|\mu|^2)^{\gamma}}{|h_2|^2 \psi_2(|\mu|^2) + |h_3|^2 \psi_1(|h_1|^2)}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Observe that instead of the two Bernstein functions ψ_1, ψ_2 one could alternatively use two variogram models, say γ_1, γ_2 , provided that they do not vanish at the origin, which is equivalent to variograms with a nugget effect.

CONCLUSION

Spatio-temporal interactions usually show very complicated forms. We believe that analytical procedures which are easy to implement and interpretable can help in the specification of the spatio-temporal dependence. In this study we have presented an overview of possible solutions to the problem of modeling anisotropy in space-time data. The methods are quite general and allow building space-time covariances which are spatially anisotropic. The classes here presented are very rich as contain a wide range of particular cases. Note that the ingredients required for the construction of these covariances are easy-to-find. For instance, it is easy to find a list of Bernstein functions as indicated above and even easier to find increasing concave functions. In general, the new classes of covariance functions we present in this study are easy to build and allow for closed forms through simple bivariate or univariate mixtures.

The application of nonseparable anisotropic covariances to real space-time data is one of our main scopes for the future. Although the scope of this study is mainly theoretical, in the future we shall dedicate

time for applications. Besides, simulation of space-time random fields is not at all easy for the meantime. Various computational problems are already encountered, even in very simple cases. But, obviously, it will be a very interesting research point for the future. In this context, it would be nice to consider our new proposed covariance families within a test of separability and analyze the power of such a test. Again, we leave this action for the next coming research.

REFERENCES

- Anuar, A.R., K.J. Goh, T.B. Heoh and O.H. Ahmed, 2008. Spatial-temporal yield trend of oil palm as influenced by nitrogen fertilizer management. *Am. J. Applied Sci.*, 5: 1376-1383. DOI: 10.3844/ajassp.2008.1376.1383
- Chen, L., M. Fuentes and J.M. Davis, 2006. Spatial Temporal Statistical Modeling and Prediction of Environmental Processes. In: *Hierarchical Modeling for the Environmental Sciences*, Clark, J.S. and A. Gelfand (Eds.). Oxford University Press, Oxford, ISBN: 13: 978-0-19-856967-1, pp: 121-144.
- Christakos, G., 2000. *Modern Spatiotemporal Geostatistics*. 1st Edn., Oxford University Press, Oxford, ISBN: 0195138953, pp: 288.
- Christakos, G., 2002. On a deductive logic-based spatiotemporal random field theory. *Probability Theory Math. Stat.*, 66: 54-65.
- Cressie, N.A.C. and C. Huang, 1999. Classes of nonseparable, spatiotemporal stationary covariance functions. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*, 94: 1330-1340.
- De Cesare, L., D.E. Myers and D. Posa, 2001. Product-sum covariance for space-time modeling: an environmental application. *Environ. Metrics*, 12: 11-23. DOI: 10.1002/1099-095X(200102)12:1<11::AIDENV426>3.0.CO;2-P
- Dimitrakopoulos, R. and X. Luo, 1994. Spatiotemporal Modeling: Covariances and Ordinary Kriging System. In: *Geostatistics for the Next Century*, Dimitrakopoulos, R. (Ed.). Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, ISBN: 978-0-7923-2650-2, pp: 88-93.
- Fadda, E.H.R., M. Kakish and E.J. Akawwi, 2008. Relational GIS and remote sensing database system for al-salt area, Jordan. *Am. J. Eng. Applied Sci.*, 1: 241-247. DOI: 10.3844/ajeassp.2008.241.247
- Fernandez-Casal, R., W. Gonzalez-Manteiga and M. Febrero-Bande, 2003. Flexible spatio-temporal stationary variogram models. *Stat. Comput.*, 13: 127-136. DOI: 10.1023/A:1023204525046

