## MULTILINEAR ISOMETRIES ON FUNCTION ALGEBRAS

MALIHEH HOSSEINI, JUAN J. FONT, AND MANUEL SANCHIS

ABSTRACT. Let  $A_1, ..., A_k$  be function algebras (or more generally, dense subspaces of uniformly closed function algebras) on locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $X_1, ..., X_k$ , respectively, and let Z be a locally compact Hausdorff space. A k-linear map  $T : A_1 \times ... \times A_k \longrightarrow C_0(Z)$  is called a multilinear (or k-linear) isometry if

$$|T(f_1, ..., f_k)|| = \prod_{i=1}^k ||f_i|| \qquad ((f_1, ..., f_k) \in A_1 \times ... \times A_k)$$

Based on a new version of the additive Bishop's Lemma, we provide a weighted composition characterization of such maps. These results generalize the well-known Holsztyński's theorem ([9]) and the bilinear version of this theorem provided in [10] by a different approach.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. As usual,  $C_0(X)$  (resp. C(X) if X is compact) stands for the Banach algebra of all continuous scalar-valued functions on X which vanish at infinity, endowed with the supremum norm,  $\|\cdot\|$ . In [9], W. Holsztyński inaugurated a new direction of generalization of the famous Banach-Stone Theorem. Namely, he provided the following non-surjective version: If there exists a (not necessarily onto) linear isometry  $T : C(X) \longrightarrow C(Y)$ , then T is a weighted composition operator on a subset of Y. More precisely, there are a closed subset  $Y_0$  of Y, a continuous map h from  $Y_0$  onto X and a unimodular continuous function a defined on  $Y_0$  such that T(f)(y) = a(y)f(h(y)) for all  $y \in Y_0$  and all  $f \in C(X)$ .

In [10], the authors proved, based on the powerful Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, the following bilinear version of Holsztyński's theorem:

Let  $T: C(X) \times C(Y) \longrightarrow C(Z)$  be a bilinear (or 2-linear) isometry. Then there exist a closed subset  $Z_0$  of Z, a surjective continuous mapping  $\varphi: Z_0 \longrightarrow X \times Y$  and a unimodular function  $a \in C(Z_0)$  such that  $T(f,g)(z) = a(z)f(\pi_x(\varphi(z)))g(\pi_y(\varphi(z)))$  for all  $z \in Z_0$  and every pair  $(f,g) \in C(X) \times C(Y)$ , where  $\pi_x$  and  $\pi_y$  are projection maps.

Key words and phrases: function algebra, k-linear isometry, Choquet boundary, additive Bishop's Lemma, peaking function, uniform algebra.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46J10, 47B38; Secondary 47B33.

In this paper we extend this bilinear version of Holsztyński's theorem to a more general context, where Stone-Weierstrass Theorem is not applicable. Namely, let  $A_1, ..., A_k$  be function algebras (or more generally, dense subspaces of uniformly closed function algebras) on locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $X_1, ..., X_k$ , respectively, and let Z be a locally compact Hausdorff space. A k-linear map  $T: A_1 \times ... \times A_k \longrightarrow C_0(Z)$  is called a *multilinear (or k-linear) isometry* if

$$||T(f_1, ..., f_k)|| = \prod_{i=1}^k ||f_i|| \qquad ((f_1, ..., f_k) \in A_1 \times ... \times A_k)$$

We provide a complete characterization of such maps as follows: given a k-linear isometry T:  $A_1 \times \ldots \times A_k \longrightarrow C_0(Z)$ , there exist a nonempty subset  $Z_0$  of Z, a continuous surjective map  $\varphi: Z_0 \longrightarrow Ch(A_1) \times \ldots \times Ch(A_k)$  and a unimodular continuous function  $a: Z_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$  such that  $T(f_1, \ldots, f_k)(z) = a(z) \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(\pi_i(\varphi(z)))$  for all  $(f_1, \ldots, f_k) \in A_1 \times \ldots \times A_k$  and  $z \in Z_0$ , where  $\pi_i$  is the *i*th projection map.

The main tool we use to prove this characterization is a recent stronger version of the additive Bishop's Lemma (see [12] or Lemma 2.2 below). This technique also lets us fix some inaccuracies detected in [6], particularly in the bounds obtained in the proof of [6, Lemma 3.3]. Furthermore, for the sake of completeness and in order to give a unified version of the proofs involved in this topic, the (known) results for 1-linear isometries are also included and proved straightforwardly by using this version of the additive Bishop's Lemma.

# 2. Preliminaries

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let  $X_{\infty}$  be its one point compactification. Let us recall that  $C_0(X)$  is the algebra of all continuous scalar-valued functions on X vanishing at infinity. A function algebra A on X is a subalgebra of  $C_0(X)$  which separates strongly the points of X, i.e. for each  $x, x' \in X$  with  $x \neq x'$ , there exists an  $f \in A$  with  $f(x) \neq f(x')$  and for each  $x \in X$ , there exists an  $f \in A$  with  $f(x) \neq 0$ . If X is a compact Hausdorff space, each unital uniformly closed function algebra on X is called a *uniform algebra* on X.

