

## FINITE GROUPS WITH TWO $p$ -REGULAR CONJUGACY CLASS LENGTHS II

ELENA ALEMANY, ANTONIO BELTRÁN<sup>✉</sup> and MARÍA JOSÉ FELIPE

(Received 16 June 2008)

### Abstract

Let  $G$  be a finite group. We prove that if the set of  $p$ -regular conjugacy class sizes of  $G$  has exactly two elements, then  $G$  has Abelian  $p$ -complement or  $G = PQ \times A$ , with  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ ,  $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$  and  $A$  Abelian.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 20E45; secondary 20Dxx.

*Keywords and phrases*: finite-groups,  $p$ -regular elements, conjugacy class sizes.

### 1. Introduction

Itô proved in [5] that if  $G$  is a finite group such that all its noncentral conjugacy classes have equal size, then  $G = Q \times A$ , where  $Q$  is a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $G$ , for some prime  $q$ , and  $A$  lies in  $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ . In [1], Beltrán and Felipe proved a generalization of this result for  $p$ -regular conjugacy class sizes and some prime  $p$ , with the assumption that the group  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable. In the present paper, we improve this result by showing that the  $p$ -solvability condition is not necessary.

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group. If the set of  $p$ -regular conjugacy class sizes of  $G$  has exactly two elements, for some prime  $p$ , then  $G$  has Abelian  $p$ -complement or  $G = PQ \times A$ , with  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ ,  $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ , with  $q$  a prime distinct from  $p$ . As a consequence, if  $\{1, m\}$  are the  $p$ -regular conjugacy class sizes of  $G$ , then  $m = p^a q^b$ . In particular, if  $b = 0$  then  $G$  has Abelian  $p$ -complements and if  $a = 0$  then  $G = P \times Q \times A$  with  $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ .*

The proof given in [1] for  $p$ -solvable groups is divided into two cases, when the centralizers of noncentral  $p$ -regular elements are all  $G$ -conjugated and when they are not. In the second case, it is easy to check that the hypothesis of  $p$ -solvability is not needed, so our study reduces then to the case in which all the centralizers of noncentral  $p$ -regular elements are conjugated. In order to solve this case, we are going to base

---

This work was partially supported by grant MTM2007-68010-C03-03 and the second author is also supported by grant Fundació Caixa-Castelló P1-1A2006-06.

© 2009 Australian Mathematical Society 0004-9727/2009 \$16.00

our arguments on the proof of a theorem of Camina [2, Theorem 1]. We stress that while Camina used the classification obtained by Gorenstein and Walter [3] of those groups whose Sylow 2-subgroups are dihedral (this having been used to complete the classification of the simple finite groups), we present a more simple proof by making use of a well-known theorem of Kazarin which asserts that in any finite group the subgroup generated by an element of prime power class size is always solvable [4, Theorem 15.7].

Furthermore, we remark that it is not feasible that all the centralizers of noncentral elements of a group  $G$  are conjugate, but it is easy to find examples where all the centralizers of noncentral  $p$ -regular elements are conjugate (consequently  $G$  has exactly two  $p$ -regular conjugacy class sizes) for some prime  $p$ . For instance, the centralizers of all noncentral 2-elements of  $\text{SL}(2, 3)$  are conjugate and the 3-regular class sizes are  $\{1, 6\}$ . Another example is  $\text{Alt}(4)$ , whose 2-regular class sizes are  $\{1, 4\}$ .

We shall assume that every group is finite and we shall denote by  $G_{p'}$  the set of  $p$ -regular elements of  $G$ .

## 2. Preliminary results

We shall need some results on conjugacy classes of  $p$ -regular elements.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $G$  be a finite group. Then all the conjugacy class sizes in  $G_{p'}$  are  $p$ -numbers if and only if  $G$  has Abelian  $p$ -complements.*

PROOF. See [1, Lemma 2]. □

The following is exactly [2, Lemma 1], but we present an easier proof. It generalizes [1, Lemma 3] by eliminating the hypothesis of  $p$ -solvability.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group and that  $p$  is not a divisor of the sizes of  $p$ -regular conjugacy classes. Then  $G = P \times H$  where  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup and  $H$  is a  $p$ -complement of  $G$ .*