- Fuentes, M., 2002. Spectral methods for nonstationary spatial processes. *Biometrika*, 89: 197-210. DOI: 10.1093/biomet/89.1.197
- Gholizadeh, A., M. S.M. Amin, A. R. Anuar and W. Aimrun, 2009. Evaluation of leaf total nitrogen content for nitrogen management in a malaysian paddy field by using soil plant analysis development chlorophyll meter. *Am. J. Agri. Biol. Sci.*, 4: 278-282. DOI: 10.3844/ajabssp.2009.278.282
- Gneiting, T., 2002. Nonseparable Stationary covariance functions for space-time data. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*, 97: 590-600.
- Gneiting, T., M.G. Genton and P. Guttorp, 2005. Geostatistical space-time models, stationarity, separability and full symmetry. Technical Report, University of Washington www.stat.washington.edu/research/reports/2005/tr475.pdf
- Gregori, P., E. Porcu, J. Mateu and Z. Sasvari, 2008. On potentially negative space time covariances obtained as sum of products of marginal ones. *Annals Instit. Stat. Math.*, 60: 865-882. DOI: 10.1007/s10463-007-0122-8
- Jones, R. and Y. Zhang, 1997. Models for Continuous Stationary Space-Time Processes. In: *Modeling Longitudinal and Spatially Correlated Data*, Lecture Notes in Statistics, 122. Gregoire, G., D. Brillinger, P. Diggle, E. Russek-Cohen and W. Warren *et al.* (Eds.). Springer, New York, ISBN: 0-387-98216-7, pp: 289-298.
- Kolovos, A., G. Christakos, D.T. Hristopulos and M.L. Serre, 2004. Methods for generating non-separable spatiotemporal covariance models with potential environmental applications. *Adv. Water Resou.*, 27: 815-830. DOI: 10.1016/j.advwatres.2004.04.002
- Lu, N. and D.L. Zimmerman, 2005. Testing for directional symmetry in spatial dependence using the periodogram. *J. Stat. Plann. Inference*, 129: 369-385. DOI: 10.1016/j.jspi.2004.06.058
- Ma, C., 2005. Spatio-temporal variograms and covariance models. *Adv. Applied Probability*, 37: 706-725.
- Mateu, J., E. Porcu and P. Gregori, 2007. Recent advances to model anisotropic space-time data. *Stat. Meth. Appl.*, 17: 209-223. DOI: 10.1007/s10260-007-0056-6
- Porcu, E. and J. Mateu, 2007. Mixture-based modeling for space-time data. *Environmetrics*, 18: 285-302.
- Porcu, E., J. Mateu and G. Christakos, 2009. Quasi-arithmetic means of covariance functions with potential applications to space-time data. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 100: 1830-1844. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmva.2009.02.013
- Porcu, E., P. Gregori and J. Mateu, 2006. Nonseparable stationary anisotropic space-time covariance functions. *Stochastic Environ. Res. Risk Assess.*, 21: 113-122. DOI: 10.1007/s00477-006-0048-3
- Rouhani, S. and T.J. Hall, 1989. Space-time Kriging of Groundwater Data. In: *Geostatistics*, Armstrong, M. (Ed.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp: 639-651.
- Saberioon, M.M., M. Mardan, L. Nordin, M.S. Alias and A. Gholizadeh, 2010. Predict location(s) of *apis dorsata* nesting sites using remote sensing and geographic information system in melaleuca forest. *Am. J. Applied Sci.* 7: 252-259.
- Sahu, S.K., G.J. Lasinio, A. Orasi and K.V. Mardia, 2005. A comparison of spatio-temporal bayesian models for reconstruction of rainfall fields in a cloud seeding experiment. *J. Math. Stat.*, 1: 273-281. DOI: 10.3844/jmssp.2005.273.281
- Stein, M.L., 1999. *Interpolation of Spatial Data. Some Theory for Kriging*. 1st Edn., Springer-Verlag, New York, ISBN: 0-387-98629-4, pp: 247.
- Stein, M.L., 2005. Space-time covariance functions. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*, 100: 310-321. DOI: 10.1198/016214504000000854