Let A be a function algebra on a locally compact Hausdorff space X. We denote the uniform closure of A by  $\overline{A}$ . The unique minimal closed subset of X with the property that every function in A assumes its maximum modulus on this set, which exists by [2], is called the *Šilov boundary* for A and is denoted by  $\partial A$ . The Choquet boundary Ch(A) of A is the set of all  $x \in X$  for which  $\delta_x$ , the evaluation functional at the point x, is an extreme point of the unit ball of the dual space of  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ . So it is apparent that  $Ch(A) = Ch(\overline{A})$ . Besides, note that for a function algebra A,  $\partial A$  is the closure of Ch(A) [2, Theorem 1]. A point  $x \in X$  is called a strong boundary point (or weak peak point) for A if for every neighborhood V of x, there exists a function  $f \in A$  such that  $\|f\| = 1 = |f(x)|$  and |f| < 1 on  $X \setminus V$ . It is known that if A is a uniformly closed function algebra on a locally compact Hausdorff space X, then Ch(A) coincides with the set of all strong boundary points (see [11]). However, according to the example given in [4], this coincidence is not true for all function algebras, although the Choquet boundary always contains the strong boundary points.

A function  $f \in A$  is a *peaking function* if ||f|| = 1 and for each  $x \in X$ , either |f(x)| < 1 or f(x) = 1. If we fix  $x_0 \in X$ , then  $P_A(x_0)$  denotes the set of peaking functions f in A with  $f(x_0) = 1$ .

Moreover, if A is a subspace of  $C_0(X)$ , for an element  $x \in X$ , we set  $C_x := \{f \in A : |f(x)| = 1 = \|f\|\}$ . Besides, for  $g \in A$  we denote the maximum modulus set of g by  $M_g := \{x \in X : |g(x)| = \|g\|\}$ .

As mentioned in the introduction, the proofs of the technical lemmas preceding our main result are based essentially on extensions of Bishop's Lemma in the context of uniform algebras [3, Theorem 2.4.1], a result which has been generalized in many directions. Next we include the following generalizations (given in [8] and [12] respectively) which we shall use in the next sections.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let A be a uniformly closed function algebra on a locally compact Hausdorff space X,  $f \in A$  and  $x_0 \in Ch(A)$ . If  $f(x_0) \neq 0$ , then there exists a peaking function  $h \in P_A(x_0)$  such that  $\frac{fh}{f(x_0)} \in P_A(x_0)$ .

*Proof.* The result can be concluded by the arguments similar to [8, Lemma 2.3], where X is a compact Hausdorff space.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.2.** Assume that A is a uniformly closed function algebra on a locally compact Hausdorff space X and  $f \in A$ . Let  $x_0 \in Ch(A)$  and arbitrary r > 1 (or  $r \ge 1$  if  $f(x_0) \ne 0$ ), then there exists a function  $h \in r ||f|| P_A(x_0) = \{r ||f|| k : k \in P_A(x_0)\}$  such that

$$|f(x)| + |h(x)| < |f(x_0)| + |h(x_0)|$$

for every  $x \notin M_h$  and  $|f(x)| + |h(x)| = |f(x_0)| + |h(x_0)|$  for all  $x \in M_h$ . Consequently,  $||f| + |h||_X = |f(x_0)| + |h(x_0)|$ .

*Proof.* The proof is exactly the same as that of [12, Lemma 1], where X is a compact Hausdorff space.  $\Box$ 

Let us remark that Lemma 2.1 is a version of the multiplicative Bishop's Lemma and Lemma 2.2 is the strong version of the additive Bishop's Lemma (see [7] for further details concerning Bishop's Lemma).

## 3. 1-LINEAR ISOMETRIES BETWEEN FUNCTION ALGEBRAS

In this section we shall assume that A and B are dense subspaces of uniformly closed function algebras on locally compact Hausdorff spaces X and Y, respectively, and characterize linear (i.e., 1-linear) isometries  $T : A \longrightarrow B$ . It should be noted that although these results can be deduced from [1], here we provide new shorter proofs based on Lemma 2.2 in order to give a self-contained unified vision of this topic. We refer the reader to [5] for a summary on the study of isometries.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $T : A \longrightarrow B$  be a linear isometry. Then there exist a nonempty subset  $Y_0$  of Y, a continuous surjective map  $\varphi : Y_0 \longrightarrow Ch(A)$ , a unimodular continuous function  $a : Y_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ , such that  $T(f)(y) = a(y)f(\varphi(y))$  for all  $f \in A$  and  $y \in Y_0$ . Moreover, a(y) = T(g)(y) for any  $g \in A$  with  $g(\varphi(y)) = 1$ .

