Dielectric confinement of excitons in type-I and type-II semiconductor nanorods
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Abstract. We theoretically study the effect of the dielectric environment on the exciton ground state of CdSe and CdTe/CdSe/CdTe nanorods. We show that insulating environments enhance the exciton recombination rate and blueshift the emission peak by tens of meV. These effects are particularly pronounced for type-II nanorods. In these structures, the dielectric confinement may even modify the spatial distribution of electron and hole charges. A critical electric field is required to separate electrons from holes, whose value increases with the insulating strength of the surroundings.
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1. Introduction

Semiconductor nanocrystals are high-performance light emitters under intense investigation because of their applications in a wide range of fields, including lasing technology, quantum optics, solar energy capture and biomedicine [1]. Due to their nanoscopic size, the electronic structure of the carriers bound in these crystals is mainly determined by quantum confinement [2, 3]. For this reason, recent progress in size [4], shape [5] and composition [6] control of nanocrystals has boosted their technological prospects [7]. Nanorods (NR) or quantum rods are a clear example of this progress. Their elongated shape results in an anisotropic spatial confinement of carriers which is responsible for a series of improved optical properties relative to spherical quantum dots. These range from higher photoluminescence quantum efficiency [8] and faster carrier relaxation [9] to strongly polarized emission [10]. Furthermore, recent advances in vapor-liquid-solid methods have enabled the synthesis of layered semiconductor NRs [11, 12, 13, 14]. In these systems the heterogeneous composition allows the formation of band structures where electrons and holes are preferably located in different spatial regions, forming what is known as type-II quantum dots. Upon excitation, these systems develop a long-lived charge-separated state which makes them attractive for photovoltaic applications [13, 15].

Spatial confinement is not however the only source of quantum confinement in these structures. Nanocrystals are usually embedded in insulating materials, whose low dielectric constant adds a severe dielectric confinement. In spherical quantum dots, the strong isotropic confinement originates similar electron and hole charge distributions. As a result, the influence of dielectric confinement for excitons is weakened [16, 17], the main effect being an increase of the binding energy [18, 19]. One may wonder if this is also the case in NRs, where the presence of a weak confinement direction could lead to a different behavior. Indeed, several studies on quasi-one-dimensional nanostructures have suggested the dielectric mismatch between semiconductor materials and the environment as the driving mechanism to explain some experimental observations. For example, we can mention the large variation of the optical gap of CdSe NRs compared to the transport one [20], the effect on the excitonic energies observed in ZnS NRs [21] and type-II NRs [22], or the large magnitude of the polarization anisotropy on linear [23, 24, 25] and nonlinear [26] optical phenomena. Dielectric confinement has also been shown to affect the dynamics of the electron-hole separation in type-II heterostructured NRs [27] as well as the coupling between electrons and longitudinal optical phonons in CdSe NRs [28]. From the theory side, a few works have investigated excitons in dielectrically confined CdSe nanorods, but they neglected either the longitudinal confinement [29] or the self-interaction with the polarization charges [30].

In this work, we perform a theoretical study of the effects of the dielectric confinement on the excitonic properties of semiconductor NRs. We consider homogeneous CdSe NRs as well as recently synthesized linear CdTe/CdSe/CdTe heterostructured NRs subject to different dielectric environments. We use a fully 3D
effective-mass and envelope-function Hamiltonian which allows us to model sophisticated geometries. The contributions coming from the dielectric mismatch are accounted for using a numerical procedure, and the electron-hole correlations—which are important for long NRs— are treated by carrying full configuration interaction (FCI) calculations.

Our results show that in semiconductor NRs the dielectric confinement modifies the energy and intensity of the exciton photoluminescence. The influence is particularly important in type-II NRs, where the asymmetry between the electron and hole charge distribution enables strong dielectric mismatch effects. In this kind of structures, the electronic density shows a striking response to changes in the dielectric constant of the environment. In insulating environments, the enhanced electron-hole attraction moves the electron density from the center of the NR to the CdTe/CdSe interfaces. Last, we study the effect of longitudinal electric fields on the excitonic states of the NRs. Our results show that a threshold field is required to separate electrons from holes. The value of this critical field is strongly dependent on the dielectric constant of the environment.