PROOF. Let  $g \in G$  and consider its  $\{p, p'\}$ -decomposition as  $g = g_p g_{p'}$ . Suppose that  $g_{p'}$  is noncentral. As the class size of  $g_{p'}$  is a  $p'$ -number, if we fix a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ , then there exists some  $t \in G$  such that  $g_p \in P^t \subseteq C_G(g_{p'})$ . Therefore,

$$G = \bigcup_{t \in G} P^t C_G(P^t).$$

Then  $G = PC_G(P)$  and so,  $G = P \times H$  where  $H$  is a  $p$ -complement of  $G$ . □

LEMMA 3. *Let  $P$  be an Abelian  $p$ -group, with  $p$  a prime and let  $K$  be a group of automorphisms of  $P$  such that  $|K|$  is divisible by  $p$ . Suppose that  $C_P(x) = C_P(y)$  for all  $x, y \in K - \{1\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{O}_{p'}(K) = 1$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $H = \mathbf{O}_{p'}(K) > 1$  and we shall get a contradiction. Suppose first that  $C_P(H) = 1$  and take some nontrivial  $x \in H$ . If there exists some element

$w \in C_P(x) - \{1\}$ , then clearly  $w \in C_P(H)$  and so, necessarily,  $C_P(x) = 1$  and hence,  $C_P(y) = 1$  for all  $y \in K - \{1\}$ . But if we count the orbit sizes this cannot happen because  $p$  divides  $|K|$ .

As a result,  $C_P(H) \neq 1$ . Now, as  $P$  is Abelian, by coprime action we can write  $P = C_P(H) \times [P, H]$ , and since  $C_P(K) = C_P(H)$  and  $K$  is a group of automorphisms of  $P$ , it follows that  $[P, H] \neq 1$ . Thus, if  $x \in K - \{1\}$ , then  $C_P(x) = C_P(K) \times C_{[P, H]}(x)$ . Now, if  $w \in C_{[P, H]}(x) - \{1\}$ , then  $C_P(w) = C_P(K)$ , so  $w \in C_P(K) \cap [P, H] = 1$ . This is not possible, so  $C_{[P, H]}(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in K - \{1\}$ . But this contradicts again the fact that  $p$  is a divisor of  $|K|$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 4. *Let  $G$  be a finite group such that all its Sylow subgroups are cyclic. If  $r$  and  $s$  are two distinct primes dividing  $|G|$ , then there exists a subgroup  $U$  of  $G$  such that  $|U| = rs$ .*

PROOF. We work by induction on the order of  $G$ . First, it is known that any finite group whose Sylow subgroups are all cyclic is solvable (see for instance [6, 10.1.10]). Let  $M$  be a maximal normal subgroup of  $G$ , so  $|G : M| = p$  for some prime  $p$ . We can assume that  $M$  is a  $p'$ -subgroup, otherwise we can apply the inductive hypothesis to  $M$  and the result is obtained. Also, we only have to show that there exists a subgroup of order  $pq$  for any prime  $q \neq p$  dividing  $|M|$ , since the other cases are obtained by the inductive hypothesis as well. If  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $P$  acts coprimely on  $M$ , so if we fix a prime  $q$  dividing  $|M|$ , we know (see for example [4, 14.3]) that there exists some  $P$ -invariant Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$ , which is cyclic. Hence, if  $x \in Q$  has order  $q$ , then  $U = \langle x \rangle P$  has order  $pq$ , as required.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem A

We shall prove by induction on the order of  $G$  that either  $G$  has Abelian  $p$ -complements or  $G$  is a  $\{p, q\}$ -group for some prime  $q \neq p$  without considering central factors. Likewise, we notice that when  $G$  is solvable then the theorem is already proved by [1, Theorem A]. We shall assume then that the  $p$ -complements of  $G$  are not Abelian and that there exist at least two prime divisors of the order of  $G/\mathbf{Z}(G)$  different from  $p$ , in order to get a contradiction.

As we have already pointed out in the introduction, we are also going to assume that all the centralizers of noncentral elements in  $G_{p'}$  are conjugated in  $G$ . In the other case the theorem can be proved exactly the same as case 2 of [1, Theorem A], where the condition of  $p$ -solvability is not necessary. More precisely, the conjugation of the centralizers of all noncentral elements in  $G_{p'}$  will be used from Step 4.