First note that we can extend easily  $T: A \longrightarrow B$  to a linear isometry  $T: \overline{A} \longrightarrow \overline{B}$  between their uniform closures. Besides, notice that the Choquet boundary for a linear subspace of continuous functions on a locally compact Hausdorff space is defined similar to the function algebra case. So since the Choquet boundary of a subspace equals the Choquet boundary of its uniform closure, without loss of generality, we can assume that A and B are uniformly closed function algebras.

Before providing the proof of Theorem 3.1, we need several lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $x \in Ch(A)$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{I}_x := \bigcap_{f \in C_x} M_{T(f)}$  is nonempty.

*Proof.* The proof is the same as that of [1, Lemma 2.2].

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $x \in Ch(A)$ . If  $f \in A$  such that f(x) = 0, then T(f)(y) = 0 for all  $y \in \mathcal{I}_x$ .

Proof. Let  $f \in A$  with f(x) = 0 and  $y \in \mathcal{I}_x$ . Suppose, on the contrary, that  $T(f)(y) \neq 0$ . We may assume, without loss of generality, that ||f|| = 1 and  $T(f)(y) = \alpha$ , where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Fix a constant r > 1. By Lemma 2.2, there is a peaking function  $h \in P_A(x)$  such that ||f| + r|h|| = r. In particular,  $||f + r\bar{\lambda}h|| = r$ , where  $\lambda = T(h)(y) \in \mathbb{T}$ . Hence

$$r = ||f + r\bar{\lambda}h|| = ||T(f + r\bar{\lambda}h)|| \ge |T(f)(y) + r| = \alpha + r$$

which is a contradiction showing that T(f)(y) = 0.

**Lemma 3.4.** If  $f \in A$  and  $x \in Ch(A)$ , then |T(f)(y)| = |f(x)| for all  $y \in \mathcal{I}_x$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in A$ ,  $x \in Ch(A)$  and  $y \in \mathcal{I}_x$ . If f(x) = 0, then, by the preceding lemma, T(f)(y) = 0. Now let us suppose that  $f(x) \neq 0$ . Since  $x \in Ch(A)$ , there is a peaking function  $h \in C_x$ . If we define

$$g(t) := f(t) - f(x)h(t) \quad (t \in X),$$

then  $g \in A$  and g(x) = 0. So, by Lemma 3.3, 0 = T(g)(y) = T(f)(y) - f(x)T(h)(y). Hence T(f)(y) = f(x)T(h)(y). On the other hand, since  $y \in \mathcal{I}_x$  and  $h \in C_x$ , |T(h)(y)| = 1. Therefore, |T(f)(y)| = |f(x)|.

**Lemma 3.5.** For different points x and x' in Ch(A),  $\mathcal{I}_x \cap \mathcal{I}_{x'} = \emptyset$ .

| - | ٦ |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | 1 |  |
|   |   |  |

Proof. Choose a peaking function  $f \in C_x$  such that |f(x')| < 1. Now if  $y \in \mathcal{I}_x \cap \mathcal{I}_{x'}$ , then from Lemma 3.4, it follows that |T(f)(y)| = |f(x)| = 1 and |T(f)(y)| = |f(x')| < 1, which is a contradiction. Thereby,  $\mathcal{I}_x \cap \mathcal{I}_{x'} = \emptyset$ .

Now we are ready to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1:

Proof. Let  $Y_0 := \bigcup_{x \in Ch(A)} \mathcal{I}_x$ . Clearly,  $Y_0 \neq \emptyset$ , by Lemma 3.2. Define the map  $\varphi : Y_0 \longrightarrow Ch(A)$  by  $\varphi(y) := x$  if  $y \in \mathcal{I}_x$ . Note that, since for different points x and x' in Ch(A),  $\mathcal{I}_x \cap \mathcal{I}_{x'} = \emptyset$ , the map  $\varphi$  is well-defined. Furthermore,  $\varphi$  is surjective because  $\mathcal{I}_x \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in Ch(A)$ . Meantime, since for all  $f \in A$ ,  $|T(f)| = |f \circ \varphi|$  on  $Y_0$  and the set  $\{|f| : f \in A\}$  separates the points of  $X_\infty$ , it is not difficult to check that  $\varphi$  is continuous.

Now we define the function  $a: Y_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ . For this purpose, let  $y \in Y_0$ . Then take  $f \in A$  with  $f(\varphi(y)) = 1$  and define a(y) := T(f)(y). Note that the definition is independent of the choice of f because if  $f, f' \in A$  and  $f(\varphi(y)) = 1 = f'(\varphi(y))$ , then  $f - f' \in A$  with  $(f - f')(\varphi(y)) = 0$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.3, we conclude that T(f - f')(y) = 0 and so T(f)(y) = T(f')(y). Moreover, by Lemma 3.4, it is evident that |a(y)| = 1.