2. Theory and computational details

In the effective mass approximation the exciton Hamiltonian can be expressed as

\[ H = H_0^e(r_e) + H_0^h(r_h) + V_{eh}(r_e, r_h), \] (1)

where \( H_0^e, h \) are single-particle Hamiltonians and \( V_{eh} \) is the electron-hole Coulomb interaction. To describe the single-particle spectra we assume the following Hamiltonian in cylindrical coordinates and atomic units

\[ H^i = -\frac{1}{2m_i} \nabla_i^2 + V^c_i(\rho_i, z_i) + V_{sp}(\rho_i, z_i) - q_i F z_i. \] (2)

Here \( i = e, h \) is a subscript denoting electron or hole respectively, \( m_i \) is the effective mass that we assume to be constant in the whole system, \( V^c_i(\rho_i, z_i) \) is the step-like spatial confining potential, and \( V_{sp}(\rho_i, z_i) \) is the self-polarization potential arising from the interaction of each carrier with its own polarization charges, generated on the NR interface as a consequence of the dielectric constant mismatch with the environment. The last term of the Hamiltonian (2) describes the effect of an electric field \( F \) applied along the NR longitudinal axis, with \( q_i \) standing for the electric charge of the carrier.

Exciton energies and wave functions are obtained by means of FCI calculations, i.e., as the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the projection of Hamiltonian (1) onto the two-body basis set of all possible Hartree electron-hole products. Since the low-energy single-particle spectrum of large aspect ratio NRs only includes orbitals with zero azimuthal angular momentum [31] we use a single-particle basis set of 1s-gaussian functions

\[ g_{i,x}(r) = \exp \left[ -\alpha_x (r - R_i)^2 \right], \] (3)

to obtain the exciton energies and wave functions. The exponents \( \alpha_x \) (\( x = e, h \) for electron and hole, respectively) are fitted variationally in a sphere calculation where a
single gaussian function is employed. The gaussian functions are radially centered and equally spaced along the NR longitudinal axis, i.e., $R_i = z_k$. We employ a large enough number of gaussian functions per nm of the NR axis to saturate the space and guarantee energy convergence. Once the set of gaussian functions are obtained, we proceed to a symmetric orthogonalization in order to reach a set of orthonormal functions which most closely resemble the original basis set, both for electrons and holes. Then we build up all possible Hartree electron-hole products that expand the FCI space in which Hamiltonian (1) is projected.

In order to calculate the electron-hole interaction term (the electron-hole exchange is neglected as it does not influence the reported trends) of the FCI matrix elements

$$\langle \phi_i^e \phi_j^h \mid V_{eh} \mid \phi_k^e \phi_l^h \rangle,$$

we first obtain an electron charge density $\eta(r_e) = \phi_i^e \ast \phi_k^h$ and then calculate the electrostatic potential that this charge distribution generates onto the hole. To calculate this potential in a medium with spatially inhomogeneous dielectric constant $\varepsilon(r)$, we rewrite the Poisson equation in terms of the source charges plus the induced polarization charges:

$$\nabla^2 V(r_h) = -4\pi \left[ \eta(r_e) + \eta_p(r_e) \right].$$

Here $\eta_p(r_e)$ is the polarization charge density, which we calculate with a method equivalent to the induced charge computation one proposed by Boda et al. The self-polarization potential appearing in the single-particle Hamiltonian (2) is calculated following a similar scheme but taking a point source charge and scaling the potential by a factor 0.5 due to the self-interaction nature of this term. We refer the reader to reference 32 for further details on the inclusion of these contributions.

In addition to energy and carrier density distribution, we calculate the ground state electron-hole recombination probability and electric dipole moment. For the first one, we use the dipole approximation and Fermi golden rule

