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Synthesis and biological evaluation of α-tubulin-binding pironetin analogues with enhanced lipophilicity
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The preparation of four new lipophilic analogues of the natural pyrone pironetin is described. The nine-carbon side chain of the latter has been replaced in one analogue by a 4-phenylbutyl chain and in the other three analogues by long aliphatic chains of thirteen and sixteen carbons, all of them bearing two stereogenic centers. Their cytotoxic activity and their interactions with tubulin have been investigated. It has been found that all four are cytotoxic towards two either sensitive or resistant tumoral cell lines with similar IC₅₀ values in each case, thus indicating that, like the parent natural compound, they also display a covalent mechanism of action. However, one of them operates in all likelihood through a mechanism very similar to pironetin whereas the other three seem to be cytotoxic via a different mechanism.

Introduction

Microtubules are dynamic polymers which play a central role in a number of cellular processes, most particularly cell division, as they are key constituents of the mitotic spindle.[1] Their shape can be described as hollow tubes of about 25 nm external diameter constituted of a protein named tubulin. The functional form of this protein is a heterodimer formed in turn through non-covalent binding of two monomeric constituents. These are two structurally related polypeptides of about 450 amino acid residues which are constitutively present in eukaryotic cells and are key constituents of the mitotic spindle. The latter has been replaced in one analogue by a 4-pyrones pironetin is described. The nine-carbon side chain of the natural compound, they also display a covalent mechanism of action. However, one of them operates in all likelihood through a mechanism very similar to pironetin whereas the other three seem to be cytotoxic via a different mechanism.

The mechanisms of action[8] of many of these TBMs and the molecular aspects[9] of their interactions with tubulin have been studied using a broad palette of methods.[10]

TBMs may be divided into two broad categories, those that bind to α-tubulin and those that bind to β-tubulin. The latter group is presently by far the most numerous and contains products which cause either disruption or stabilization of microtubules. Among the drugs that belong to this group, the well-known colchicine[11] exerts its effects by causing disruption of microtubules. In contrast, another renowned representative of the same group, paclitaxel, was the first-described tubulin-interacting drug with the ability to stabilize microtubules.[12] Despite the fact that they exert opposite effects on the mitotic spindle, both drugs are known to bind to β-tubulin, even though to different sites within that protein subunit. The mechanisms of action[13] of many of these TBMs and the molecular aspects[14] of their interactions with tubulin have been studied using a broad palette of methods.[15]

The number of products reported to bind to α-tubulin is very small, the naturally occurring 5,6-dihydro-α-pyrone pironetin (Fig. 1) being the first example,[11] followed a short time later by the peptide-like hemiasterlin family.[12] Pironetin proved a potent inhibitor of tubulin assembly and was found to arrest cell cycle progression in the G2/M phase.[13] This feature has motivated a number of groups to undertake total syntheses of this natural compound.[14] Some synthetic and biological studies on modified variants of pironetin have previously been published.[15]
Some structure-activity (SAR) studies on pironetin have been reported. These studies have shown that the presence of the conjugated C2–C3 double bond and of the hydroxyl group at C-9, either free or methylated, are essential for the biological activity. The presence of a (7R)-hydroxyl group also seems to be very relevant. The epoxidation of the C12-C13 double bond has been shown to cause a decrease in the activity, but this may perhaps involve a deleterious effect of the oxirane ring, rather than a lack of reactivity. No data are available about the importance of the remaining structural features. It has been proposed that the Lys352 residue of the α-tubulin chain adds in a Michael fashion to the conjugated double bond of pironetin, therefore forming a covalent bond with C-3 of the pyrone ring (Fig. 2). In addition, it has been suggested that the Asn258 residue of α-tubulin holds the pironetin molecule through two hydrogen bonds to the pyrone carbonyl and the methoxyl oxygen atoms.

The appearance of resistances to existing drugs has led to a continuous need of developing new bioactive compounds that overcome such problems. Even though first observed in the case of antibiotics, resistances have also been reported to TBM. The investigation of new members of this compound class therefore constitutes an important goal in chemistry and pharmacology. As a member of the up to now small group of products that bind to α-tubulin, pironetin constitutes a pharmacologically interesting target. Thus, the purpose of our present research is the preparation of pironetin analogues that retain a substantial proportion of the biological activity of the natural metabolite while displaying a more simplified structure. Indeed, pironetin is not an extremely complex molecule but, with six stereocenters, a total synthesis will be anyway lengthy and this may perhaps serve a declaratory effect of the oxirane ring, rather than all stereocenters (C-5, C-7, C-9) did not translate into significant differences in the biological activity.

In continuation of our efforts in this line of research, we have now investigated the influence of the nature of the lipophilic side chain attached to the dihydropyrene ring. Indeed, we intend to prepare tubulin-active molecules with a hybrid structure and the ability to bind to two different points in the tubulin network. Since one half of these hybrid molecules will be pironetin-like, we wish to acquire knowledge about the nature and size of the required spacer fragment. Accordingly, the four pironetin analogues 3-6 were prepared (Fig. 4). As regards the stereocenters, and in view of the aforementioned fact that their configurations do not seem to play a significant role in the biological activity, compounds 3-6 were prepared with the same configuration as natural pironetin at C-5, C-7 and C-9. The difference with the previously reported analogues 1-2 resides in the lipophilic end of the side chain, which is much longer in 3 and 4. Compound 5 is an O-methylated analogue of 4 whereas compound 6 contains a phenyl ring instead of the aliphatic chain.

Results and Discussion

Chemical results

Dihydropyrones 3-6 were prepared using the methodology employed in the case of compounds of general structure 2. Scheme 1 depicts the details of the synthetic sequence for the case of pyrone 3, where n-decanal was the starting material.

Brown’s asymmetric allylation of n-decanal using a chiral allylborane afforded homoallyl alcohol 7. The required borane was prepared through reaction of allylmagnesium bromide with the commercially available (−)-disopinocamphorboron chloride, (−)-Ipc2BCl. Methylation of the free hydroxyl group of compound 7 yielded methyl ether 8. Ozonolytic cleavage of the olefinic bond in 8 gave the intermediate aldehyde 9, which was not isolated but directly subjected to asymmetric allylation, this time using the chiral allylborane formed from allylmagnesium bromide and (+)-Ipc2BCl. This gave rise to homoallyl alcohol 10 as an inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers in a 88:12 ratio. Silylation of this mixture gave 11, which was subjected to ozonolytic cleavage of the C=C bond. Without purification, the intermediate aldehyde 12 was submitted to asymmetric allylation with the chiral allylborane generated from (−)-Ipc2BCl and allylmagnesium bromide. This provided homoallylic alcohol 13 as a single diastereoisomer, the minor stereoisomer being removed during the chromatographic separation. Reaction of 13 with acryloyl chloride at low temperature gave acrylate 14, which was then subjected to ring-closing metathesis in the presence of Grubbs first-generation catalyst Ru-1. This afforded the corresponding dihydropyrene which, after acid-catalyzed desilylation, yielded pironetin analogue 3. An analogous reaction sequence starting from n-tridecanol served to prepare dihydropyrones 4 and 5, whereas benzaldehyde was used for the synthesis of 6. The complete details of these syntheses are given in the Supporting Information.
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Biological results

Cellular effects of the compounds. We have determined the IC₅₀ values for analogues 3-6 and compared them with that of pironetin on A2780 and A2780AD human ovary carcinomas (Table 1). Pironetin proved active in both the parental and the resistant cell lines, as expected for a compound with a covalent mechanism of action. While about three orders of magnitude less active than pironetin, analogues 3-6 have also been found cytotoxic towards A2780 and A2780AD cells and are able to kill both resistant and non resistant cells with similar IC₅₀ values. As commented above, this is what should be expected for compounds with a covalent mechanism of action.