Next, we give the representation of T. Let  $f \in A$  and  $y \in Y_0$ . The function  $g := f - f(\varphi(y))k$ , where k is a function in  $P_A(\varphi(y))$ , belongs to A and  $g(\varphi(y)) = 0$ . So by Lemma 3.3,  $T(f)(y) = f(\varphi(y))T(k)(y)$ , i.e.,  $T(f)(y) = a(y)f(\varphi(y))$ .

We finally show the continuity of a. Let  $y_0 \in Y_0$  and choose  $f \in A$  such that  $f(\varphi(y_0)) \neq 0$ . If we define  $W := \{x \in Ch(A) : f(x) \neq 0\}$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(W)$  is a neighborhood of  $y_0$ . Moreover,  $a(y) = \frac{T(f)(y)}{(f \circ \varphi)(y)}$  holds for all  $y \in \varphi^{-1}(W)$ . Now from the continuity of  $\frac{T(f)}{f \circ \varphi}$  on  $\varphi^{-1}(W)$ , it follows that a is also continuous at  $y_0$ .

**Remark 3.6.** (i) Notice that  $\varphi$  sends Ch(T(A)) onto Ch(A). In fact,  $T : A \longrightarrow T(A)$  is a bijective isometry, then the adjoint of T,  $T^* : T(A)^* \longrightarrow A^*$  is a bijective isometry. Therefore,  $ext(T(A)_1^*)$ is sent onto  $ext(A_1^*)$ , where  $T(A)_1^*$  and  $A_1^*$  are the unit ball of  $T(A)^*$  and  $A^*$ , respectively. Thus, by Lemma 3.4, it follows easily that  $\varphi(Ch(T(A))) \subseteq Ch(A)$ . Next repeating the same arguments for  $T^{-1}$  and noting that  $(T^{-1})^* = (T^*)^{-1}$ , finally we conclude that  $\varphi(Ch(T(A))) = Ch(A)$ . In particular, if T is surjective, then  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism of Ch(B) onto Ch(A).

(ii) We note that if a map  $T : A \longrightarrow C_0(Y)$  is defined by  $T(f) = af \circ \varphi$  on  $Y_0$ , where  $Y_0 \subseteq Y$  is a boundary for T(A), a is a unimodular continuous function on  $Y_0$ , and  $\varphi : Y_0 \longrightarrow Ch(A)$  is a surjective map, then T is a linear isometry.

#### 4. k-linear isometries between function algebras

Let  $A_1, ..., A_k$  be dense subspaces of uniformly closed function algebras on locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $X_1, ..., X_k$ , respectively, and let Z be a locally compact Hausdorff space. We recall that a k-linear map  $T: A_1 \times ... \times A_k \longrightarrow C_0(Z)$  is called a multilinear (or k-linear) isometry if

$$||T(f_1,...,f_k)|| = \prod_{i=1}^k ||f_i|| \qquad ((f_1,...,f_k) \in A_1 \times ... \times A_k).$$

In this section we shall deepen in these maps. First note that it is not difficult to extend T:  $A_1 \times ... \times A_k \longrightarrow C_0(Z)$  to a k-linear isometry  $T : \overline{A_1} \times ... \times \overline{A_k} \longrightarrow C_0(Z)$ , where  $\overline{A_i}$  is the uniform closure of  $A_i$  (i = 1, ..., k). So, as before, without loss of generality, we can assume each  $A_i$ (i = 1, ..., k) is a uniformly closed function algebra.

Let us recall that for an element  $x_i \in X_i$ , we set  $C_{x_i} := \{f \in A_i : |f(x_i)| = 1 = ||f||\}$ . Moreover, for  $g \in C_0(Z)$ ,  $M_g := \{z \in Z : |g(z)| = ||g||\}$  stands for the maximum modulus set of g.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $(x_1, ..., x_k) \in Ch(A_1) \times ... \times Ch(A_k)$ . The set

$$\mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x_k} := \{ z \in Z : z \in M_{T(f_1,...,f_k)} \text{ for all } (f_1,...,f_k) \in C_{x_1} \times ... \times C_{x_k} \}$$

is nonempty.

Proof. The proof is a modification of the proof of [6, Lemma 3.1]. Since for each  $(f_1, ..., f_k) \in C_{x_1} \times ... \times C_{x_k}$ , the maximum modulus set of  $T(f_1, ..., f_k)$ ,  $M_{T(f_1, ..., f_k)}$ , is a compact subset of  $Z_{\infty}$ , so it is enough to check that the family  $\{M_{T(f_1, ..., f_k)} : (f_1, ..., f_k) \in C_{x_1} \times ... \times C_{x_k}\}$  has the finite intersection property. For this, let  $(f_1^1, ..., f_k^1), ..., (f_1^n, ..., f_k^n)$  be members in  $C_{x_1} \times ... \times C_{x_k}$ . Define

$$f_i := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{f_i^j(x_i)} f_i^j, \quad i \in \{1, ..., k\}$$