$$P \propto \sum_{ij} c_{ij} \langle \phi_i^e \mid \phi_j^h \rangle^2 p_0(T).$$

Here $c_{ij}$ are the exciton ground state FCI expansion coefficients, $\phi_i^e$ and $\phi_j^h$ are symmetrically orthogonalized gaussian functions whose Hartree products constitute the basis set for the FCI expansion and $\langle \phi_i^e \mid \phi_j^h \rangle$ the corresponding overlap. Since we deal with large aspect ratio NRs in which the energy separation between the ground state and the low lying excited states is just a few meV, to compute the exciton ground state recombination probability we consider thermal population effects. To this end, a numerical basis set formed by the single-particle Hamiltonian eigenfunctions would be better adapted to the spatial confinement and hence would yield lower exciton energies, closer to experimental values. However, we have chosen to use equidistant floating gaussians because, in contrast to the numerical eigenfunctions, they enable a uniform saturation along the NR as well as a continuously homogeneous description of the system, from the spherical limit to the extremely elongated one.
we assume the Boltzmann distribution \( p_l(T) = N(g_l/g_0)\exp(-\Delta E_l/kT) \) for the exciton states occupation at temperature \( T \), with \( g_l \) (\( g_0 \)) as the degeneracy factor of the state \( l \) (ground state), \( \Delta E_l \) the energy difference between the state \( l \) and the ground state, and \( k \) the Boltzmann constant. \( N \) is the normalization constant, which ensures that the sum of all exciton states population is equal to one. Finally, for simplicity, we omit the influence of local fields induced by the dielectric mismatch on the exciton-photon interaction. One can check that their influence in nanorods [29] is qualitatively the same as that resulting from the polarization charges we investigate.

On the other hand, we calculate the electric dipole moment as

\[
\mu = \int [\rho^h - \rho^e] z \, dv,
\]

where \( \rho^{e,h} \) are the electron and hole ground state densities.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Type-I NRs

We start by studying homogeneous CdSe NRs of different lengths. The rods are composed of a cylinder with radius \( R = 2 \) nm and length \( L_c \), attached to two hemispherical caps of radius \( R = 2 \) nm at the extremes, yielding a total length \( L = 2R + L_c \) (see figure 1 inset). CdSe material parameters are used [37]. Thus, electron and hole effective masses are \( m_e^* = 0.13 \) and \( m_h^* = 0.4 \). The latter corresponds to the longitudinal mass of a light-hole, since the hole ground state in long NRs is essentially a light-hole [20]. For this system, the variational gaussian coefficients are \( \alpha_e = 0.0016 \) and \( \alpha_h = 0.0020 \). The dielectric constant inside the NR is fixed to \( \varepsilon_{\text{in}} = 9.2 \), while outside \( \varepsilon_{\text{out}} \) is varied in a wide range, in order to simulate the effect of surrounding media with different insulating strength. Carriers are confined inside the NR by a typical potential barrier of 4 eV.

Figure 1(a) represents the exciton ground state energy as a function of the NR length \( L \) for embedding media of different insulating strength. For a given environment, we see that the exciton initially experiences a significant energy stabilization, and an asymptotic value is finally attained. This behavior, which has been observed in optical and tunneling gap measurements [20, 38], reflects the relaxation of the longitudinal spatial confinement. The asymptotic regime is usually identified with a quasi-1D system, where only radial confinement is present, and it explains the success of quasi-1D models in reproducing experimental observations [29].

A similar relaxation is observed in figure 1(b) for the exciton binding energy as the NR is elongated. The plot also reproduces the effect of the dielectric environment previously observed in spherical and cubic nanocrystals [18, 19], i.e., due to the polarization of the Coulomb interaction, low dielectric constant environments increase the electron-hole attraction, and hence, the binding energy.

Despite this gain in binding energy, figure 1(a) reveals that insulating environments blueshift the exciton energy by up to 50 meV [34]. This result is driven by the
self-polarization interaction and can be interpreted as follows. Due to the dielectric mismatch, the confined carriers induce polarization charges on the NR surface. When the NR is embedded in a medium of lower (higher) dielectric constant, $\varepsilon_{\text{in}} > \varepsilon_{\text{out}}$ ($\varepsilon_{\text{in}} < \varepsilon_{\text{out}}$), the sign of the induced charges is the same (opposite) as that of the source charges. This means that the self-interaction between source and induced charges, $V_{\text{sp}}$, is repulsive (attractive). Conversely, the electron-hole Coulomb polarization interaction is attractive (repulsive). While these two contributions tend to compensate each other [18, 19], the cancelation is not exact. In all the cases we study, the self-interaction term prevails. For insulating environments ($\varepsilon_{\text{in}} > \varepsilon_{\text{out}}$), this translates into a blueshifted exciton.

Note that the blueshift in figure 1(a) does not contradict the large reduction of the optical gap observed experimentally in dielectrically confined NRs [20, 29]. This is because the optical gap was compared with the transport gap. Both gaps are subject to the self-interaction potential, but only the optical one includes electron-hole Coulomb polarization effects.