The first two steps are exactly Steps 1 and 4 of [1, Theorem A], so we shall omit their proofs.

STEP 1. We can assume that  $C_G(x) = P_x \times L_x$ , with  $P_x$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $C_G(x)$  and  $L_x \leq Z(C_G(x))$ , for any noncentral  $x \in G_{p'}$ .

STEP 2.  $C_G(x) < N_G(C_G(x))$  for every noncentral  $x \in G_{p'}$ .

STEP 3. If  $x \in G_{p'}$  is noncentral, then every Sylow subgroup of  $N_G(C_G(x))/C_G(x)$  is cyclic or generalized quaternion. Furthermore, if  $q \neq p$  is a prime divisor of the order of this group, then the Sylow  $q$ -subgroup has order  $q$ .

We fix some  $x \in G_{p'}$  and write  $W = N_G(C_G(x))/C_G(x)$ . Let  $Q$  be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $W$  for some prime  $q$  dividing  $|W|$  (possibly  $q = p$ ). By the assumptions we have made at the beginning of the proof there exists some prime  $r$ , divisor of  $|G/\mathbf{Z}(G)|$ , distinct from  $q$  and  $p$ . Clearly  $r$  divides  $|C_G(x)|$  since all these centralizers have the same size. Let  $R_x$  be a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $C_G(x)$  and notice that  $Q$  acts as a permutation group on  $R_x$  since if  $g \in Q$ , then  $C_{R_x}(g) = R_x \cap \mathbf{Z}(G)$ . Moreover, since this is a coprime action and  $R_x$  is Abelian, we can write  $R_x = [R_x, Q] \times C_{R_x}(Q)$ . Also, observe that  $Q$  acts fixed-point-freely on  $[R_x, Q]$ , for if  $t \in [R_x, Q] - \{1\}$ , then  $C_G(t) = C_G(x)$  by Step 1, so no element of  $Q - \{1\}$  may fix  $t$ . Consequently, we can apply a well known result ([4, Theorem 16.12] for instance) to obtain that  $Q$  is cyclic or generalized quaternion.

Assume now that  $q \neq p$  and take  $Q_x$  a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $C_G(x)$ , which is normal by Step 1. Accordingly,  $Q$  acts on  $\overline{Q}_x = Q_x/\mathbf{Z}(G)_q$ . If  $M$  is the semidirect product defined by this action, we can take some element in  $\mathbf{Z}(M) \cap \overline{Q}_x$  which has exactly order  $q$ . If  $\bar{t} \in \overline{Q}_x$ , with  $t \in Q_x$  is such an element, we can construct the subgroup  $T = \langle t \rangle \mathbf{Z}(G)_q \leq C_G(x)$ . Observe that  $Q$  acts faithfully on  $T$ , that is,  $C_Q(T) = 1$ , since  $C_G(t) = C_G(x)$  by Step 1. Furthermore, notice that  $[T, Q] \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)_q$ . We claim now that  $Q$  is a  $q$ -elementary subgroup. Let  $v \in Q$ . As  $t^q \in \mathbf{Z}(G)$ , then  $1 = [t^q, v] = [t, v]^q$ , where the last equality follows because  $T$  is Abelian. Also, since  $[t, v] \in \mathbf{Z}(G)$  we have  $[t, v]^q = [t, v^q]$ , so we conclude that  $v^q \in C_Q(T) = 1$  and thus  $Q$  is elementary, as claimed. But this implies that  $Q$  is cyclic of order  $q$  by the above paragraph, and hence the step is proved.

STEP 4. For any  $x \in G_{p'}$ , we have  $|N_G(C_G(x))/C_G(x)| = q$  for some fixed prime  $q \neq p$ .