Clearly,  $(f_1, ..., f_k) \in C_{x_1} \times ... \times C_{x_k}$ . Hence  $||T(f_1, ..., f_k)|| = ||f_1||...||f_k|| = 1$ . Then there is a point  $z_0 \in Z$  such that

$$1 = |T(f_1, ..., f_k)(z_0)| = \frac{1}{n^k} \left| \sum_{1 \le i_1, ..., i_k \le n} \frac{1}{f_1^{i_1}(x_1)} \dots \frac{1}{f_k^{i_k}(x_k)} T(f_1^{i_1}, ..., f_k^{i_k})(z_0) \right|.$$

Since for each  $1 \le i_1, ..., i_k \le n$ ,  $f_1^{i_1} \in C_{x_1}, ..., f_k^{i_k} \in C_{x_k}$  and  $||T(f_1^{i_1}, ..., f_k^{i_k})|| = 1$ , we conclude that  $|T(f_1^{i_1}, ..., f_k^{i_k})(z_0)| = 1$ . In particular,  $z_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n M_{T(f_1^{i_1}, ..., f_k^{i_k})}$ . Therefore  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n M_{T(f_1^{i_1}, ..., f_k^{i_k})} \ne \emptyset$ , as was to be proved.

**Lemma 4.2.** Fix  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$  and let  $(x_1, ..., x_k) \in Ch(A_1) \times ... \times Ch(A_k)$ . If  $f = (f_1, ..., f_k) \in C_{x_1} \times ... \times C_{x_{i-1}} \times A_i \times C_{x_{i+1}} \times ... \times C_{x_k}$  such that  $f_i(x_i) = 0$  and  $z \in \mathcal{I}_{x_1, ..., x_k}$  then T(f)(z) = 0.

Proof. For simplicity, we can take i = 1. Let  $f = (f_1, ..., f_k) \in A_1 \times C_{x_2} \times ... \times C_{x_k}$  such that  $f_1(x_1) = 0$  and suppose that there exists  $z_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x_k}$  such that  $T(f)(z_0) \neq 0$ . We can assume, without loss of generality, that  $||f_1|| = 1$  and  $T(f)(z_0) = \alpha$ , where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Fix a constant r > 1. By Lemma 2.2, there is a peaking function  $h_1 \in A_1$  such that  $h_1(x_1) = 1$  and  $||f_1| + r|h_1|| = r$ . In particular,  $||f_1 + r\bar{\lambda}h_1|| = r$ , where  $\lambda = T(h_1, f_2, ..., f_k)(z_0) \in \mathbb{T}$ . Then we have

$$r = \|f_1 + r\bar{\lambda}h_1\|\|f_2\|...\|f_k\| = \|T(f_1 + r\bar{\lambda}h_1, f_2, ..., f_k)\|,$$

while

$$T(f_1 + r\bar{\lambda}h_1, f_2, ..., f_k)(z_0) = T(f_1, f_2, ..., f_k)(z_0) + r\bar{\lambda}T(h_1, f_2, ..., f_k)(z_0) = \alpha + r,$$

a contradiction which yields T(f)(z) = 0 for all  $z \in \mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x_k}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $(x_1, ..., x_k) \in Ch(A_1) \times ... \times Ch(A_k)$  and  $z \in \mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x_k}$ . Let also I and J be two disjoint sets with  $I \neq \emptyset$  and  $I \cup J = \{1, ..., k\}$ . Assume that for each  $j \in J$ ,  $h_j \in C_{x_j}$  and for each  $i \in I$ ,  $f_i \in A_i$  with  $f_i(x_i) = 0$ , then  $T(F_1, ..., F_k)(z) = 0$ , where  $F_t = f_t$  if  $t \in I$  and  $F_t = h_t$  if  $t \in J$ .

Proof. Let us suppose, contrary to what we claim, that there exists  $z_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x_k}$  such that  $T(F_1,...,F_k)(z_0) \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $||f_i|| = 1$  for each  $i \in I$  and  $T(F_1,...,F_k)(z_0) = \alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Fix a constant r > 1. For each  $i \in I$ , we can choose, by Lemma 2.2, a peaking function  $h_i \in C_{x_i}$  such that  $||f_i| + r|h_i|| = r$ . In particular, for each  $i \in I$  we have  $||f_i + r\bar{\lambda}h_i|| = r$ , where  $\lambda = T(h_1,...,h_k)(z_0) \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Let us first suppose that  $I = \{1, 2\}$ . Hence, by Lemma 4.2, we can conclude that

$$\begin{split} T(f_1 + r\bar{\lambda}h_1, f_2 + rh_2, h_3, ..., h_k)(z_0) &= T(f_1, f_2, h_3, ..., h_k)(z_0) + r\bar{\lambda}T(h_1, f_2, h_3, ..., h_k)(z_0) \\ &+ rT(f_1, h_2, h_3, ..., h_k)(z_0) + r^2\bar{\lambda}T(h_1, ..., h_k)(z_0) = \alpha + r^2 \\ &> r^2 = \|f_1 + r\bar{\lambda}h_1\|\|f_2 + rh_2\|\|h_3\|...\|h_k\| \\ &= \|T(f_1 + r\bar{\lambda}h_1, f_2 + rh_2, h_3, .... h_k)\|, \end{split}$$

a contradiction which implies that the result is true when  $I = \{1, 2\}$ . Similarly, this result is held for all the cases where card(I) = 2.