The inset in figure 1(a) shows the difference between the exciton energy with and without dielectric mismatch as a function of the NR length. The energy difference first decreases, and it becomes mostly insensitive to the length once the aspect ratio is larger than two. The initial decrease is due to the relaxation of the longitudinal (dielectric) confinement, and the plateau that follows suggests that the weaker confinement barely affects the balance between self-interaction and Coulomb polarization.

We next investigate the effect of the dielectric environment on the electron-hole recombination probability. The results obtained at $T = 30$ K are illustrated in figure 1(c). It follows from the figure that (i) the recombination probability increases with the NR length, (ii) the dielectric confinement enhances this probability and (iii) this enhancement is larger for long NRs. All these results can be rationalized in terms of the strong correlation regime induced by the softened spatial and the dielectric confinements [30]. In all cases, for long rods thermal population of excited states becomes important and the recombination probability saturates towards the quantum wire limit.

3.2. Type-II NRs

In this section we study heterogeneous NRs similar to those synthesized in references 13 and 22. The rods are composed of a central CdSe cylinder (core) of radius $R = 2$ nm and length $L_{\text{CdSe}}$ attached to two external shells of CdTe. The shells in turn are formed by a hemispherical cap of radius $R = 2$ nm and a cylinder of length $L_{\text{CdTe}}$ (see figure 2(c) inset). Bringing all the parts together yields two shells of length $L_{\text{CdTe}} = R + L_{\text{CdTe}}$ and a total NR length $L = 2L_{\text{CdTe}} + L_{\text{CdSe}}$. These heterostructured systems are known to display a type-II band alignment [12, 13, 14, 22], where electrons are preferably located in CdSe regions and holes in CdTe regions. To reproduce this situation, in our calculations we include a band offset in the interface between both materials. For
electrons we take a band offset of 0.42 eV and for holes we take an inverse band offset of 0.57 eV [39]. Since the material parameters of CdSe and CdTe do not offer significant differences, we take CdSe effective mass and dielectric constant for the whole NR. Thus, we just consider the dielectric interface between the whole NR and the external matrix.

In figure 2(a) we show the exciton ground state energies for type-II NRs composed by a CdSe core of length $L_{\text{CdSe}} = 4$ nm and CdTe shells of increasing length $L_{\text{CdTe}}$. Different embedding media are considered. As in the case of homogenous NRs, for a given environment the exciton experiences an initial energy stabilization and later it reaches an asymptotic value. Also, the same qualitative response to the dielectric environment is observed. However, the magnitude of the energy shifts originated by the dielectric confinement is now about twice that of type-I NRs, reaching values as large as 100 meV (see figure 2(a) inset). The reason is that the spatial separation of electron and hole charge distributions in type-II nanostructures weakens the Coulomb polarization term, as reflected in the smaller binding energies displayed in figure 2(b), but not the self-polarization. This leads to greatly enhanced dielectric mismatch effects.

At this point it is worth noting that the effect of the dielectric confinement predicted in figure 2(a) is consistent with the main trends reported in reference 22, where the photoluminescence spectra of similar CdTe/CdSe/CdTe NRs were compared for solvents with different dielectric constant. A blueshift of the exciton emission energy by tens of meV was observed under low dielectric constant environments (figure 7 in their work). This confirms the prevalence of the self-interaction potential over the electron-hole Coulomb one. The irregular differences between the energy shifts originated by the two low dielectric constant solvents of reference 22 are probably connected with microscopic effects, which are beyond our continuum model.

The inset in figure 2(a) shows the difference between the exciton energy with and without dielectric mismatch as a function of the NR length. As in type-I NRs, the increasing anisotropy has a weak influence.

Figure 2(c) shows the electron-hole recombination probability of type-II NRs at $T = 30$ K. As can be observed, the probability is much smaller than in type-I NRs due to the charge separation, which was already noted in related experiments [12]. In addition, contrary to type-I NRs (figure 1(c)), the recombination probability now decreases with the NR length. This is because the length increase comes from longer CdTe shells, so that the hole lies further away from the electron, which leads to an additional reduction of the electron-hole overlap. The effect of the dielectric environment is also quite different from the homogeneous NR case. Insulating environments still enhance the recombination probability, but: (i) the enhancement does not vary with $L$, because the size increase of the CdTe shells does not entail an increase in the role of the electron-hole correlations, and (ii) the relative enhancement is many times larger. For example, at $L = 25$ nm the recombination probability for $\varepsilon_{\text{out}} = 2$ is $\sim 3.5$ times that of $\varepsilon_{\text{out}} = 9.2$, compared to $\sim 1.2$ times in type-I NRs. This is another manifestation of the important role of dielectric mismatch in type-II structures.