In order to study the effect of compounds 3-6 on the microtubule cytoskeleton, we incubated cells in the presence of these ligands for 24 hours (Fig. 5). Pironetin at 50 nM concentration completely depleted cytoplasmic microtubules (C,D and inset): cells are arrested in the prometaphase and type III mitotic spindles are observed, with the chromosomes being arranged in a ball of condensed DNA enclosing one or more star-shaped aggregates of microtubules.

With 100 µM 3, 25 µM 4 and 15 µM 5, disorganization and some depolymerization of the microtubule cytoskeleton are observed: the cells get rounded and detach from the plastic substrate were they are growing, with shrinking of the cell nucleus occurring in some cases (Fig. 5, E-J). No mitotic cells are observed in these cell preparations. However, ligand 6 at 200 µM is found to cause microtubule depolymerization and cell arrest at the prometaphase, as in the case of pironetin (see Fig. 5, K,L and inset).

We next studied whether ligands 3-6 were capable of blocking cells in the G2/M phase of the cell cycle of A549, as other microtubule modulating agents do. We incubated these cells for 20 hours in the presence of the different ligands (3-6) or the drug vehicle (Fig. 6). Pironetin at 50 nM concentration almost completely arrested cells in the G2/M phase and, interestingly, so did 200 µM 6. In contrast, ligands 3 (100 µM), 4 (50 µM), and 5 (10 µM) only caused a decrease in the number of G2/M cells with appearance of subG1 cells, presumably dying cells. These results indicate that, although markedly less active, compound 6 is the only ligand that behaves like pironetin. In addition, the results further indicate that compounds 3-5, while being active against the tubulin cytoskeleton, may exert their cytotoxicity through an alternative pathway.

Tubulin assembly. The critical concentration of tubulin required for assembly was determined in GAB in the presence of a large excess (100 µM) of compounds 3-6 (Table 2). As shown in the Table, the concentration of tubulin required to produce assembly (critical concentration[22]) oscillate between 3.3 mM in the absence of ligands and 4.9 µM in the presence of 5, the most active of these compounds as regards this property. The observed increase of the critical concentration required indicates that, as expected for a pironetin analogue, compounds 3-6 are also able to inhibit the assembly of tubulin.

Summary

Four new pironetin derivatives 3-6 with an extended lypophilic side chain were synthesized with the aim at exploring the influence of the side chain in their biological activity. All the compounds are cytotoxic in the micromolar range against both non-resistant and resistant p-glycoprotein overexpressing, multidrug ovarian carcinoma cell lines, similar IC₅₀ values being found in both cell lines. However, although all the aforementioned compounds are able to inhibit microtubule assembly, both in vitro and in cell cultures, thus sharing the general mechanism of action of tubulin assembly inhibition, compounds 3-5 containing a long aliphatic side chain differ from pironetin and compound 6 in that they do not accumulate cells in the G2/M phase of the cell cycle. This indicates that, in contrast to pironetin and 6, compounds 3-5 trigger an alternative mechanism for cytotoxicity which leads to cell death.

Experimental Section

Chemical procedures

General experimental procedures: ¹H/¹³C NMR spectra were measured at 500/125 MHz in CDCl₃ solution at 25 °C. The signals of the deuterated solvent (CDCl₃) were taken as the reference (the singlet at 7.25 ppm for ¹H NMR and the triplet centered at 77.00 ppm for ¹³C NMR data). Phosphorus atom types (C, CH, CH₂, CH₃) were determined with the DEPT pulse sequence. High resolution mass spectra were run by the electrospray mode (ESMS). IR data are given only for compounds with significant functions (OH, C=O) and were recorded as oily films on NaCl plates (oils) or as KBr pellets (solids). Optical rotations were measured at 25°C. Reactions which required an inert atmosphere were carried out under N₂ with flame-dried glassware. Et₂O and THF were freshly distilled from sodium/benzophenone ketyl and transferred via syringe. Dichloromethane was freshly distilled from CaH₂. Tertiary amines were freshly distilled from KOH. Toluene was freshly distilled from sodium wire. Commercially available reagents were used as received. Unless detailed otherwise, "work-up" means pouring the reaction mixture into brine, followed by extraction with the solvent indicated in parenthesis. If the reaction medium was acidic, an additional washing with 5% aq NaHCO₃ was performed. If the reaction medium was basic, an additional washing with aq NH₄Cl was performed. New washing with brine, drying over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and elimination of the solvent under reduced pressure were followed by chromatography on a silica gel column (60-200 µm) and elution with the indicated solvent mixture. Where solutions were filtered through a Celite pad, the pad was additionally washed with the same solvent used, and the washings incorporated to the main organic layer.

(R)-Tridec-1-en-4-ol (7). Allylmagnesium bromide (commercial 1M solution in Et₂O, 15 mL, 15 mmol) was added dropwise under N₂ via syringe to a cooled solution of (+)-l-BCl (5.77 g, 18 mmol) in dry Et₂O (75 mL) (dry ice-acetone bath). After finishing the addition, the dry ice-acetone bath was replaced by an ice bath, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. The solution was allowed to stand, whereby precipitation of magnesium chloride took place. The supernatant solution was carefully transferred to another flask via canula. After cooling this flask at ~90 °C, a solution of n-decanol (2.25 mL, 1.87 g, 12 mmol) in dry Et₂O (35 mL) was added dropwise via syringe. The resulting solution was further stirred at ~90 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with addition of phosphate pH 7 buffer solution (15 mL), MeOH (15 mL) and 30% H₂O₂ (7 mL). After stirring for 30 min., the mixture was poured onto satd. aq NaHCO₃ and worked up (extraction with Et₂O). The residue was subjected to a careful column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes, then hexanes-EtOAc, 9:1) to afford 7 (2.26 mg, 95%); oil; [α]D +3.3 (c 1.1; CHCl₃). Physical and spectral data as reported.[23] The enantiomeric ratio was found to be 96:4 by means of chiral HPLC using a Kromasil 5-AmyCoat column (4.6 x 20 mm). Elution was performed with a hexane/isopropanol 99:1 mixture, and a flux of 0.4 mL/min. Elution times of both enantiomers: 21.23 (S) and 22.87 min (R).