Now we can continue by induction: noting to the above explanation, let us assume that the result is true for card(I) = l - 1 and  $3 \le l \le k$ . We shall show that the result is held if card(I) = l. We suppose that card(I) = l and  $I = \{x_1, ..., x_l\}$ , without loss of generality. If l < k, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} r^{l} &= \|f_{1} + r\bar{\lambda}h_{1}\|\|f_{2} + rh_{2}\|...\|f_{l} + rh_{l}\|\|h_{l+1}\|...\|h_{k}\| \\ &= \|T(f_{1} + r\bar{\lambda}h_{1}, f_{2} + rh_{2}, ..., f_{l} + rh_{l}, h_{l+1}, ..., h_{k})\| \\ &\geq |T(f_{1} + r\bar{\lambda}h_{1}, f_{2} + rh_{2}, ..., f_{l} + rh_{l}, h_{l+1}, ..., h_{k})(z_{0})| \\ &= |T(f_{1}, ..., f_{l}, h_{l+1}, ..., h_{k})(z_{0}) + r^{l}\bar{\lambda}T(h_{1}, ..., h_{k})(z_{0})| = \alpha + r^{l}, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Therefore,  $T(f_1, ..., f_l, h_{l+1}, ..., h_k)(z) = 0$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x_k}$ . Now if l = k, then  $I = \{x_1, ..., x_k\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} r^{k} &= \|f_{1} + r\bar{\lambda}h_{1}\|\|f_{2} + rh_{2}\|...\|f_{k} + rh_{k}\| = \|T(f_{1} + r\bar{\lambda}h_{1}, f_{2} + rh_{2}, ..., f_{k} + rh_{k})\| \\ &\geq |T(f_{1} + r\bar{\lambda}h_{1}, f_{2} + rh_{2}, ..., f_{k} + rh_{k})(z_{0})| \\ &= |T(f_{1}, ..., f_{k})(z_{0}) + r^{k}\bar{\lambda}T(h_{1}, ..., h_{k})(z_{0})| = \alpha + r^{k}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction showing that  $T(f_1, ..., f_k)(z) = 0$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{I}_{x_1, ..., x_k}$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $(x_1, ..., x_k)$  and  $(x'_1, ..., x'_k)$  be distinct points in  $Ch(A_1) \times ... \times Ch(A_k)$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x_k} \cap \mathcal{I}_{x'_1,...,x'_k} = \emptyset$ .

Proof. Contrary to what we claim, assume that there exists  $z_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x_k} \cap \mathcal{I}_{x'_1,...,x'_k}$ . Since  $(x_1,...,x_k)$  and  $(x'_1,...,x'_k)$  are distinct, the set  $L = \{i : 1 \le i \le k, x_i \ne x'_i\}$  is nonempty. For each  $i \in L$ , we can choose a function  $g_i \in A_i$  such that  $g_i(x_i) = 1$  and  $g_i(x'_i) = 0$ , and then, by Lemma 2.1, a peaking function  $h_i \in P_{A_i}(x_i)$  such that  $g_ih_i \in P_{A_i}(x_i)$ . Now if we let  $f_i = g_ih_i$  for every  $i \in L$ , then  $f_i \in C_{x_i}$  with  $f_i(x_i) = 1$  and  $f_i(x'_i) = 0$ . Moreover, for each  $j \in \{1,...,k\} \setminus L$ , we can also choose a peaking function  $f_j \in C_{x_j}$ . On one side, since  $(f_1,...,f_k) \in C_{x_1} \times ... \times C_{x_k}$ ,  $|T(f_1,...,f_k)(z_0)| = 1$ . On the other side, by Lemma 4.3,  $T(f_1,...,f_k)(z_0) = 0$ , which is impossible. Therefore,  $\mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x_k} \cap \mathcal{I}_{x'_1,...,x'_k} = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** Suppose that  $T : A_1 \times ... \times A_k \longrightarrow C_0(Z)$  is a k-linear isometry. Then there exist a nonempty subset  $Z_0$  of Z, a continuous surjective map  $\varphi : Z_0 \longrightarrow Ch(A_1) \times ... \times Ch(A_k)$  and a unimodular continuous function  $a : Z_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$  such that  $T(f_1, ..., f_k)(z) = a(z) \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(\pi_i(\varphi(z)))$  for all  $(f_1, ..., f_k) \in A_1 \times ... \times A_k$  and  $z \in Z_0$ , where  $\pi_i$  is the *i*th projection map.