Next we show that the strong influence of dielectric confinement in type-II NRs may
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even reshape the exciton wavefunction. Figure 3 illustrates the electron (solid line) and hole (dashed line) density profiles along the NR longitudinal axis. Left (right) panels correspond to NRs of dimensions $L_{\text{CdSe}} = 6 \text{ nm}$ and $L_{\text{CdTe}} = 2.5 \text{ nm}$ ($L_{\text{CdSe}} = 19 \text{ nm}$ and $L_{\text{CdTe}} = 3 \text{ nm}$) embedded in media of different insulating strength. No noticeable effects arise in the case of the shorter NR. By contrast, as the longer NR is embedded in strong insulating media, the electron moves from the rod center to the CdTe shells. For a strong enough dielectric mismatch, the electron density even develops a deep valley at the center of the NR (see e.g. $e_{\text{out}} = 2$, bottom right panel in figure 3). The driving force of this behavior is the increase of the electron-hole interaction by means of the polarization charges. As the CdSe core is elongated, this attractive potential starts dominating over the longitudinal spatial potential felt by the electron, which is then dragged by the hole towards the material interface. This phenomenon is favored for long CdSe cores and short CdTe shells.

The electron localization near the external shells evidences a regime where the role of the longitudinal spatial confinement is taken over by the dielectric confinement. Moreover, important implications follow from this phenomenon, such as enhanced sensitivity of the exciton near the CdSe/CdTe interface and reduced coupling to impurities and defects in the center of the rod.

3.3. Electric field effect

In the last few years both theoretical [40] and experimental [41, 42] studies have pointed out interesting properties for technological devices arising from the application of an external electric field along the longitudinal direction of NRs. The electric field separates electrons from holes, thus reducing the radiative recombination probability. The rate at which this happens is known to be affected by the quantum confinement, which is related to the quantum confined Stark effect. Having observed the strong influence of dielectric confinement in NRs at zero field, we next probe how it modifies the exciton response to longitudinal electric fields.

In figure 4 we study the electric field effect over the exciton ground state energy (a), electron-hole recombination probability ($T = 30 \text{ K}$) (b) and dipole moment (c), for a homogeneous CdSe NR of length $L = 25 \text{ nm}$ in different media. As can be seen, there is a critical electric field from which the system evolves in a different way. This is the field required to induce the electron-hole separation. The separation is reflected by a redshift of the exciton energy (figure 4(a)), a sudden reduction of the exciton recombination probability (figure 4(b)) and an abrupt increase of the dipole moment (figure 4(c)). The abrupt response to the electric field is consistent with the rapid switches observed in optical spectroscopy experiments [42].

Figure 4 proves that the dielectric confinement has important effects on the exciton response to electric fields. The critical field required to separate electrons from holes increases significantly with the insulating strength of the environment. This is due to the abovementioned modulation of the exciton binding energy.
We next illustrate the electric field effect on type-II NRs. Results are shown in figure 5 for a NR of $L_{\text{CdSe}} = 19$ nm and $L_{\text{CdTe}} = 3$ nm (total length $L = 25$ nm). The same trends as in homogeneous NRs are observed, but now, since the electron-hole interaction is weaker, smaller fields are required to separate both particles and this process takes place more gradually. In any case, the influence of the dielectric environment on the exciton response to electric fields is still felt, and it can increase the critical field value over an order of magnitude. The anomalous evolution observed at small fields in the recombination probability (figure 5(b)) is explained as follows. The electric field breaks the double-degeneracy of the hole states localized in the CdTe caps. Since in figure 5(b) we just show the ground state recombination probability, the initial increase comes from the thermal depopulation of the first excited state in favor of the ground state.