(R)-4-Methoxytridec-1-ene (8). Sodium hydride (60% slurry in mineral oil, amount equivalent to 20 mmol) was washed twice under N₂ with dry hexane and once with dry THF. Then, THF (75 mL) was added and the
suspension was cooled in an ice bath. Alcohol 7 (1.98 g, 10 mmol) was then dissolved in dry THF (25 mL) and added dropwise to the sodium hydride suspension. The mixture was then allowed to reach room temperature. Subsequently, methyl iodide (1.87 mL, ca. 30 mmol) was added in one portion and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temp. Work-up (EtO) was followed by column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes-EtOAc, 19:1) to afford 8 (1.95 g, 92%): oil; $\delta_{\text{H}}$ 2.92 (9 H, $\text{CH}_3$); IR and $^1\text{H}$ NMR data as reported$^{[20]}$; $^1\text{C}$ NMR (125 MHz) $\delta$ 135.1, 80.6 (CH), 116.7, 37.8, 33.4, 31.9, 29.8, 29.7, 29.6, 25.3, 22.7 (CH$_2$), 56.5, 14.1 (CH$_3$).

(4R,6R)-6-Methoxypentadec-1-en-4-ol (10). Olefin 8 (1.275 g, ca. 6 mmol) was dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (100 mL) and cooled to $-78^\circ$C. A stream of oxygen-containing air was then bubbled through the solution until complete consumption of the starting material (TLC monitoring). Ozone pressure and the crude residue containing $\alpha$D +2.9 (c 0.1; CHCl$_3$); 1H NMR (500 MHz) $\delta$ 5.82 (1H, dd, $J = 17, 10, 7$ Hz), 5.15-5.05 (2H, br m), 4.18 (1H, m), 4.05 (1H, m), 3.50 (1H, br s, OH), 3.29 (3H, s), 3.26 (1H, m), 2.30-2.10 (2H, br m), 1.70-1.50 (4H, br m), 1.35-1.25 (16H, br m), 0.90 (12H, strong singlet of 9H overlapping a methyl triplet at 0.89 ppm), 0.12 (3H, s), 0.10 (3H, s); $^1\text{C}$ NMR (125 MHz) $\delta$ 17.9 (C), 135.0, 77.3, 69.3, 68.1 (CH), 117.0, 42.4, 42.1, 41.0, 32.8, 31.9, 29.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.3, 24.7, 22.7 (CH$_2$), 55.6, 25.9 (x 3), 14.1, -4.4, -4.9 (CH$_3$).

(4S,6R)-6-Methoxypentadec-1-en-4-yl acrylate (14). Compound 13 (415 mg, ca. 1 mmol) was dissolved under N$_2$ in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (30 mL), cooled to $-78^\circ$C and treated sequentially with N,N-dimethyl ethylamine (2.1 mL, 12 mmol) and acryloyl chloride (815 mg, ca. 10 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^\circ$C until consumption of the starting material (about 45 min., TLC monitoring). Work-up (extraction with CH$_2$Cl$_2$) and column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-EtOAc) provided 416 (361 mg, 77%): oil; $\delta_{\text{H}}$ 2.02 (6 H, $\text{CH}_2$); IR and $^1\text{H}$ NMR data as reported$^{[21]}$; $^1\text{C}$ NMR (125 MHz) $\delta$ 135.0, 79.3, 68.0 (CH), 117.5, 42.3, 39.0, 33.1, 31.9, 29.8, 29.6, 29.5, 29.3, 25.4, 22.7 (CH$_2$), 56.7, 14.1 (CH$_3$), HR ESMS $m/z$ (% rel. int.) 393.3162 (M$^+$Na$^+$). Calcd. for C$_{22}$H$_{46}$NaO$_2$Si, 393.3165.

(4S,6R)-6-(Tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-8-methoxytetradec-1-en-4-ol (13). Prepared in two steps from 11 (via the non isolated aldehyde 12) in 47% overall yield according to the same experimental conditions as in the 8 $\rightarrow$ 10 step. Careful chromatography on silica gel (hexane-EtOAc, 9:1, then 8:2) permitted the isolation of 13 as a diastereomerically pure compound (by NMR): oil; $\delta_{\text{H}}$ 4.1 (9 H, $\text{CH}_3$); IR and $^1\text{H}$ NMR (500 MHz) $\delta$ 5.82 (1H, dd, $J = 17, 10, 7$ Hz), 5.15-5.05 (2H, br m), 4.18 (1H, m), 4.05 (1H, m), 3.50 (1H, br s, OH), 3.29 (3H, s), 3.26 (1H, m), 2.30-2.10 (2H, br m), 1.70-1.50 (4H, br m), 1.35-1.25 (16H, br m), 0.90 (12H, strong singlet of 9H overlapping a methyl triplet at 0.89 ppm), 0.12 (3H, s), 0.10 (3H, s); $^1\text{C}$ NMR (125 MHz) $\delta$ 17.9 (C), 135.0, 77.3, 69.3, 68.1 (CH), 117.0, 42.4, 42.1, 41.0, 32.8, 31.9, 29.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.3, 24.7, 22.7 (CH$_2$), 55.6, 25.9 (x 3), 14.1, -4.4, -4.9 (CH$_3$).

(4S,6R)-6-(Tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-8-methoxytetradec-1-en-4-yl acrylate (14). Compound 13 (415 mg, ca. 1 mmol) was dissolved under N$_2$ in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (30 mL), cooled to $-78^\circ$C and treated sequentially with N,N-dimethylpropyl ethylamine (2.1 mL, 12 mmol) and acryloyl chloride (815 mg, ca. 10 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^\circ$C until consumption of the starting material (about 45 min., TLC monitoring). Work-up (extraction with CH$_2$Cl$_2$) and column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-EtOAc) provided 416 (361 mg, 77%): oil; $\delta_{\text{H}}$ 2.02 (6 H, $\text{CH}_2$); IR and $^1\text{H}$ NMR data as reported$^{[21]}$; $^1\text{C}$ NMR (125 MHz) $\delta$ 135.0, 79.3, 68.0 (CH), 117.5, 42.3, 39.0, 33.1, 31.9, 29.8, 29.6, 29.5, 29.3, 25.4, 22.7 (CH$_2$), 56.7, 14.1 (CH$_3$), HR ESMS $m/z$ (% rel. int.) 393.3162 (M$^+$Na$^+$). Calcd. for C$_{22}$H$_{46}$NaO$_2$Si, 393.3153.
m), 3.45 (1H, m), 3.35 (4H, br s, OMe + OH), 2.45-2.30 (2H, br m), 1.90-1.40 (6H, br m), 1.35-1.20 (14H, br m), 0.87 (3H, t, J = 6.8 Hz); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz) δ 164.4 (C), 145.2, 121.4, 79.6, 75.1, 64.7 (CH), 42.9, 39.4, 32.8, 31.9, 30.0, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.3, 25.5, 22.6 (CH\(_2\)); 56.6, 14.1 (CH\(_3\)); HR ESMS m/z (% rel. int.) 349.2358 (M+Na\(^{+}\)). Calcd. for C\(_{34}\)H\(_{27}\)NaO\(_{6}\), 349.2354.