Proof. Let  $Z_0 := \{z \in \mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x_k} : (x_1,...,x_k) \in Ch(A_1) \times ... \times Ch(A_k)\}$  which is a nonempty set, by Lemma 4.1. Fix  $(x_1,...,x_k) \in Ch(A_1) \times ... \times Ch(A_k)$  and  $h_i \in C_{x_i}$  with  $h_i(x_i) = 1$  for each i, i = 1,...,k. Then for each i, i = 1,...,k, we can define an isometry as follows:

$$\begin{cases} T_i : A_i \longrightarrow C_0(Z) \\ T_i(f) = T(h_1, ..., h_{i-1}, f, h_{i+1}, ..., h_k). \end{cases}$$

According to Theorem 3.1, there exist a subset  $Z_i$  of Z, a continuous surjective map  $\varphi_i : Z_i \longrightarrow Ch(A_i)$  such that

$$T_i(f_i)(z) = T(h_1, ..., h_k)(z)f_i(\varphi_i(z)), \quad (f_i \in A_i, z \in Z_i)$$

Namely,  $Z_i \supseteq \bigcup_{\substack{x'_i \in Ch(A_i) \\ i \in Ch(A_i)}} \mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x'_i,...,x_k}$  and if  $z \in \mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x'_i,...,x_k}$ , then  $\varphi_i(z) = x'_i$ . Let  $(f_1,...,f_k) \in A_1 \times ... \times A_k$ . Now for a given  $z \in \mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x_k}$ , by Lemma 4.3 and using the above reasonings, we conclude that

$$\begin{split} 0 &= T(f_1 - f_1(x_1)h_1, f_2 - f_2(x_2)h_2, h_3, ..., h_k)(z) \\ &= T(f_1, f_2, h_3, ..., h_k)(z) - f_1(x_1)T(h_1, f_2, h_3, ..., h_k)(z) \\ &- f_2(x_2)T(f_1, h_2, h_3, ..., h_k)(z) + f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)T(h_1, ..., h_k)(z) \\ &= T(f_1, f_2, h_3, ..., h_k)(z) - f_1(x_1)T_2(f_2)(z) - f_2(x_2)T_1(f_1)(z) + f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)T(h_1, ..., h_k)(z) \\ &= T(f_1, f_2, h_3, ..., h_k)(z) - f_1(x_1)T(h_1, ..., h_k)(z)f_2(x_2) \\ &- f_2(x_2)T(h_1, ..., h_k)(z)f_1(x_1) + f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)T(h_1, ..., h_k)(z) \\ &= T(f_1, f_2, h_3, ..., h_k)(z) - f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)T(h_1, ..., h_k)(z) \end{split}$$

Thus  $T(f_1, f_2, h_3, ..., h_k)(z) = T(h_1, ..., h_k)(z)f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)$ . By continuing this process and applying Lemma 4.3, finally we see that

$$0 = T(f_1 - f_1(x_1)h_1, \dots, f_k - f_k(x_k)h_k)(z)$$
  
=  $T(f_1, \dots, f_k)(z) - T(h_1, \dots, h_k)(z)f_1(x_1)\dots f_k(x_k),$ 

thereby,  $T(f_1, ..., f_k)(z) = T(h_1, ..., h_k)(z)f_1(x_1)...f_k(x_k)$ .

Now we define the map  $\varphi : Z_0 \longrightarrow Ch(A_1) \times ... \times Ch(A_k)$  by  $\varphi(z) := (x_1, ..., x_k)$  if  $z \in \mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x_k}$ . Since for distinct points  $(x_1, ..., x_k)$  and  $(x'_1, ..., x'_k)$  in  $Ch(A_1) \times ... \times Ch(A_k)$ , Lemma 4.4 yields  $\mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x_k} \cap \mathcal{I}_{x'_1,...,x'_k} = \emptyset$ , so the map  $\varphi$  is well-defined. Moreover, we can define the unimodular function  $a : Z_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$  such that if  $z \in Z_0$  then  $a(z) := T(h_1, ..., h_k)(z)$ , where  $h_i \in P_{A_i}(\pi_i(\varphi(z)))$ . Lemma 4.3 implies that the definition of a(z) is independent of the choice of  $h_1, ..., h_k$ . Besides, from the above argument, it follows that if  $z \in Z_0$  with  $\varphi(z) = (x_1, ..., x_k)$  and  $(f_1, ..., f_k) \in A_1 \times ... \times A_k$  then

$$T(f_1, ..., f_k)(z) = a(z) \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(x_i) = a(z) \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(\pi_i(\varphi(z)))$$

