Power Flow Control Using a DC-DC MMC for HVdc grid Connected Wind Power Plants
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Abstract — This paper proposes the use of a transformer-less DC-DC Modular Multilevel Converter (MMC) topology, based on cascaded H-bridge converters, for power flow control in High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) grids used to connect off-shore wind power plants to on-shore grids. An energy based approach is used to regulate the DC voltage of H-bridge modules. Results for the operation of the DC-DC MMC supplying energy to a DC network and controlling the power flow in a HVDC system are presented.

Index Terms—Wind power generation, Power Control, HVDC converters, Modular multilevel converters.

I. INTRODUCTION

HVDC grids will be used to deliver large amounts of renewable power from generation locations to consumption centers in Europe. Voltage Source Converters (VSC) based on Modular Multilevel topologies represent the current preferred technological option to connect large wind power plants (WPP) to HVDC grids. They have important technical advantages, such as, black-start operation of the off-shore grid and use of cheaper XLPE cable. VSC-HVDC grids could also contribute to enhance frequency and voltage stability of weak AC systems and prevent blackouts [1]–[3]. Multi-terminal HVDC configurations with more lines than converter stations require additional equipment for power flow control. Several alternatives have been proposed, including the use of DC-DC converters with high-frequency transformers and even the use of switchable resistors. These configurations have the drawbacks of requiring complex high voltage high frequency transformers, the former, and the increase in losses the latter.

The Modular Multilevel Converter topology has become very attractive high voltage, high power VSC-HVDC converter station [4]–[7]. The MMC typically uses a string of half or full bridge converter modules [7], offering advantages such as high quality voltage and current waveforms; low switching frequency, hence low power losses. Because of the building block simplicity, a highly modular design approach to meet the high voltage and power levels required for HVDC applications. Because of its advantages, the MMC is being considered for modular multilevel DC-DC conversion topologies such as those proposed in [8]–[12].

This technology may be suitable to interconnect HVDC systems by their DC sides so as to implement a HVDC grid [13]–[14]. The topology in [8] and [9] is basically a cascade of two standard AC-DC MMC stages which are connected by its AC sides. The first MMC implements the DC-AC conversion stage, of moderate frequency, whereas the second MMC implements the AC-DC conversion stage. A similar alternative but based on the Alternating Arm Converter (AAC) is proposed in [10]. Several topologies, not exactly thought as DC-DC converters with an intermediate AC link are proposed in [11] and [12].

Fig. 1. Proposed DC-DC Multilevel Modular Converter.

In this paper a modular multilevel DC-DC converter is proposed for HVDC applications. The proposed Modular Multi-level DC-DC converter (DC-DC-MMC) topology is based on the topology introduced in [15], which has three MMC branches arranged in a Π topology. The proposed DC-DC converter consists of six branches arranged in a double Π topology, as shown in Fig. 1. Each branch can have N H-bridge converter modules. In comparison to other MMC based DC-DC power converter topologies, such as those using intermediate AC links [4], [9], the DC-DC double Π topology
propose in this work does not require intermediate coupling transformers and may require smaller silicon area, i.e. summation of volt amp product of power semiconductor devices to implement the overall power converter.

Each II topology operates in a similar manner. A control system for the total H-bridge DC link energy in every branch is presented, the modeling of any branch with N H-bridge converter is also included. Results showing the behavior of the DC-DC MMC both supplying energy to a DC network and controlling the power flow in a HVDC grid are also presented.

II. MODULAR MULTI-LEVEL DC-DC CONVERTER

One of the main challenges in MMC topologies is to keep the DC voltage capacitor in each cell (either for full or half H-bridge) within certain range in order to operate the power devices safely and ensuring the control of the converter current. The appropriate DC voltage regulation in each cell will ensure the correct operation of the entire branch. The energy balance between the total amount of energy stored in the capacitors in a branch and the energy supplied from those capacitors, within a time window, is a key factor to maintain capacitor voltages within the nominal values [16]-[18]. In other words, the average power shared between each branch and the system has to be, neglecting losses, null.

In [8]-[10], the energy balance is achieved by imposing a current in each branch which contains a component at the intermediate AC frequency \( f_u \) and a DC component (in this work, a 500Hz AC signal is used to proof the concept). In this way the total energy stored in a branch can be fully regulated. The topologies in [11], like the one proposed here, do not use an intermediate AC link but they also require an AC voltage and current component to enable converter branches to exchange power, hence energy, with the system at a component other than the DC one. This auxiliary AC component, referred as to secondary frequency component in [11], will provide energy balance at the branch level in the double II DC-DC topology. The energy balance within a particular branch, i.e. the DC voltage balance in each cell in the branch, can be carried out, for instance, as proposed in [15] or exploiting the commutation redundant states as described in [10] and [18].