**Biological procedures**

**Cell culture**

Human A549 non small lung carcinoma cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FCS, glutamine, and antibiotics as previously described.[30] Human ovarian carcinomas A2780 and A2780AD (MDR overexpressing P-glycoprotein) were cultured as above with the addition of 0.25 units/mL of bovine insulin.

**Cytotoxicity assays, indirect immunofluorescence and cell cycle**

Cytotoxic evaluation was performed with A2780 and A2780AD cells with the MT assay modified as previously described.[25] Indirect immunofluorescence was performed in A549 cells that had been cultured overnight in 12 mm round cover slips and incubated a further 24 hours in the absence (drug vehicle DMSO) or in the presence of different ligand concentrations. Attached cells were permeabilized with Triton X100 and fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde. Microtubules were specifically stained with DM1A α-tubulin monoclonal antibodies and DNA with Hoechst 33342 as a preparation. The scale bar (L) represents 10 µm. All panels and insets have the same magnification.

**Tubulin assembly inhibition assay**

The effect of the compounds in the assembly of purified tubulin was determined by incubating 20 µM purified tubulin at 37 °C for 30 minutes in GAB (gycerol assembling buffer, 3.4 M glycerol, 10 mM sodium phosphate, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM GTP, 6 mM MgCl\(_2\); at pH 6.5) in the presence of 25 µM docetaxel, 100 µM of one of the analogs PTA-PF or JPP or 2 µL DMSO (vehicle). The samples were processed and the critical concentration for tubulin assembly[22] in the presence of the ligands calculated as described.[27]

**Supporting Information** (see footnote on the first page of this article): experimental procedures and preparation of pyrones 4, 5 and 6, and of all required synthetic intermediates. Graphical \(^{1}\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra of all new compounds.
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**Scheme 1. Synthesis of pyrones 3-6.** (a) (−)-Ipc2B, allylMgBr, EtO\(_2\); −78°C, then addition of n-decaneal, 2 h, −78°C, 95% (e.r. 96:4); (b) Na\(_2\)H, THF, 0°C, then Mel, RT, overnight, 92%; (c) O\(_3\), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), −78°C, 1 h, followed by addition of the aldehyde, 2 h, −78°C (70% overall from 8, d.r. 88:12); (e) TBSOTf, CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 2.6-lutidine, RT, 1 h (90%); (f) O\(_3\), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), −78°C; then PPh\(_3\); (g) (−)-Ipc2Cl, allylMgBr, EtO\(_2\); −78°C, 1 h, followed by addition of the aldehyde, 2 h, −78°C (47% overall yield from 11, d.r.>95:5); (h) CH\(_2\)=CHCOCl, CH\(_2\)=CHCl, iPr\(_2\)NEt, 78°C; 45 min (77%); (i) (1) 10% cat. Rh\(_4\), CH\(_2\)=CHCl, A, 4 h (85%); (2) PPTS (cat.), MeOH, A, overnight (90%).

**Figure 1.** Structures of two natural products reported to selectively bind to α-tubulin.

**Figure 2.** Schematic model of the covalent union of pironetin to its binding site on the α-tubulin surface.

**Figure 3.** General structures of simplified pironetin analogues.[17]

**Figure 4.** Structures of new pironetin analogues.

**Figure 5.** Effect of compounds 3-6 as compared to the parent molecule pironetin on the microtubule network and nuclear morphology of A549 cells. Cells were incubated for 24 hours with either drug vehicle DMSO (A, B), 50 nM pironetin (C, D), 100 µM 3 (E, F), 25 µM 4 (G, H), 15 µM 5 (I, J) and 200 µM 6 (K, L). Microtubules are stained with α-tubulin antibodies (A, C, E, G, I, K) whereas DNA (B, F, H, J, L) was stained with Hoechst 33342. Insets (A, B, C, D, K, L) are mitotic spindles of the same preparation. The scale bar (L) represents 10 µm. All panels and insets have the same magnification.

**Figure 6.** Cell cycle histogram of A549 lung carcinoma cells untreated and treated with pironetin and pironetin analogues of 3-6. The lowest ligand concentration that induces maximal effect on the cell cycle is depicted.
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TABLES
Table 1. Effect of pironetin analogues 3-6 on the growth of A2780 and A2780AD (MDR overexpressing P-glycoprotein) ovarian carcinomas.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>A2780 (μM)b</th>
<th>A2780AD (μM)b</th>
<th>R/Sc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pironetin</td>
<td>0.0062 ±0.0012</td>
<td>0.0093 ±0.0014</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>39.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>38.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.1 ± 0.424</td>
<td>12.5 ± 0.353</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>54.7 ± 5.4</td>
<td>48 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a IC\textsubscript{50} (50% inhibition of cell proliferation) of the ligands determined in ovarian carcinomas.  
b Values mean IC\textsubscript{50} as the mean ± standard error of three independent experiments.  
c Resistance index (the relative resistance of A2780AD cell line, obtained dividing the IC\textsubscript{50} of the resistant cell line by that of the parental A2780 cell line).

Table 2. Critical concentration values of tubulin for ligand-induced microtubule assembly induced by tetrahydrofuran derivatives 3-6 (ligand concentrations are 25 μM for docetaxel and 100 μM for the ligands).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Cr (μM)a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docetaxel</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Cr values are the mean ± standard error of three independent experiments.
Figure 5

Figure 6
The preparation of four new analogues of the natural pyrone pironetin, known to bind to \( \alpha \)-tubulin, is described. The nine-carbon side chain of pironetin has been replaced in one analogue by a 4-phenylbutyl chain and in the other three analogues by long aliphatic chains of thirteen and sixteen carbons, all of them bearing two stereogenic centers. Their cytotoxic activity and their interactions with tubulin have been investigated.
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General features. NMR spectra were measured at 25 °C. The signals of the deuterated solvent (CDCl₃) were taken as the reference. Multiplicity assignments of ¹³C signals were made by means of the DEPT pulse sequence. High resolution mass spectra were run by the electrospray mode (ESMS). IR data were measured with oily films on NaCl plates (oils) or KBr pellets (solids) and are given only for molecules with relevant functional groups (OH, C=O). Optical rotations were measured at 25 °C. Experiments which required an inert atmosphere were carried out under dry N₂ in a flame-dried glassware. Et₂O and THF were freshly distilled from sodium/benzophenone ketyl and transferred via syringe. Dichloromethane was freshly distilled from CaH₂. Tertiary amines were freshly distilled from KOH. Commercially available reagents were used as received. Unless detailed otherwise, "work-up" means pouring the reaction mixture into brine, followed by extraction with the solvent indicated in parenthesis. If the reaction medium was acidic (basic), an additional washing with 5% aq NaHCO₃ (aq NH₄Cl) was performed. New washing with brine, drying over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and elimination of the solvent under reduced pressure were followed by chromatography on a silica gel column (60-200 μm) with the indicated eluent. Where solutions were filtered through a Celite pad, the pad was additionally washed with the same solvent used, and the washings incorporated to the main organic layer. Acronyms used hereafter: DIP-Cl = diisopinocampheylboron chloride; TBS = t-butyldimethylsilyl; Tf = trifluoromethanesulfonyl; PPTS = pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate; DMAP = 4-(N,N-dimethylamino) pyridine.