Next we prove that  $\varphi$  is continuous. Suppose that  $z_0 \in Z_0$ ,  $\varphi(z_0) = (x_1, ..., x_k)$  and  $U_1 \times ... \times U_k$  is a neighborhood of  $(x_1, ..., x_k)$  in  $Ch(A_1) \times ... \times Ch(A_k)$ . For each i, i = 1, ..., k, there is a neighborhood  $U'_i$  of  $x_i$  in  $X_i$  with  $U_i = U'_i \cap Ch(A_i)$ . Choose a peaking function  $f_i \in C_{x_i}$  such that  $|f_i| < \frac{1}{2}$  on

 $X_i \setminus U'_i$  (i = 1, ..., k). Then  $|T(f_1, ..., f_k)(z_0)| = 1$ . Set

$$V := \{ z \in Z_0 : |T(f_1, ..., f_k)(z)| > \frac{1}{2} \}.$$

Clearly V is a neighborhood of  $z_0$  such that  $\varphi(V) \subseteq U_1 \times ... \times U_k$  because if  $z \in V$  and  $\varphi(z) = (x'_1, ..., x'_k)$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2} < |T(f_1, ..., f_k)(z)| = \prod_{i=1}^k |f_i(x_i')| \le |f_i(x_i')| \quad (i = 1, ..., k)$$

Hence  $x'_i \in U_i$  and so  $(x'_1, ..., x'_k) \in U_1 \times ... \times U_k$ .

To complete the proof, it suffices to check the continuity of a. Let  $z_0 \in Z_0$ . Then  $z_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{x_1,...,x_k}$ for a unique  $(x_1,...,x_k)$  in  $Ch(A_1) \times ... \times Ch(A_k)$ . For each i, i = 1,...,k, choose a peaking function  $f_i \in P_{A_i}(x_i)$  and take

$$U_i := \{ x \in Ch(A_i) : f_i(x) \neq 0 \}$$

Then  $U = U_1 \times ... \times U_k$  is a neighborhood of  $(x_1, ..., x_k)$  in  $Ch(A_1) \times ... \times Ch(A_k)$  and consequently  $\varphi^{-1}(U)$  is a neighborhood of  $z_0$ . We have

$$a(z) = \frac{T(f_1, \dots, f_k)(z)}{\prod_{i=1}^k f_i(\pi_i(\varphi(z)))} \quad (z \in \varphi^{-1}(U)).$$

So from the continuity of the function  $\frac{T(f_1,...,f_k)}{\prod_{i=1}^k f_i \circ \pi_i \circ \varphi}$  on  $\varphi^{-1}(U)$ , we conclude that a is continuous at  $z_0$ .

Acknowledgments. We thank the referee for his/her invaluable comments and suggestions.

Research of J.J. Font and M. Sanchis was partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Education (Grant number MTM2011-23118), and by Bancaixa (Projecte P11B2011-30).

## References

- J. Araujo and J.J. Font, Linear isometries between subspaces of continuous functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997) 413-428.
- J. Araujo and J.J. Font, On Šilov boundaries for subspaces of continuous functions, Topology Appl. 77 (1997) 79-85.
- [3] A. Browder, Introduction to Function Algebras, W. A. Benjamin, New York-Amsterdam, 1969.
- [4] H.G. Dales, Boundaries and peak points for Banach function algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. 22 (1971) 121-136.
- [5] R.J. Fleming and J.E. Jamison, *Isometries on Banach Spaces: Function Spaces*, Chapman Hall/CRC Monogr. Surv. Pure Appl. Math., 129, Chapman Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2003.
- [6] J.J. Font and M. Sanchis, Bilinear isometries on subspaces of continuous functions, Math. Nachr. 283 (2010) 568-572.
- [7] O. Hatori, S. Lambert, A. Lutman, T. Miura, T. Tonev, and R.Yates, Spectral preservers in commutative Banach algebras, Contemp. Math. 547 (2011) 103-123.

- [8] O. Hatori, T. Miura, and H. Takagi, Characterizations of isometric isomorphisms between uniform algebras via nonlinear range-preserving properties, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006) 2923-2930.
- [9] W. Holsztyński, Continuous mappings induced by isometries of spaces of continuous functions, Studia Math. 26 (1966) 133-136.
- [10] A. Moreno and A. Rodríguez, A bilinear version of Holsztyński's theorem on isometries of C(X)-spaces, Studia Math. 166 (2005) 83-91.
- [11] N.V. Rao and A.K. Roy, Multiplicatively spectrum-preserving maps of function algebras II, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 48 (2005) 219-229.
- [12] T. Tonev and R. Yates, Norm-linear and norm-additive operators between uniform algebras, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 357 (2009) 45-53.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, K.N. TOOSI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, TEHRAN, 16315-1618, IRAN *E-mail address*: m.hosseini@kntu.ac.ir

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSITAT JAUME I, CAMPUS RIU SEC, 8029 AP, CASTELLÓN, SPAIN *E-mail address*: font@mat.uji.es

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSITAT JAUME I, CAMPUS RIU SEC, 8029 AP, CASTELLÓN, SPAIN *E-mail address*: sanchis@mat.uji.es