Finally, we focus our attention on the evolution of the exciton charge density under the influence of electric fields. In homogeneous NRs no noticeable effects arise. Electron and hole remain in the center of the rod until the field splits them up towards opposite NR ends (not shown). Conversely, type-II NRs display an interesting interplay between the electric field and Coulomb polarization effects, whose effect on the charge distribution is summarized in figure 6. A small electric field ($F = 20$ kV/cm) suffices to localize the hole in the CdTe shell near the negative electrode. The electron localization is however strongly dependent on the dielectric environment. In the absence of dielectric mismatch ($\varepsilon_{\text{out}} = 9.2$) it is centered, revealing a compensation between the electric field and electron-hole interactions. For $\varepsilon_{\text{out}} = 2$, Coulomb interaction dominates and the electron moves towards the hole (in spite of the electric field), and the opposite occurs for $\varepsilon_{\text{out}} = 25$. With increasing electric field ($F = 100$ kV/cm), the electron is forced to move towards the positive electrode, but this is still difficult if the environment is strongly insulating ($\varepsilon_{\text{out}} = 2$). Once again, this behavior comes from the modulation of the exciton binding energy by the dielectric confinement.

4. Conclusions

We have shown that the dielectric confinement has significant effects in the excitonic properties of semiconductor NRs. In type-I NRs, low dielectric constant environments blueshift the exciton photoluminescence peak by tens of meV, enhance electron-hole recombination rates and increase the electric field required to separate electrons from holes. The two latter effects are direct consequences of the enhanced correlation regime and exciton binding energy, while the former is a consequence of the exciton self-interaction with the induced polarization charges.

In type-II NRs, the same effects hold, but now greatly enhanced due to the asymmetric charge distribution of electrons and holes, which reduces the compensation between self-interaction and electron-hole Coulomb polarization. In these systems, a strong dielectric mismatch may move the electron charge density from the center of the core towards the heterostructure interface. This result has straightforward implications...
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in the physical response of the NRs, and it shows that the dielectric confinement can be used -in addition to spatial confinement- to manipulate the shape and size of type-II excitons.

To experimentally confirm the electronic density localization trends reported here, we propose using wave function mapping techniques, such as near-field scanning optical microscopy [43]. Alternatively, the diamagnetic shift of NRs subject to transversal magnetic fields will discriminate excitons with an electron localized in the center or near the shells of the NR. We close by noting that the phenomena reported in this work are not exclusive of CdSe/CdTe NRs. They can be extended to rods made of different materials as long as the appropriate dielectric confinement regime is attained.
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Figure 1. a) Exciton ground state energies (relative to the bulk CdSe gap), b) binding energies and c) recombination probabilities ($T = 30$ K) in homogeneous NRs with variable length $L$ embedded in different dielectric media. Crosses correspond to calculations. Lines are guides to the eyes. Different line shapes correspond to different dielectric constants. The correspondence is shown in the bottom panel. Upper inset: Exciton energy differences between the cases with $\varepsilon_{\text{out}} \neq \varepsilon_{\text{in}}$ and the case $\varepsilon_{\text{out}} = \varepsilon_{\text{in}}$. Lower inset: schematic of the NR geometry.
Figure 2. Same as figure 1 but for type-II NRs.
Figure 3. Electron (solid lines) and hole (dashed lines) densities along the longitudinal axis, for type-II NRs of $L_{\text{CdSe}} = 6$ nm and $L_{\text{CdTe}} = 2.5$ nm (left), and $L_{\text{CdSe}} = 19$ nm and $L_{\text{CdTe}} = 3$ nm (right). The dielectric constants of the surroundings are indicated on the left of each row.
Figure 4. a) Exciton ground state energies (relative to the bulk CdSe gap), b) recombination probabilities \( (T = 30 \text{ K}) \) and c) dipole moments of a \( L = 25 \text{ nm} \) homogeneous NR vs. the applied electric field. The dielectric constants of the media are indicated by the lines in panel b).
Figure 5. Same as figure 4 but for a type-II NR with $L_{\text{CdSe}}^{\text{c}} = 19$ nm and $L_{\text{CdTe}}^{\text{s}} = 3$ nm.
Figure 6. Electron (solid lines) and hole (dashed lines) densities along the longitudinal axis, for a type-II NR of $L_{\text{CdSe}} = 19$ nm and $L_{\text{CdTe}} = 3$nm subject to electric fields of 20 and 100 kV/cm. The dielectric constants of the different media are enclosed on the top-left corner of each row.