General reaction conditions (see schemes A-C)

General procedure for asymmetric allylations (reaction +a). Allylmagnesium bromide (commercial 1M solution in Et₂O, 10 mL, 10 mmol) was added dropwise under N₂ via syringe to a solution of (+)-DIP-Cl (3.85 g, 12 mmol) in dry Et₂O (50 mL) cooled at −78°C. After replacing the latter by an ice bath, the mixture was stirred for 1 h. The solution was then allowed to stand, which caused precipitation of magnesium chloride. The supernatant solution was then carefully transferred to another flask via canula. After cooling this flask at −78°C, a solution of the appropriate aldehyde (8 mmol) in dry Et₂O (25 mL) was added dropwise via syringe. The resulting solution was further stirred at the same temp. for 1 h. The reaction mixture was then quenched through addition of phosphate pH 7 buffer solution (50 mL), MeOH (50 mL) and 30% H₂O₂ (25 mL). After stirring for 30 min., the mixture was poured onto satd. aq NaHCO₃ and worked up (Et₂O). Column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes-Et₂O or hexanes-EtOAc mixtures) afforded the desired homoallylic alcohol. Reaction −a is performed in the same way except for the use of (−)-DIP-Cl.

General procedure for sequential ozonolysis/asymmetric allylation (reaction +b or −b). The appropriate olefin (10 mmol) was dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) and cooled to −78°C. A stream of ozone-oxygen was bubbled through the solution until persistence of the bluish color. Dry N₂ was then bubbled through the solution for 10 min. at the same temperature. After addition of PPh₃ (5.25 g, 20 mmol), the solution was left to stir at room temp. for 2 h. Solvent removal under reduced pressure gave a solid material, which was washed three times with cold pentane (3 x 15 mL). The solid (Ph₃PO) was discarded, and the organic phase was evaporated under reduced pressure to yield the crude aldehyde as
a colorless oil, which was used as such in the asymmetric allylation (for weight calculations, the yield of the ozonolysis step was assumed to be quantitative). The overall process is described as $+b$ or $-b$ according to the use of (+)- or (−)-DIP-Cl in the allylation step.

**General procedure for O-methylations (reaction c).** Sodium hydride (60% slurry in mineral oil, amount equivalent to 24 mmol) was washed two times under N$_2$ with dry hexane and once with dry THF. Then, THF (80 mL) was added and the suspension was cooled in an ice bath. The appropriate alcohol (12 mmol) was then dissolved in dry THF (20 mL) and added dropwise to the sodium hydride suspension. The mixture was then allowed to reach room temperature. Subsequently, methyl iodide (2.25 mL, 36 mmol) was added in one portion and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temp. Work-up (Et$_2$O) was followed by column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes-Et$_2$O or hexanes-EtOAc mixtures) to afford the desired O-methyl ether.

**General procedure for silylations with TBSOTf (reaction d).** The appropriate alcohol (4 mmol) was dissolved under N$_2$ in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (20 mL) and treated sequentially with 2,6-lutidine (700 µL, 6 mmol) and TBSOTf (1.15 mL, 5 mmol). The reaction mixture was then stirred for 1 h at room temp. and worked up (extraction with CH$_2$Cl$_2$). Column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes-Et$_2$O or hexanes-EtOAc mixtures) afforded the desired silylated derivative.

**General procedure for acylations with acryloyl chloride (reaction e).** The appropriate alcohol (1 mmol) was dissolved under N$_2$ in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (40 mL), cooled to $-78^\circ$C and treated sequentially with ethyl diisopropylamine (2.6 mL, 15 mmol) and acryloyl chloride (800 µL, 10 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at $-78^\circ$C and then worked up (extraction with CH$_2$Cl$_2$). Column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes-Et$_2$O or hexanes-EtOAc mixtures) afforded the desired ester.

**General procedure for ring-closing metathesis with ruthenium catalyst Ru-I (reaction f).** The appropriate diolefin (1 mmol) was dissolved under N$_2$ in dry, degassed CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (100 mL) and treated with Grubbs first-generation ruthenium catalyst Ru-I (82 mg, 0.1 mmol). The mixture was heated at reflux until consumption of the starting material (2-4 h, TLC monitoring!). Solvent removal under reduced pressure and column chromatography of the residue on silica gel (hexanes-Et$_2$O or hexanes-EtOAc mixtures) furnished the desired metathesis product.

**General procedure for acid-catalyzed desilylation (reaction g).** The silylated compound (0.6 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (30 mL) and treated with PPTS (30 mg, 0.12 mmol) and water (0.3 mL). The mixture was then heated at reflux for 18 h, cooled and neutralized by addition of solid NaHCO$_3$. After filtering, the solution was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the oily residue was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes-EtOAc mixtures). This provided the desired hydroxy compound.

**Procedure for O-methylation of compound 4 (Scheme B).** See details in page S-13.
Synthetic schemes

Scheme A

\[ n\text{-decane} \xrightarrow{a} 7 \quad R = H \quad 8 \quad R = Me \]
\[ 9 \quad \text{OMe} \]

\[ 10 \quad R = H \quad 11 \quad R = \text{TBS} \]

\[ 12 \quad \text{OMe OTBS} \]

\[ 13 \quad R = H \quad 14 \quad R = \text{COCH}=\text{CH}_2 \]

\[ 15 \quad R = \text{TBS} \quad 3 \quad R = \text{Me} \]

\[ \text{POCl}_3, \text{Ph} \]

\[ \text{Cl}, \text{POCl}_3, \text{Ru}-\text{I} \]

Scheme B

\[ n\text{-tridecane} \xrightarrow{a} 16 \quad R = H \quad 17 \quad R = \text{Me} \]

\[ 18 \quad \text{OMe} \]

\[ 19 \quad R = H \quad 20 \quad R = \text{TBS} \]

\[ 21 \quad R = H \quad 22 \quad R = \text{COCH}=\text{CH}_2 \]

\[ 23 \quad \text{OMe OTBS} \]

\[ 4 \quad \text{OMe OH} \quad 5 \quad \text{OMe OMe} \]

see pag. S-13
Scheme C

benzaldehyde $\rightarrow^a$ 

\[ \text{Ph} \quad \text{OR} \quad \text{Ph} \quad \text{CHO} \]

\[ \text{Ph} \quad \text{R} = \text{H} \]

\[ \text{Ph} \quad \text{R} = \text{Me} \]

\[ \text{24} \quad \text{25} \]

\[ \text{g} \quad \text{h} \]

\[ \text{31} \quad \text{30} \quad \text{31} \quad \text{31} \]

\[ \text{29} \quad \text{R} = \text{H} \]

\[ \text{28} \quad \text{R} = \text{TBS} \]

\[ \text{27} \quad \text{R} = \text{H} \]

\[ \text{25} \quad \text{R} = \text{Me} \]

\[ \text{b} \quad \text{d} \]

\[ \text{c} \]

\[ \text{1} \quad \text{2} \quad \text{3} \quad \text{4} \]
Synthesis and analytical data of intermediate and final compounds

(R)-Hexadec-1-en-4-ol (16). Prepared in 93% yield from n-tridecanal according to experimental conditions -a (pag. S-2): oil; [α]D +2.8 (c 1; CHCl3). Spectral data as reported*. The enantiomeric ratio was found to be 90:10 by means of chiral HPLC using a Kromasil 5-AmyCoat column (4.6 x 20 mm). Elution was performed with a hexane/isopropanol 99:1 mixture, and a flux of 0.4 mL/min. Elution times of both enantiomers: 18.33 (S) and 20.39 min (R).