The strategy for regulating the H-bridge converter DC link voltage of the proposed DC-DC converter is a two-fold scheme: 1) control of the total energy in every branch of the DC-DC converter topology and 2) balance of the DC link voltage of every H-bridge converter module that forms a branch. Fig. 1 shows the different currents and voltages used for the control of theMMC DC-DC converter. In order to control the total energy in each branch of the converter topology, DC and AC circulating currents are used. These currents are imposed in the power converter by a PI and a resonant controller, respectively. The circulating AC current \( i_u \) controls the total energy in the derivation branch. This current component transfers energy to/from the DC side capacitors as given by \( \int v_{ui} i_u dt \) (with \( v_{ui} \) and \( i_u \) in phase). The voltage \( v_{ui} \), set by the corresponding branch, cancels out with the other AC voltage in the other II converter and it does not appear at the output. The currents \( i_{sh_i} (i=1, 2) \) controls the corresponding total energy in the i-th shunt branch. The total energy in the series and derivation branches in the top and bottom II arrangements are controlled by \( I_{ser1} \) and \( I_{ser2} \) respectively.

The DC voltage balance of the N H-bridge converter modules which form a branch (i.e. the series and parallel branches) is carried out as in [15]. This is by balancing (N-1) capacitor voltage deviations with respect to the average capacitor voltage of the branch. Each voltage deviation is fed into a dedicated PI controller which sets the required balancing voltage component in each H-bridge converter module. This voltage balancing component is in quadrature to \( v_{ui} \). This facilitates design of the control system since it provides decoupling between the energy balance and DC voltage balancing sub-systems.

The operating principle of the top and bottom II converter topologies are equivalent, hence only the top half of the full double II converter is analyzed. The bottom half operates in a similar manner, but with the output AC voltage component shifted 180º, so no AC voltage appears at the total output. In the following equations upper case variables represent DC components and lowercase variables AC components.

Using the voltages and currents defined in Fig 1, it can be shown that the instantaneous power in the series, derivation and shunt branches are given by (1), (2) and (3), respectively:

\[
p_{ser1} = \frac{dW_{ser1}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} (V_{DC1} - V_{DC0}) (I_{ser1} + i_u)
\]

\[
p_{der1} = \frac{dW_{der1}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} (V_{DC1} - V_{DC0}) (I_{ser1} - i_u)
\]

\[
p_{sh1} = \frac{dW_{sh1}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} (V_{DC1} - V_{DC0}) (I_{sh1} - i_u)
\]

where \( W_{ser1}, W_{der1} \) and \( W_{sh1} \) are the instantaneous stored energy of the series, derivation and shunt branches respectively. \( v_{ui} \) and \( i_u \) are in phase, therefore, average powers for the branches are given by (4), (5) and (6), respectively:

\[
\frac{d\bar{W}_{ser1}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} (V_{DC1} - V_{DC0}) \cdot I_{ser1} + \frac{v_{ui} + i_u}{2} \cdot i_u
\]

\[
\frac{d\bar{W}_{der1}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} (V_{DC1} - V_{DC0}) \cdot (I_{ser1} - i_u) - \frac{v_{ui}}{2} \cdot i_u
\]

\[
\frac{d\bar{W}_{sh1}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} (V_{DC1} - V_{DC0}) \cdot I_{sh1}
\]

where \( \bar{W}_{ser1}, \bar{W}_{der1} \) and \( \bar{W}_{sh1} \) are the average stored energy of the series, derivation and shunt branches respectively. \( v_{ui} \) and \( i_u \) are peak values of \( v_{ui} \) and \( i_u \) respectively. According to (6) \( I_{sh1} \) can be used to control the total energy in the shunt branch. From (5) it can be seen that derivation branch energy can be controlled using \( i_u \). Adding (4) and (5) yields to:

\[
\frac{d\bar{W}_{i}}{dt} = \frac{d\bar{W}_{ser1}}{dt} + \frac{d\bar{W}_{der1}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} (V_{DC1} - V_{DC0}) \cdot I_{ser1} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot I_{DC0}
\]
Therefore, the total energy in the series and derivation branches can be controlled by adjusting $I_{\text{series1}}$ ($V_{\text{DC0}}$ is the output current and can be considered a perturbation). Adjustment of each current component is done in accordance to its corresponding energy deviation, with respect to its reference value, in a closed loop fashion.