First we are going to prove that  $W = N_G(C_G(x))/C_G(x)$  is  $q$ -group for some prime  $q$  (including the possibility  $q = p$ ). Suppose that  $|W|$  is divisible by at least two distinct primes and we shall prove that there exists a subgroup  $U$  of  $W$  such that  $|U|$  is the product of two prime numbers. By Step 3, if every Sylow subgroup of  $W$  is cyclic then there exists such subgroup  $U$  by Lemma 4. We can assume then that 2 divides  $|W|$  and that the Sylow 2-subgroups of  $W$  are generalized quaternion, so we can apply a classic theorem of Brauer and Suzuki (see [4, 45.1]) to obtain that  $\mathbf{O}_{2'}(W)\langle\tau\rangle \trianglelefteq W$ , where  $\tau$  is an involution of  $W$ . Again by Step 3, the Sylow subgroups of  $\mathbf{O}_{2'}(W)$  are cyclic, so if  $|\mathbf{O}_{2'}(W)|$  is divisible by at least two distinct primes then the subgroup  $U$  exists by Lemma 4 as well. So we can suppose that  $\mathbf{O}_{2'}(W)$  is a cyclic  $r$ -group for some prime  $r \neq 2$ . Hence we can take  $\alpha \in \mathbf{O}_{2'}(W)$  of order  $r$  and we may construct the subgroup  $U = \langle\alpha\rangle\langle\tau\rangle$  of order  $2r$ . As a result, in all the cases we have a subgroup  $U \leq W$  such that  $|U| = rs$ , for some primes  $r$  and  $s$ , as we wanted to prove. We shall see now that this leads to a contradiction. If both primes are distinct from  $p$ , then either  $U$  has a normal  $r$ -complement or has

a normal  $s$ -complement, and we shall assume without loss that the  $r$ -complement is normal. In the other case, that is, if  $|U| = pr$ , with  $r \neq p$  then, arguing as in the first paragraph of Step 3, we get that  $U$  operates as a permutation group and fixed-point-freely on  $[S_x, U] - 1$ , where  $S_x$  is the Sylow  $s$ -subgroup of  $C_G(x)$  for some prime  $s \notin \{p, r\}$ . Notice that such  $s$  exists by the assumption we have made at the beginning. Furthermore, in this second case (by applying for instance [4, Lemma 16.12]) we get that  $U$  is cyclic, so in particular,  $U$  has nontrivial normal  $r$ -complement. Thus, in both cases,  $U$  has a normal  $r$ -complement for some prime  $r \neq p$ . However,  $U$  is an automorphism group of  $R_x$ , where  $R_x$  is the Abelian Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $C_G(x)$ . Moreover, if  $u, v \in U - \{1\}$ , then  $C_{R_x}(u) = C_{R_x}(v) = \mathbf{Z}(G)_r$ , so by Lemma 3, we get  $\mathbf{O}_{r'}(U) = 1$ , which is a contradiction.

Take now a noncentral Sylow  $r$ -subgroup  $R_x$  of  $C_G(x)$ , for some prime  $r \neq p$ . If  $t \in R_x$  is noncentral, then by applying Step 1, we have  $C_G(x) = C_G(t)$ . If  $w \in N_G(R_x)$ , then by the same reason,  $C_G(t^w) = C_G(t)$ . Therefore,  $C_G(x) = C_G(t)^w = C_G(x)^w$  and  $w \in N_G(C_G(x))$ . Thus  $N_G(R_x) \leq N_G(C_G(x))$ . Nevertheless, notice that if  $R_x$  is not a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $R_x < N_G(R_x)$ , so  $r$  divides  $|N_G(R_x)/R_x|$ , and this implies that  $|W|$  is divisible by  $r$ , so  $W$  cannot be a  $p$ -group. By taking into account Step 3, the step is proved.

The fact that all the centralizers are conjugated implies that we can assume for the rest of the proof that  $|N_G(C_G(x))/C_G(x)| = q$ , for a fixed prime  $q \neq p$  and for any noncentral  $x \in G_{p'}$ .

STEP 5. We can assume that  $\mathbf{O}_p(G) = 1$  and that  $|G : N_G(C_G(x))|$  is a  $p$ -number for any noncentral  $x \in G_{p'}$ .