(R)-4-Methoxyhexadec-1-ene (17). Prepared from 16 in 90% yield according to experimental conditions c (pag. S-3): oil; [α]D +2.8 (c 1; CHCl3); 1H NMR (500 MHz) δ 5.82 (1H, ddt, J = 17.5, 10.5, 7 Hz), 5.10-5.00 (2H, br m), 3.34 (3H, s), 3.20 (1H, quint, J ~ 6 Hz), 2.26 (2H, m), 1.50-1.35 (4H, br m), 1.35-1.25 (18H, br m), 0.89 (3H, t, J = 7 Hz); 13C NMR (125 MHz) δ 135.0, 80.8 (CH), 116.7, 37.8, 33.4, 31.9, 29.8-29.4 (several overlapped signals), 25.3, 22.7 (CH2), 56.5, 14.1 (CH3); HR ESMS m/z (% rel. int.) 277.2512 (M+Na+). Calcd. for C17H34NaO, 277.2507.

(4R,6R)-6-Methoxyoctadec-1-en-4-ol (19). Prepared in two steps from 17 (via the non isolated aldehyde 18) in 72% overall yield according to experimental conditions +b (pag. S-2). The isolated
compound was an 87:13 mixture of diastereoisomers which were very difficult to separate and was used as such in the next step. For analytical purposes, an aliquot could be concentrated to about 95% purity by means of careful column chromatography: oil; [α]_D^20 _−_18.8 (c 1, CHCl_3); IR ν_{max} 3400 (br, OH) cm⁻¹; ^1^H NMR (500 MHz) δ 5.85 (1H, ddt, J = 17, 10.3, 7.2 Hz), 5.15-5.05 (2H, br m), 3.95 (1H, m), 3.47 (1H, m), 3.37 (3H, s), 2.80 (1H, br s, OH), 2.24 (2H, m), 1.70-1.40 (4H, br m), 1.35-1.25 (20H, br m), 0.89 (3H, t, J = 7 Hz); ^1^C NMR (125 MHz) δ 135.0, 117.5, 42.3, 39.0, 33.1, 31.9, 29.8-29.4 (several overlapped signals), 25.4, 22.7 (CH_2), 56.7, 14.1 (CH_3); HR ESMS m/z (% rel. int.) 321.2775 (M+Na⁺). Calcd. for C_{19}H_{38}NaO_2, 321.2769.

(4R,6R)-4-(Tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-methoxyoctadec-1-ene (20). Prepared from 19 in 93% yield according to experimental conditions d (pag. S-3). Like 19, the isolated 20 was a 87:13 mixture of diastereoisomers which were very difficult to separate and was used as such in the next step. For analytical purposes, an aliquot could be concentrated to about 95% purity by means of careful column chromatography: oil; [α]_D^20 _–_6.8 (c 1, CHCl_3); ^1^H NMR (500 MHz) δ 5.82 (1H, ddt, J = 17, 10, 7 Hz), 5.10-5.05 (2H, br m), 3.93 (1H, m), 3.34 (1H, m), 3.30 (3H, s), 2.24 (2H, m), 1.60-1.40 (4H, br m), 1.35-1.25 (20H, br m), 0.90 (12H, strong singlet of 9H overlapping a methyl triplet at 0.89 ppm), 0.08 (6H, s); ^1^C NMR (125 MHz) δ 18.1 (C), 134.8, 77.1, 68.7 (CH), 116.9, 42.8, 41.7, 33.1, 31.9, 29.9-29.4 (several overlapped signals), 24.8, 22.7 (CH_2), 55.6, 26.0 (x 3), 14.1, −4.1, −4.7 (CH_3). HR ESMS m/z (% rel. int.) 413.3816 (M+H^+). Calcd. for C_{25}H_{53}O_2Si, 413.3815.

(4S,6R,8R)-6-(Tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-8-methoxyicos-1-en-4-ol (21). Prepared in two steps from 20 (via a non isolated intermediate aldehyde) in 80% overall yield according to experimental conditions −b (pag. S-2). The isolated compound was a 72:28 mixture of diastereoisomers which, after careful chromatographic purification on silica gel (hexanes-EtOAc 98:2), yielded the major component 21 as a
pure compound: oil; \([\alpha]_D -3.9\) (c 1.3; CHCl₃); IR \(\nu_{\text{max}} 3440\) (br, OH) cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz) \(\delta 5.84\) (1H, ddt, \(J = 17, 10, 7\) Hz), 5.15-5.05 (2H, br m), 4.18 (1H, m), 4.04 (1H, m), 3.50 (1H, br s, OH), 3.29 (3H, s), 3.25 (1H, m), 2.30-2.15 (2H, br m), 1.75-1.40 (6H, br m), 1.35-1.25 (20H, br m), 0.90 (9H, s), 0.88 (3H, t, \(J = 6.8\) Hz), 0.13 (3H, s), 0.10 (3H, s); \(^13\)C NMR (125 MHz) \(\delta 17.9\) (C), 135.0, 77.3, 69.3, 68.0 (CH), 117.1, 42.4, 42.1, 41.0, 32.8, 31.9, 29.9-29.4 (several overlapped signals), 24.6, 22.7 (CH₂), 55.6, 25.9 (x 3), 14.1, −4.4, −4.9 (CH₃). HR ESMS \(m/z\) (% rel. int.) 457.4080 (M+H\(^+\)). Calcd. for C\(_{27}\)H\(_{57}\)O\(_3\)Si, 457.4077.

(4S,6S,8R)-6-[(Tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-8-methoxyicos-1-en-4-yl acrylate (22). Prepared from 21 in 79% yield according to experimental conditions e (pag. S-3): oil; \([\alpha]_D +2.1\) (c 1.1; CHCl₃); IR \(\nu_{\text{max}} 1726\) (C=O) cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz) \(\delta 6.37\) (1H, dd, \(J = 17.3, 1.5\) Hz), 6.10 (1H, dd, \(J = 17.3, 10.7\) Hz), 5.80 (1H, dd, \(J = 10.7, 1.5\) Hz), 5.76 (1H, ddt, \(J = 17, 10.2, 7\) Hz), 5.10-5.05 (3H, br m), 3.85 (1H, m), 3.29 (3H, s), 3.28 (1H, m), 2.45-2.35 (2H, m), 1.85-1.40 (6H, br m), 1.35-1.25 (20H, br m), 0.89 (12H, strong singlet of 9H overlapping a methyl triplet at 0.89 ppm), 0.05 (3H, s), 0.04 (3H, s); \(^13\)C NMR (125 MHz) \(\delta 165.7, 18.0\) (C), 133.4, 129.0, 77.5, 71.2, 67.0 (CH), 130.2, 118.0, 42.7, 42.0, 39.0, 33.2, 31.9, 29.9-29.4 (several overlapped signals), 24.7, 22.7 (CH₂), 55.8, 26.0 (x 3), 14.1, −4.3, −4.4 (CH₃); HR ESMS \(m/z\) (% rel. int.) 533.4003 (M+Na\(^+\)). Calcd. for C\(_{30}\)H\(_{58}\)NaO\(_4\)Si, 533.4002.