The control structure for the energy control in the shunt branch uses a PI controller. This sets the reference current $I_{s_{h1}}$. This current $I_{s_{h1}}$ is then forced by the shunt branch using an internal current control loop, as depicted in Fig. 2. A resonant controller is used to force the circulation of the AC components through the series branch. The design of the resonant controller follows the approach presented in [19]. The strategy for the energy control in the derivation branch also uses a PI controller; see Fig. 3, which sets the amplitude of circulating current $I_{u1}$. Current $I_{u1}$ is then multiplied by a 500Hz template sinusoidal signal to obtain the circulating reference current $i_{u1}$. This current component is forced through the converter by an internal current control which uses a resonant controller. The choice for the frequency of the compensation AC voltage component is a trade-off between converter losses and capacitor requirements, measured in terms of energy storage, hence physical size of capacitors. The higher the frequency of the AC component, the higher the switching losses, hence converter losses, but the smaller the change of energy of DC link capacitors during one cycle of the AC compensation voltage. The total energy in the series and derivation branch, considering the two branches together, is controlled by the adjustment of $I_{\text{series1}}$. A PI controller processes the energy error and sets this DC reference current.

A simplified model is developed to simulate a DC-DC converter of any number of levels (see Fig. 5). The N series-connected cells of each arm are replaced by a single voltage source ($V_{\text{eq}}$) and a resistor ($R_{\text{eq}}$) where the following simplifications are considered:

- The diodes and IGBTs are modeled as a two-state resistance: $R_{\text{ON}}$ and $R_{\text{OFF}}$. The value of the off-state resistance is considered to be infinite.
- Cells have three possible states: positive output voltage (1), negative output voltage (-1) and zero output voltage (0).
- The values of the equivalent voltage source and resistance of each arm are:

$$R_{\text{eq}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} R_{\text{eq}}^i (i)$$
$$V_{\text{eq}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \text{state}(i) \cdot V_{i}(i)$$

where state(i) is an array containing the state of each cell. The state of each cell is given by the modulation technique and the voltage reference from the control algorithms. The voltages of every cell capacitor are computed at each simulation step and the values of $R_{\text{eq}}$ and $V_{\text{eq}}$ are updated. Further details can be found in [20].

IV. RESULTS FOR POWER FLOW IN HVDC SYSTEMS

The operation of the proposed converter has been validated by considering the system in Fig. 6. The rating of the power converter depends on the voltage ratio of the MMC DC-DC converters; hence this is certainly an issue to bear in mind and depends on the application. In all cases, a converter with 150 cells in each one of the branches is considered. Each cell has a capacitor of 3000uF and the cell average voltage is 2.5kV.

A. Power flow for HVDC lines with different voltage level

Figs 7 and 8 show the steady state operation of the MMC-DC-DC converter when delivering 200MW to the ±150kV
Fig. 7 shows the output voltage, converter branch currents and converter output current. Fig. 8 shows the average, maximum and minimum cell voltages in each one of the converter branches. Fig. 9 shows system operation when the MMC-DC-DC converter power reference is ramped down from 200MW to -200MW. Voltages at busses T2 and T4 are being held constant by the respective converters. Initially, the DC-DC converter is delivering 200MW from Ta to Tb. The 200MW are delivered by T2 converter stations (150MW) and by the wind farm T1 (50MW). No wind power is delivered by wind farm T3, hence, the 200MW injected by the DC-DC converter are absorbed by converter T4. At t=0.2, the power reference to the DC-DC converter is ramped down, to achieve full power reversal in 1s. Such a fast power reversal might not be realistic, as might be too fast for the size of the considered system. However, it is useful to show the dynamic performance of the DC-DC converter. As the power transferred from Ta to Tb decreases, power delivered by the T2 converter also decreases, therefore, the power absorbed by the T4 converter also decreases. At t=0.5 power reversal occurs and converter T4 injects power to the HVDC grid while T1 absorbs power from the HVDC grid. At t=1.4s wind farm T1 stops injecting power and windfarm T3 starts injecting power to the HVDC grid. The MMC DC-DC converter keeps the power flowing from Ta to Tb to -200MW and powers flowing to and from T2 and T4.