We fix a noncentral  $x \in G_{p'}$  and for any prime  $r \neq p$  we take  $R$  a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $G$ . If  $R$  is Abelian, as all the centralizers of noncentral elements in  $G_{p'}$  have the same order, then the Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $C_G(x)$ ,  $R_x$ , is a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $R$  is conjugated to  $R_x$ . Thus,  $r$  does not divide  $|G : N_G(C_G(x))|$ . If  $R$  is not Abelian, then it is an elementary fact that there exists some  $t \in R - \mathbf{Z}(R)$  such that  $C_R(t) \trianglelefteq R$ . As the centralizers of all noncentral  $p$ -regular elements are conjugate, we can assume without loss that  $C_G(t) = C_G(x)$ . In particular,  $C_R(t) \subseteq C_G(x)$ . On the other hand, is  $g \in N_G(C_R(t))$ , then  $t^g \in C_R(t)$  and  $C_G(t) = C_G(t^g)$  by Step 1. Consequently,  $C_G(x) = C_G(t) = C_G(t^g) = C_G(x)^g$  and so  $g \in N_G(C_G(x))$ . Thus  $R \leq N_G(C_R(t)) \leq N_G(C_G(x))$ , and so  $|G : N_G(C_G(x))|$  is an  $r'$ -number too. Accordingly, in both cases we have proved that  $|G : N_G(C_G(x))|$  is a  $p$ -number.

Now we assume that  $\mathbf{O}_p(G) \neq 1$  and we are going to see that  $\overline{G} = G/\mathbf{O}_p(G)$  satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. We fix some noncentral element  $x \in G_{p'}$ . Let  $\overline{y} \in C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})$  and notice that  $[x, y] \in \mathbf{O}_p(G)$ . Hence, we can write  $x^y = xa$ , with  $a \in \mathbf{O}_p(G)$ , so  $x^y$  is a  $p'$ -element of  $C_G(x)\mathbf{O}_p(G)$ , and then  $x^y \in L_x^t$ , for some  $t \in \mathbf{O}_p(G)$ , where  $L_x$  is the  $p'$ -subgroup appearing in Step 1. Therefore  $x^{yt^{-1}} \in L_x$  and  $C_G(x) = C_G(x^{yt^{-1}})$ . As a consequence,  $yt^{-1} \in N_G(C_G(x))$ , so  $y = wt$  with  $w \in N_G(C_G(x))$ . Thus,  $\overline{y} = \overline{w}$  and  $\overline{w}\overline{x} = \overline{x}\overline{w}$ , that is,  $[w, x] \in \mathbf{O}_p(G)$ . On the other

hand, as  $w \in N_G(C_G(x))$  and  $x$  is a  $p$ -regular element, this forces  $[w, x]$  to be a  $p$ -regular element, so  $[x, w] = 1$ . Therefore,  $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}) = C_G(x)$  and we conclude that  $\overline{G}$  has two class sizes of  $p$ -regular elements. By the inductive hypothesis, either  $\overline{G}$  has an Abelian  $p$ -complement or  $\overline{G} = \overline{P}\overline{Q} \times \overline{A}$ , with  $\overline{P} \in \text{Syl}_p(\overline{G})$ ,  $\overline{Q} \in \text{Syl}_q(\overline{G})$  and  $\overline{A} \leq \mathbf{Z}(\overline{G})$ . In the first case,  $G$  has an Abelian  $p$ -complement, contradicting our first assumptions and in the second one,  $G$  is a solvable group, so the proof would be finished.

STEP 6.  $\mathbf{O}_r(G) \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ , for every prime  $r \neq p$ .

Let  $r$  be any prime distinct from  $p$  and suppose that  $K = \mathbf{O}_r(G)$  is noncentral. By Step 5, we have  $K \subseteq N_G(C_G(x))$ , for all  $x \in G_{p'}$ . The hypothesis and Step 1 imply that there exists an Abelian noncentral normal Sylow  $s$ -subgroup of  $C_G(x)$ , say  $S_x$ , for some prime  $s \neq p, r$ . Notice that  $S_x$  is normalized by  $K$  and thus  $[S_x, K] \subseteq S_x \cap K = 1$ , so  $K \subseteq C_G(S_x) = C_G(x)$ , where the last equality follows as a consequence of Step 1. On the other hand, if  $t \in K - \mathbf{Z}(G)$ , then  $C_G(t) = C_G(x)$  again by Step 1. Moreover, if  $w \in N_G(K)$ , then  $C_G(t^w) = C_G(x)$ , hence  $C_G(x)^w = C_G(t)^w = C_G(t^w) = C_G(x)$ . Thus,  $G = N_G(K) \subseteq N_G(C_G(x))$  and  $C_G(x) \trianglelefteq G$ . By Step 4, we have  $|G : C_G(x)| = q$ . This means that  $m = q$ , so by applying Lemma 2 and Itô's theorem on groups with two conjugacy class sizes (see for instance [4, Theorem 33.6]), we obtain  $G = P \times Q \times A$ , with  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ ,  $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$  and  $A$  Abelian, against our initial assumption.