(6S)-6-[(2S,4R)-2-[(Tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-4-methoxyhexadecyl]-5,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-one (23). Prepared from 22 in 85% yield according to experimental conditions f (pag. S-3): oil; \([\alpha]_D -5.6\) (c 1.1, CHCl₃); IR \(\nu_{\text{max}} 1735\) (C=O) cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz) \(\delta 6.87\) (1H, dt, \(J = 9.8, 4\) Hz), 6.00 (1H, br
d, $J = 9.8$ Hz), 4.59 (1H, m), 4.07 (1H, m), 3.29 (3H, s), 3.24 (1H, quint, $J \sim 6$ Hz), 2.35-2.30 (2H, m), 2.03 (1H, m), 1.65-1.40 (5H, br m), 1.35-1.20 (20H, br m), 0.88 (12H, strong singlet of 9H overlapping a methyl triplet at 0.88 ppm), 0.09 (3H, s), 0.07 (3H, s); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz) $\delta$ 164.2, 18.0 (C), 145.1, 121.5, 77.7, 74.6, 66.2 (CH), 43.4, 43.3, 33.2, 31.9, 29.9-29.4 (several overlapped signals), 24.7, 22.6 (CH$_2$), 55.8, 25.9 (x 3), 14.1, $-4.4$, $-4.5$ (CH$_3$); HR ESMS $m/z$ (% rel. int.) 505.3696 (M+Na$^+$). Calcd. for C$_{28}$H$_{54}$NaO$_4$Si, 505.3689.

(6S)-6-[(2S,4R)-2-Hydroxy-4-methoxyhexadecyl]-5,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-one (4). Prepared from 23 in 85% yield according to experimental conditions g (pag. S-3): oil; $[\alpha]_D$ $-13.1$ (c 1.1, CHCl$_3$); IR $\nu_{max}$ 3440 (br, OH), 1716 (C=O) cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz) $\delta$ 6.86 (1H, m), 6.00 (1H, br d, $J = 9.7$ Hz), 4.72 (1H, m), 4.22 (1H, m), 3.45 (1H, m), 3.34 (3H, s), 3.15 (1H, br s, OH), 2.45-2.30 (2H, br m), 1.90-1.40 (6H, br m), 1.35-1.20 (20H, br m), 0.87 (3H, t, $J = 6.8$ Hz); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz) $\delta$ 164.4 (C), 145.2, 121.3, 79.5, 75.1, 64.6 (CH), 42.9, 39.4, 32.8, 31.9, 30.0, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5-29.4 (several overlapped signals), 29.3, 25.5, 22.6 (CH$_2$), 56.6, 14.0 (CH$_3$); HR ESMS $m/z$ (% rel. int.) 391.2827 (M+Na$^+$). Calcd. for C$_{22}$H$_{40}$NaO$_4$, 391.2824.

(6S)-6-[(2S,4R)-2,4-Dimethoxyhexadecyl]-5,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-one (5). A solution of pyrone 4 (37 mg, 0.1 mmol) and 1,8-bis($N,N$-dimethylamino)naphthalene (129 mg, 0.6 mmol) in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (4 mL) was treated under N$_2$ with trimethylxonium tetrafluoroborate (89 mg, 0.6 mmol). The mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 7 h and quenched by addition of a saturated solution of NaCl. Work up (extraction with CH$_2$Cl$_2$) and column chromatography of the residue on silica gel (hexanes-
EtOAc 7:3) afforded 5 (25 mg, 65%): oil; [α]D −16.3 (c 0.95, CHCl3); IR νmax 1726 (C=O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 6.88 (1H, m), 6.00 (1H, br d, J = 9.7 Hz), 4.65 (1H, m), 3.68 (1H, m), 3.41 (3H, s), 3.33 (3H, s), 3.30 (1H, m), 2.40-2.30 (2H, br m), 1.96 (1H, m), 1.75-1.40 (5H, br m), 1.35-1.20 (20H, br m), 0.88 (3H, t, J = 7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 164.4 (C), 145.1, 121.5, 77.8, 74.9, 74.4 (CH), 41.3, 39.8, 33.5, 31.9, 29.9-29.3 (several overlapped signals), 24.8, 22.7 (CH₂), 57.9, 56.2, 14.1 (CH₃); HR ESMS m/z (% rel. int.) 405.2976 (M+Na⁺). Calcd. for C₂₃H₄₂NaO₄, 405.2980.
(S)-1-Phenyl-3-buten-1-ol (24). Prepared by means of asymmetric allylboration of benzaldehyde according to the reported procedure:* oil, [α]D −38.4 (c 1.1; CHCl₃).


(R)-1-Methoxy-1-phenyl-3-buten-2-one (25). Prepared from 24 in 95% yield according to experimental conditions c (pag. S-3): Spectral data as reported*.


(1S,3R)-1-Methoxy-1-phenylhex-5-en-3-ol (27). Prepared in two steps from 25 (via the non isolated aldehyde 26) in 85% overall yield according to experimental conditions +b (pag. S-2). The isolated compound was a 90:10 mixture of diastereoisomers which was separated by means of column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes- EtOAc 95:5) to yield 27 as the major compound*: oil; [α]D −77 (c 1, CHCl₃); IR νmax 3440 (br, OH) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.40-7.25 (5H, br m), 5.85 (1H, ddt, J = 17, 10, 7 Hz), 5.15-5.05 (2H, br m), 4.50 (1H, dd, J = 8.8, 3.5 Hz), 3.95 (1H, m), 3.27 (3H, s), 2.65 (1H, br s, OH), 2.30-2.20 (2H, m), 1.92 (1H, ddd, J = 14.5, 8.8, 2.8 Hz), 1.77 (1H, ddd, J =14.5, 8.8, 3.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 141.8 (C), 134.8, 128.5 (x 2), 127.5, 126.3 (x 2), 81.1, 67.6 (CH), 117.6, 44.4, 42.0 (CH₂), 56.7 (CH₃); HR ESMS m/z (% rel. int.) 229.1203 (M+Na⁺). Calcd. for C₁₃H₁₈NaO₂, 229.1204.
Compound 27 in admixture with its syn diastereoisomer has been previously reported in racemic form: Hoffmann, R.; Brückner, R. Chem. Ber. 1992, 125, 1471-1484.