The voltage traces in Fig. 9 shows the input and output voltages of the MMC-DC-DC converter during the power flow change. The average cell capacitor voltage and arm currents are shown in Fig. 10. Clearly, cell voltages in the input shunt branch remain close to their 2.5kV reference, whereas the cell voltages of the series and output derivation branches depart from their steady state value due to the ramped change in reference power. This effect can be easily reduced by means of current reference feed-forward compensation. In any case, note that cell voltage deviation is within reasonable limits and in opposite directions, leading to constant total energy in series and derivation branches, as expected from theory.

Fig. 11 shows the operation of the MMC-DC-DC converter when delivering -200MW, note that the dc components of $I_{se}$ and $I_{de}$ have just changed their sign with respect to those in Fig. 7, again, as expected from the theory.

**B. Power flow for HVDC lines with same voltage level**

The MMC DC-DC power converter is used to interconnect two HVDC ±300kV line. Fig. 12 shows a power transient between the ±300kV lines. Initially, the DC-DC converter is delivering 600MW from Ta to Tb. The 600MW are delivered by the wind farm T1 (50MW), and the rest is delivered by the T2 converter. At this stage, no wind power is delivered by wind farm T3, hence, the 600MW injected by the DC-DC converter are absorbed by converter T4. At t=0.2, the power
reference to the DC-DC converter is ramped down, to achieve full power reversal in 1s. Such a fast power reversal might not be realistic, as might be too fast for the size of the considered system. However, it is useful to show the dynamic performance of the DC-DC converter. As the power transferred from Ta to Tb decreases, power delivered by the T2 converter also decreases, therefore, the power absorbed by the T4 converter also decreases. At t=0.5 power reversal occurs and converter T4 injects power to the HVDC grid while T1 absorbs power from the HVDC grid.

At t=1.4s wind farm T1 stops injecting power and wind farm T3 starts injecting power to the HVDC grid. The MMC DC-DC converter keeps the power flowing from Ta to Tb to -600MW and powers flowing to and from T2 and T4. The voltage trace shows the input and output voltages of the MMC-DC-DC converter during the power flow change. Fig. 13 shows the branch currents and cell voltages during power reversal.

Branch currents on the top graph consist on a circulating AC current plus a DC component. The DC component on \( I_e \) does clearly change during the transient, whereas the DC component of the other branches is almost negligible. Note the average capacitor cell voltages depart very little from their references even in the presence of a large power transient.

C. Discussion on sizing of the converter

For the proposed MMC DC-DC converter application, a 4.5kV/1.2kA IGBT [21] could be considered as a suitable switching device for H-bridges with a DC link working...
voltage of 2.5kV and maximum current of 1.0kA. For the ±300kV to ±150kV application, a suitable value for the peak value of $V_u$ is 150kV. Considering the energy balance (series and derivation) and the maximum cell current (1.0kA), the maximum series branch DC and AC circulating current (under normal operation conditions) will be 0.33kA and 0.67kA, respectively. Therefore, the power rating (nominal power) of the converter is $2\times300\,\text{kV}\times0.33\,\text{kA} = 200\,\text{MW}$. The number of cells per branch can be calculated as the number of cells to produce nominal maximum branch voltage with a 20% security margin. This accounts for the cell capacitor voltage ripple (less than 5% in the case studied) and sufficient DC link voltage to force the circulating current. According to this, 150 H-bridges are required for a 300 kV branch. The branch inductor was chosen so that it yields an AC voltage of 10% of $V_u$ when the DC-DC converter operates at nominal power. This operation condition requires the largest AC circulating current, 0.67kA peak in this case (defined as the nominal circulating current). The cell capacitor is chosen to limit the voltage ripple to ±5% under all operation conditions; although a lower capacitance can be used because of the device voltage rating.

![Figure 16](image-url)

**Fig. 16.** Average, maximum and minimum cell capacitor voltages in shunt, series and derivation branches when delivering 600MW.

V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented a novel Modular Multi-Level Converter topology for DC-DC converters suitable for interconnecting HVDC lines and exerting power flow control in HVDC power systems. The proposed topology does not require the use of high frequency, high voltage transformers.

A suitable control strategy has been proposed for the aforementioned DC-DC converter, for both the arm energy control and module capacitor balance. The technical viability of the proposed MML DC-DC converter and control strategy has been thoroughly validated by means of detailed simulations considering a significant number of H-bridge modules per branch.
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