STEP 7. We can now derive the conclusion.

First, we notice that  $\mathbf{Z}(G)_q \neq 1$ , since any element lying in the centre of a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $G$  must be central in  $G$  too because  $q$  divides  $m$  by Step 4. We write  $\overline{G} = G/\mathbf{Z}(G)_q$  and we shall prove that  $\overline{G}$  has two  $p$ -regular conjugacy class sizes.

We can trivially assume that  $\overline{G}$  is not Abelian, otherwise  $G$  would be solvable and the proof is finished. If  $\overline{a} \in \overline{G} - \mathbf{Z}(\overline{G})$ , we observe that  $\overline{C_G(a)} \subseteq C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{a})$ . If  $\overline{C_G(a)} = C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{a})$  for all  $\overline{a} \in \overline{G} - \mathbf{Z}(\overline{G})$ , it certainly follows that  $\overline{G}$  has two  $p$ -regular conjugacy class sizes, as we wanted. Suppose then that there is a  $p$ -regular element  $\overline{a} \in \overline{G}$  such that  $\overline{C_G(a)} \neq C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{a})$ . It is easy to see that if  $\overline{w} \in C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{a})$  then  $w \in N_G(C_G(a))$ , that is,  $\overline{C_G(a)} \subseteq \overline{N_G(C_G(a))}$ . As  $|\overline{N_G(C_G(a))} : \overline{C_G(a)}| = q$  by Step 4, this implies that  $\overline{N_G(C_G(a))} = C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{a})$  and so, by Step 5 we conclude that  $|\overline{G} : C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{a})|$  is a  $p$ -number. Now, by a renowned theorem due to Kazarin (see for example [4, 15.7]), the subgroup  $\langle \overline{a}^{\overline{G}} \rangle$  is a solvable normal subgroup of  $\overline{G}$ . It is easy to see then that this implies that  $\langle a^G \rangle$  is a noncentral solvable normal subgroup of  $G$  too, but this is not possible in view of Steps 5 and 6.

Therefore, we have proved that  $\overline{G}$  has two  $p$ -regular conjugacy class sizes, and by induction we obtain that  $\overline{G}$  has an Abelian  $p$ -complement or  $\overline{G} = \overline{P}\overline{Q} \times \overline{A}$ , with  $\overline{P} \in \text{Syl}_p(\overline{G})$ ,  $\overline{Q} \in \text{Syl}_q(\overline{G})$  and  $\overline{A} \leq \mathbf{Z}(\overline{G})$ . Both cases lead to the solvability of  $G$ , so the proof is finished.

The last assertions in the statement of the theorem will follow then by immediate application of Lemmas 1 and 2.

### References

- [1] A. Beltrán and M. J. Felipe, 'Finite groups with two  $p$ -regular conjugacy class lengths', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **67** (2003), 163–169.
- [2] A. R. Camina, 'Finite groups of conjugate rank 2', *Nagoya Math. J.* **53** (1974), 47–57.
- [3] D. Gorenstein and J. H. Walter, 'On finite groups with dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups', *Illinois J. Math.* **6** (1962), 553–593.
- [4] B. Huppert, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 25 (Berlin, New York, 1998).
- [5] N. Itô, 'On finite groups with given conjugate type I', *Nagoya Math. J.* **6** (1953), 17–28.
- [6] D. J. S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 80, 2nd edn (Springer, New York, 1996).

ELENA ALEMANY, Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, 46022 Valencia, Spain

e-mail: ealemany@mat.upv.es

ANTONIO BELTRÁN, Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Jaime I, 12071 Castellón, Spain

e-mail: abeltran@mat.uji.es

MARÍA JOSÉ FELIPE, Instituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, 46022 Valencia, Spain

e-mail: mfelipe@mat.upv.es