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\text{OMeOH} & \text{OMeOTBS} \\
\text{Ph} & \text{Ph} \\
27 & 28
\end{array}
\]

\((1R,3R)\)-3-(Tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-methoxyhex-5-ene (28). Prepared from 27 in 92% yield according to experimental conditions \(d\) (pag. S-3): oil; \([\alpha]_D\) \(-62.3\) (c 1.2, CHCl\(_3\)); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz) \(\delta\) 7.40-7.25 (5H, br m), 5.83 (1H, ddt, \(J = 17, 10, 7\) Hz), 5.10-5.00 (2H, br m), 4.34 (1H, dd, \(J = 10, 2.6\) Hz), 4.09 (1H, m), 3.21 (3H, s), 2.30-2.25 (2H, m), 1.88 (1H, ddd, \(J = 14, 10, 2.8\) Hz), 1.62 (1H, ddd, \(J = 14, 9.5, 3\) Hz), 0.98 (9H, s), 0.17 (3H, s), 0.13 (3H, s); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz) \(\delta\) 142.8, 18.2 (C), 134.6, 128.5 (x 2), 127.4, 126.5 (x 2), 80.2, 68.3 (CH), 117.1, 46.2, 42.7 (CH\(_2\)), 56.4, 26.0 (x 3), \(-4.1, -4.7\) (CH\(_3\)). HR ESMS \(m/z\) (% rel. int.) 343.2065 (M+Na\(^+\)). Calcd. for C\(_{19}\)H\(_{32}\)NaO\(_2\)Si, 343.2069.

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\text{OMeOTBS} & \text{OMeOTBSOH} \\
\text{Ph} & \text{Ph} \\
28 & 29
\end{array}
\]

\((4S,6R,8S)-6-(Tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-8-methoxy-8-phenyloct-1-en-4-ol (29). Prepared in two steps from 28 (via a non isolated intermediate aldehyde) in 75% overall yield according to experimental conditions \(-b\) (pag. S-2). After careful chromatographic purification on silica gel (hexanes-EtOAc 95:5), 29 was isolated as a pure compound: oil; \([\alpha]_D\) \(-33.7\) (c 1.1; CHCl\(_3\)); IR \(\nu_{\text{max}}\) 3500 (br, OH) cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz) \(\delta\) 7.40-7.25 (5H, br m), 5.83 (1H, ddt, \(J = 17, 10, 7\) Hz), 5.15-5.05 (2H, br m), 4.30-4.20 (2H, m), 4.00 (1H, m), 3.20 (3H, s), 2.30-2.15 (2H, m), 1.95-1.90 (2H, m), 1.74 (1H, ddd, \(J = 14, 10.2, 4.4\) Hz), 1.61 (1H, ddd, \(J = 14, 4, 2.5\) Hz), 0.96 (9H, s), 0.14 (6H, s); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz) \(\delta\) 142.1, 18.0 (C), 134.9, 128.5 (x 2), 127.6, 126.5 (x 2), 80.3, 68.8, 68.0 (CH), 117.1, 45.5, 42.3, 42.1 (CH\(_2\)), 56.3, 25.9 (x 3), \(-4.3, -5.0\) (CH\(_3\)). HR ESMS \(m/z\) (% rel. int.) 387.2328 (M+Na\(^+\)). Calcd. for C\(_{21}\)H\(_{36}\)NaO\(_3\)Si, 387.2331.
(4S,6R,8S)-6-(Tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-8-methoxy-8-phenyloct-1-en-4-yl acrylate (30). Prepared from 29 in 78% yield according to experimental conditions e (pag. S-3): oil; [α]D −9.7 (c 1.2; CHCl3); IR νmax 1725 (C=O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.40-7.25 (5H, br m), 6.35 (1H, dd, J = 17, 1.5 Hz), 6.08 (1H, dd, J = 17, 10.3 Hz), 5.80-5.70 (2H, m), 5.15-5.00 (3H, br m), 4.24 (1H, dd, J = 8.3, 5 Hz), 3.87 (1H, m), 3.19 (3H, s), 2.45-2.35 (2H, m), 1.96 (1H, ddd, J = 14, 8.5, 5.3 Hz), 1.84 (1H, ddd, J = 14, 8.2, 4.5 Hz), 1.80-1.70 (2H, m), 0.92 (9H), 0.04 (3H, s), 0.00 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 165.6, 142.3, 18.1 (C), 133.4, 129.0, 128.5 (x 2), 127.6, 126.7 (x 2), 80.5, 71.1, 66.4 (CH), 130.2, 118.0, 46.9, 41.9, 39.0 (CH2), 56.3, 26.0 (x 3), −4.5, −4.6 (CH3); HR ESMS m/z (% rel. int.) 441.2437 (M+Na⁺). Calcd. for C₂₄H₃₈NaO₄Si, 441.2437.

(6S)-6-[(2R,4S)-2-(Tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-4-methoxy-4-phenylbutyl]-5,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-one (31). Prepared from 30 in 80% yield according to experimental conditions f (pag. S-3): oil; [α]D −54.9 (c 1, CHCl3); IR νmax 1713 (C=O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.40-7.25 (5H, br m), 6.88 (1H, dt, J = 9.8, 4.3 Hz), 6.02 (1H, br d, J = 9.8 Hz), 4.59 (1H, m), 4.24 (1H, dd, J = 8.8, 4 Hz), 4.18 (1H, m), 3.17 (3H, s), 2.40-2.30 (2H, m), 2.06 (1H, ddd, J = 14, 8.8, 4 Hz), 1.92 (1H, ddd, J = 14, 8.8, 5.5 Hz), 1.76 (1H, ddd, J = 14, 6.4, 4.4 Hz), 1.67 (1H, ddd, J = 14, 8.8, 4 Hz), 0.91 (9H, s), 0.10 (3H, s), 0.06 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 164.2, 145.0, 128.5 (x 2), 127.7, 126.5 (x 2), 121.5, 80.5, 74.6, 65.7 (CH), 47.5, 43.4, 30.0 (CH2), 56.3, 25.9 (x 3), −4.4, −4.5 (CH3); HR ESMS m/z (% rel. int.) 413.2120 (M+Na⁺). Calcd. for C₂₂H₃₄NaO₄Si, 413.2124.
(6S)-6-[2R,4S]-2-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-4-phenylbutyl]-5,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-one (6). Prepared from 31 in 90% yield according to experimental conditions g (pag. S-3): oil; [α]D −119.1 (c 1, CHCl3); IR νmax 3440 (br, OH), 1713 (C=O) cm⁻¹; 1H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.40-7.25 (5H, br m), 6.88 (1H, dt, J = 9.6, 4 Hz), 6.02 (1H, br d, J = 9.6 Hz), 4.73 (1H, m), 4.49 (1H, dd, J = 8.8, 3 Hz), 4.23 (1H, m), 3.23 (3H, s), 3.00 (1H, br s, OH), 2.45-2.35 (2H, m), 2.00-1.90 (2H, br m), 1.85-1.70 (2H, m); 13C NMR (125 MHz) δ 164.3, 141.4 (C), 145.3, 128.5 (x 2), 127.7, 126.3 (x 2), 121.3, 81.1, 75.2, 64.6 (CH), 45.1, 42.4, 30.0 (CH2), 56.7 (CH3); HR ESMS m/z (% rel. int.) 299.1260 (M+Na⁺). Calcd. for C16H20NaO4, 299.1259.
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